

**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region**

-----

Minutes of 120<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 19.11.2013 at 1100 Hrs under Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243A, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

**Present**

1. Shri U. K. Sangma, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
2. Shri. V. B. Pathak, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER.....Member Secretary
3. Shri S. N. Brohmo Choudhary, Advisor (NE), Planning Commission
4. Shri S. L. Meena, Director, IFD, Ministry of DoNER
5. Shri. Ajay Kanoujia, Dy. Secretary, MHA

Shri Rajiv Kumar, Joint Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance, Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA and Shri Naresh Salecha, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, M/o DoNER were granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri K. Guite (Director), Shri Uma Kant and Shri P. R. Meshram (Director) of Ministry of DoNER.

Committee deliberated on the Agenda Items and made following recommendations.

\*\*\*

**Item No.1: Confirmation of the minutes of 119<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 08.10.2013**

Minutes of 119<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NLCPR Committee circulated vide M/o DoNER's OM No. DNER/NLP/6(CXIX)/2013 dated 09.10.2013 were confirmed.

\*\*\*

**Item No.2 (a): Agenda taken report of decision/recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 118<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 13.09.2013.**

Committee noted that Ministry have taken action on recommendations made in its 118<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 13.09.2013 and no any action is pending.

\*\*\*

**Item No.2 (b): Agenda taken report of decision/recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 119<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 08.10.2013.**

Committee noted that Ministry have taken action on recommendations made in its 119<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 08.10.2013 and no any action is pending.

\*\*\*

**Item No. 3: Construction of Road from Pilla to Sakiang (15.00 KM) upto WBM Level in Papum Pare District of Arunachal Pradesh**

The Committee noted that the project was retained, at an estimated cost of Rs. 16 crore from priority list submitted by Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2012-13, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 109<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27.09.2012. It was also noted that the DPR was scrutinized and cost estimates vetted by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 1840.00 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	SH: Formation Cutting	
	(a) SH: Jungle Clearance (15 KM)	49.00
	(b) SH: Earth Work (15 KM)	433.70
2	SH: Cross Drainage Works	
	(a)SH: RCC Slab Culverts 1 mtr. Span (35 Nos.)	140.35
	(b)SH: RCC Slab Culverts 2 mtr. Span (33 Nos.)	225.91
	(c)SH: RCC Slab Culverts 4 mtr. Span (6 Nos.)	102.19
	(d)SH: RCC Slab Culverts 6 mtr. Span (6 Nos.)	116.11
3	SH: RCC Retaining Wall/Breast Wall (201 Mtr)	80.89
4	SH: Pavement	
	(a) SH: WBM-1 (14,799 KM)	182.52
5	SH: RCC Bridges (2 Nos)	259.75
6	SH: Katcha Drain (14,799 KM)	9.58
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1600.00</b>
7	15% Cost enhancement @ 7.5% p.a. over APSR 2010	240.00
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1840.00</b>

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Implementing agency/State Government will strictly comply with the technical comments made by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

\*\*\*

**Item No.4: Project – “Construction of road from Bijni Subhajibhar road at village Nayapara No.2 to Kathalguri via Sanyasiguri including construction of RCC bridge No.1/2 over river Chara in Chirang District” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 402.86 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 395.84 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work Specifications as given in DPR	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Road Works(Road Length = 4.34 Km, Formation Width=7.5m, Carriageway Width = 3.75m, GSB=150mm, WBM II= 75mm, WBM III= 75mm, PC & SC = 20mm)	188.47
2	Cross Drainage Works	
	(i) RCC Bridge No. 1/2 (2x18.75m span)	182.19
	(ii) Box Culvert – 2 Nos. (3mx3mx1 Cell = 1 No.) (2mx2mx1 Cell = 1 No.)	12.21
	(iii) H.P. Culvert – 5 Nos. (1000mm dia, NP-3 Single Barrel = 5 Nos.)	5.80
3	River Training & Protection	7.17
	<b>Total</b>	<b>395.84</b>

3. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:

- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
- ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- vi. The non-duplicacy certificate in original from the State Planning Department may be obtained before sanction/release of funds.

\*\*\*

**Item No.5: Project – “Construction of road from Udharbond to Baskandi (Baneshkandi) under Silchar Rural Road Division” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 321.56 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 312.19 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Work (as per approved DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
<b>A.</b>	<b>ROAD FORMATION WORK</b> (Length 13.20 Km with Carriageway = 3.75m and Formation width = 7.8 m)	
1.	Construction of embankment with materials	<b>25.37</b>
2.	Construction of Sub-grade and earthen shoulders	05.90
3.	Excavation for roadway in soil	00.04
	<b>Total (A)</b>	<b>31.31</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>PAVEMENT WORK</b>	
4.	Providing Granular Sub-base (150MM)	1.81
5.	Providing WBM GR-II (75 MM)	5.43

Sl. No.	Name of Work (as per approved DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
6.	Providing WBM Gr-III (75 MM)	46.69
	<b>Total (B)</b>	<b>53.93</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Bituminous Work</b>	
7.	Providing Prime Coat	12.95
8.	Providing Tact Coat	4.96
9.	Providing and laying surface Dressing	23.26
10.	Providing and laying Semi Dense Bituminous Concrete (25mm)	120.94
	<b>Total (C)</b>	<b>162.11</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Cross Drainage Work</b>	
11.	Construction of 1000mm dia HP Culvert NP-3 – 8 Nos.	11.89
12.	Construction of CC Road side covered Drain	40.85
	<b>Total (D)</b>	<b>52.74</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>ROADSAFETY AND INFORMATION SIGN BOARD ETC.</b>	
13.	Providing and erecting of Retro-Reflectorised Sign	00.10
14.	Providing Rumble Strips	00.16
15.	Painting of Rumble Strips	00.05
16.	Providing information Sign Board	00.20
	<b>Total (E)</b>	<b>0.51</b>
<b>F.</b>	<b>MISC. WORK</b>	
17.	Clearing and Grubbing	<b>00.31</b>
18.	Dismantling existing structures	00.14
19.	Removal of existing Hume Pipes	00.14
20.	Providing Ordinary Kilometer Stone	00.22
21.	Providing Boundary Pillar	00.41
22.	Add difference of cost of Bitumen (as per Annexure attached)	7.31
23.	Add Difference of Cost of Cement ( <b>as per Annexure attached</b> )	<b>2.35</b>
24.	Add Difference of cost of Reinforcement ( <b>as per Annexure attached</b> )	00.71
	<b>Total (F)</b>	<b>11.59</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A)+(B)+(C) +(D)+(E)+(F)</b>	<b>312.19</b>

4. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:
- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
  - ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
  - iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
  - iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
  - v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.6: Project – “Development of Roads for Dhemaji Town” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 1232 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 1198.11lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work Specifications as given in DPR	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	<b>B.T. Road</b> (No. of Road 24, Length of Road 19.65 Km)	1122.92
2	NP3 Pipe Culvert (i) 600mm dia (113.50m) (ii) 900mm dia(53m)	12.10
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1135.02</b>
3	Add Cost of difference for Bitumen	6.04
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1141.06</b>
4	Add 5% for remoteness of locality for Dhemaji Dist.	57.05
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1198.11</b>

5. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:

- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
- ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.7: Project - “Construction of Road from NH-52 (Harmutty to Bangalmora via Merabil Lakhnabari Manaha & Meraghuli)” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted the reasons furnished by Government of Assam for revival of this project and accordingly after due deliberations recommended cancellation of dropping and its subsequent revival for funding under NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.8: Project – “Construction of road from Kanuri to Binachora via Nalia including protection works and 4 RCC bridges” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 3893.60 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 3855.05 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Work (as per approved DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
<b>A.</b>	<b>ROAD WORK</b> (Length 14.20 Km with Carriageway = 5.5m and Formation width = 7.50 m)	
1.	Clearing and grubbing	1.94
2.	Earthwork in embankment	509.51
3.	Earthwork in Sub-Grade	37.36

Sl. No.	Name of Work (as per approved DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
4.	GSB Gr. – II (150mm thick)	257.55
5.	WBM Gr. – II (150mm thick)	381.49
6.	WBM Gr.-III (75 mm thick)	195.36
7.	Prime Coat	44.22
8.	Tack Coat	23.69
9.	BM (75mm thick)	486.31
10.	SDBC (20mm thick)	207.25
11.	Turfing	29.37
12.	HPC	31.20
13.	Drain cum Footpath	120.62
14.	Thermoplastic Paint	19.54
15.	Pavement Marker	8.22
16.	Road Sign	0.84
17.	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2354.47</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Protection of Road work</b>	
1.	Earthwork in bank trimming	8.72
2.	Boulder with out wire crates	75.67
3.	Boulder with wire crates	412.75
4.	Pitching	228.31
5.	Filter Media	64.99
6.	Percupine	140.59
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>931.03</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>BRIDGE WORK</b>	
	<b>Bridge No. 8/1</b>	
1.	RCC Bridge Proper	102.79
2.	Protection for Bridge	27.82
3.	SPT Sub-Way	4.18
4.	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>134.79</b>
	<b>Bridge No. 10/1</b>	
1.	RCC Bridge Proper	105.96
2.	Protection for Bridge	37.22
3.	SPT Sub-way	4.18
4.	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>147.36</b>
	<b>Bridge No. 14/1</b>	
1.	RCC Bridge Proper	102.50
2.	Protection for Bridge	34.26
3.	SPT Sub-way	4.18
4.	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>140.94</b>
	<b>Bridge No. 14/2</b>	
1.	RCC Bridge Proper	106.76
2.	Protection for Bridge	35.52
3.	SPT Sub-way	4.18
4.	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>146.46</b>
	<b>Total for Bridge Work</b>	<b>569.55</b>
	<b>Grand Total(A+B+C)</b>	<b>3855.05</b>

6. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:
- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
  - ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive

- basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
  - iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
  - v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.9: Project – “Constuction of Khetri Dharmapur to Hajo Nalbari Road at Morowa via Piplibari, Kakaya,Jagara, Goalpara,Baguhati & Kalakuchi” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 1060 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 1039.22 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work Specifications as given in DPR		Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Formation Work	Road Length = 18.25 Km, Formation Width = 7.5m, Carriageway Width = 3.75m, GSB Gr.II=150mm, WBM Gr.II =75mm, WBM Gr.III=75mm, PC & SC = 20mm	294.72
2	Pavement Work		393.86
3	Bituminous work		160.43
4	Cross Drainage Work (a) HPC 1000mm dia, NP-3 Single Barrel = 17 Nos. (b) Box Culvert 2mx2m (Single) = 2 Nos. (c) Box Culvert 2mx2m (Double) = 1 No.		61.88
5	Bridge Work (1 x 18.75m span)		
	(i)	Bridge Proper	113.38
	(ii)	Protection Work	4.29
6	Miscellaneous work		10.66
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1039.22</b>

7. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:
  - i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
  - ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
  - iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
  - iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
  - v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.10: Project – “Widening & strengthening of Lahowal-Bordubi-Tinsukia Road (L.B.T. Road) in Tinsukia District under Tinsukia State Road Division” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs.1688.84 Lac.

2. The Committee further noted that OIL authority has already sanctioned Rs. 628 Lac for the project for repairing/strengthening existing carriage way on proposed road from CH34600m to 47200m. Accordingly, after due deliberation keeping in view comments of Technical Wing, M/o DONER, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 998.21lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work (Specifications as given in approved DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Earth Work	31.97
2	Sub-Base & Base course	237.88
3	Bituminous Work	63.40
4	Cross Drainage & Drainage Works	631.89
5	Road Safety Measures	33.07
	<b>Total</b>	<b>998.21</b>

8. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:

- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
- ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.11: Project – “Improvement of Morigaon Pachatia-Damal-Dharamtul Road including construction of RCC bridge no. 5/1 over river Kollong under Morigaon district” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/o Road Transport & Highways at estimated cost of Rs. 754.18 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 732.55 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Bridge Proper Work	
	(i) Foundation	187.29
	(ii) Sub-structure	51.99
	(iii) Super-structure	146.41



Sl. No.	Item of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
	<b>Total of Bridge Proper</b>	<b>385.69</b>
2	Formation Work ((Cleaning, E/W in emb. & S.G., scarifying, e/w in excavation)	126.35
3	Pavement Work (GSB, WBM, Gr-II & Gr-III)	106.92
4	Bituminous Work (Prime coat, TC, PC & SC)	43.68
5	Protection Work	47.05
6	Miscellaneous (KM stone, RCC guard post)	2.47
	<b>Total cost of Bridge Proper + Approaches + Protection Work</b>	<b>712.16</b>
8	Shifting of Utilities	20.39
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>732.55</b>

9. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:

- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/o RT&H.
- ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No.12: Construction of road from Noklak to Thonoknyu via Sanglao Phase-I (0.000 to 14.54 Km) in Nagaland for consideration of NLCPR committee.**

Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at Rs.844.81 lac for construction of an ODR road of 14.54 Km in its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 02.03.2009. The entire admissible grant i.e. Rs.760.33 lac was released and as per QPR ending March, 2011, physical progress of the project was 90%. Then, on 18.11.2011 State Govt. of Nagaland submitted the revised DPR with an estimated cost of Rs.2249.79 lakh with the justification that the sanctioned ODR category road was inadequate and needs to be enhanced to MDR category road as the public of the project area was unhappy with the ODR criteria of the road and forced the contractor to increase the size of the road. Being in remote location and in the face of coercion by the public, the contractor had no option but to expand the size of the road and the firm is now facing critical problem. The proposal of Govt. of Nagaland for revision of cost of the above project was considered by NLCPR Committee in its 103<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 06.03.2012 and Committee recommended for admitting the revised DPR of the project.

The Committee also noted that the revised DPR of the project for additional amount was vetted by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways. The IFD is having reservation about admissibility of DPR and its funding. The IFD is of view that the case of change of scope of work is not allowed under NLCPR guidelines and Guidelines also do not permit cost escalation beyond 20% either, hence, proposed revision of cost cannot be admitted and primary of NLCPR guidelines stands. The IFD further opined that the change of scope will result in huge cost escalation from Rs. 8.4 crore to 16.15 crore at this juncture defies the spirit of provision of 6.2 of NLCPR Guidelines. The change of ODR to

MDR is a new work. The project as already sanctioned needs to be completed in the first instance. Incidentals Para 7.4 of NLCPR also becomes applicable in this case.

The Committee deliberated upon the observations of IFD and clarifications furnished by the Ministry. The Committee also took into account the repeated request from State Government from the level of Chief Minister, Member of Parliament and Chief Secretary asking for early sanction of the project highlighting its importance and recommended that; since, the revised DPR of the project was already accepted by the NLCPR Committee in its 103<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 06.03.2012, where IFD was also a member and minutes of meeting were also confirmed without any reservations. Also, construction of MDR road instead of ODR road approved earlier is covered under para 6.1 of NLCPR Guidelines and not under para 6.2 as suggested by IFD. As per para 6.1 of NLCPR Guidelines the NLCPR Committee at the appropriate juncture can consider the deserving cases, if the enhancement of the cost is due to change in scope of the works that was not envisaged at initial stage.

The Committee further deliberated in the matter and recommended for sanction of additional amount of Rs. 1404.57 lac for construction of MDR road with following components and conditions:

(Rs. In lac)		
Sl. No.	Particulars of items of works	Amount
1	Earthwork in widening	288.62
2	Pucca Side Drain/Katcha Drain	139.25
3	Construction of Shoulders	38.86
4	Granular Sub-base	180.74
5	Water Bound Macadam base	287.71
6	Bituminous Work	261.42
7	Cross Drainage/Protection Works	206.35
8	Road furniture	1.62
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1404.57</b>

**Conditions:**

- a) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process. State Government should ensure that tender has been on called **on turnkey basis** for entire project on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in national and regional print media, website etc. and works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- b) All the pages of the DPR will be got authenticated by Chief Engineer, Transport Department, Govt. of Nagaland before issue of sanction order, in the event of the project being recommended for sanction.
- c) State Government should comply with all the observations of MoUD while execution of the project.
- d) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- e) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*

**Item No. 13: Revised cost proposal in respect of Improvement & Widening of Tintek Dikchu Road (12 km) in East Sikkim**

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned on 02.03.2009 at approved cost of Rs.1023.73 lakh and NLCR Committee in its 97<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25.07.2011

recommended for admitting revised estimate for further examination and sanctioned as per provisions in NLCPR guidelines. It was also noted that the revised DPR submitted by the State was appraised and vetted by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways. The Committee also observed that cost revision was necessary due to change in scope of work which is permissible under clause 6.1 of NLCPR guidelines.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the revised project cost for sanction as under:

Sl. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. Lakh)
1	Hill Cutting	160.58
2	Protective Works	631.56
3	Pavement Works	438.33
4	Drainage Works	
	(a) Side drain	93.40
	(b) Cross / Under Drain	26.57
	(c) Culverts : 3.00m span	54.17
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>1404.61</b>
5	Cost Escalation @ 7.5% x 2 years	210.69
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1615.30</b>

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- b) Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) State Government should release its share of additionality simultaneous so that project is not delayed for want of State Share.
- f) Implementing agency/State Government will strictly comply with the technical comments made by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

\*\*\*

**Item No. 14: Construction of Link Road from Middle Tumin to Dhanbari via Namrang in East Sikkim**

The Committee noted that was retained at estimated cost of Rs.419.00 lakh on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 86<sup>th</sup> meeting held 21.10.2010 under Rs.20 crore allocated to Sikkim in 2010-2011 as Special Incentive for Good Performance. It was also noted that the DPR was scrutinized and cost estimates vetted by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways at Rs.5.58 crore and State Government undertook to bear the amount of cost escalation in excess of the permissible enhancement of 20% over retention cost and also to take up pavement work atleast upto GSB level.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 502.80 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. Lakh)
1	Cutting	209.06
2	Protective Works	200.74
3	Kutcha Drain	1.41
4	Cross Drain	36.60
5	Culvert	54.99
	<b>Total</b>	<b>502.80</b>

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Implementing agency/State Government will strictly comply with the technical comments made by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.
- State Government will take up pavement work atleast upto GSB level.

\*\*\*

**Item No.15: Project – “Proposed Town Hall at Dibrugarh” in Assam.**

NLCPR Committee noted that DPR of the Project has been vetted by M/S WAPCOS Ltd. at estimated cost of Rs. 1487.25 Lac.

2. After detailed deliberation, Committee recommended sanction of this project for Rs. 1408.17 lac as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work (Total Plinth area = 9821 Sq. Mtr.) (Scope of work and Technical specifications as per DPR)	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Foundation	253.05
2	Commercial Building (G+4 Floors)	268.13
3	Guest House Portion (G+4 Floors)	244.84
4	Auditorium Portion (G+2 Floors)	343.55
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>1109.57</b>
5	Add for Cement Price (Rs. 65 per bag)	30.99
6	Add for Steel Price (Rs.1464 per quantity)	90.69
7	Add 1% for normal preparation of site(on ground floor civil cost)	4.94
8	Add 6% for Internal Electrification work	66.57
9	Add 4% for External Electrification work	44.38
10	Add 5% for Water supply and sanitary work	55.48
11	Add 0.50% for fire fighting	5.55
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1408.17</b>

10. Project has been recommended for sanction with following conditions:

- i. State Government/Implementing Department will comply with observations made by M/S WAPCOS Ltd.
- ii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- iii. Award of contract will be on turnkey basis.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- vi. The estimate has been prepared as per APWD (Building) SOR 2010-11. Any escalation towards cost of project has to be borne by State Government from its own resources.

\*\*\*

**Item No. 16: General Recommendations.**

The Committee also reviewed the position of release of funds to various States under NLCPR Scheme and status of vetting of DPRs by the line ministries and made following recommendations:

- (a) Release of funds to the States of Manipur, Meghalaya and Tripura is comparatively low. Hence, releases to these States may be expedited by obtaining required documents from the States and efforts may be made to ensure equitable release of funds to the States.
- (b) Where line ministries are delaying submission of their comments/no objections on the DPRs for funding of the project under NLCPR scheme, a letter may be issued to the line ministries for submission of their comments in a month's time. If no reply is received from line ministries it may be presumed that the line ministries have no comments to offer regarding the projects. In such cases the Non-duplication Certificates submitted by State Governments may be treated as final and proposals may be put up to the NLCPR Committee for consideration.

\*\*\*

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

\*\*\*\*

**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region**

-----

Minutes of 120<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 19.11.2013 at 1100 Hrs under Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243A, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

**Item No. 16: General Recommendations.**

The Committee also reviewed the position of release of funds to various States under NLCPR Scheme and status of vetting of DPRs by the line ministries and made following recommendations:

- (a) Release of funds to the States of Manipur, Meghalaya and Tripura is comparatively low. Hence, releases to these States may be expedited by obtaining required documents from the States and efforts may be made to ensure equitable release of funds to the States.
- (b) Where line ministries are delaying submission of their comments/no objections on the DPRs for funding of the project under NLCPR scheme, a letter may be issued to the line ministries for submission of their comments in a month's time. If no reply is received from line ministries it may be presumed that the line ministries have no comments to offer regarding the projects. In such cases the Non-duplication Certificates submitted by State Governments may be treated as final and proposals may be put up to the NLCPR Committee for consideration.

\*\*\*