#### File No. NESIDS/12/2025-O/o UNDER SECRETARY(NESIDS) Government of India Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Block-11, Jodhpur Officer's Hostel

India Gate, New Delhi-110003

Dated: 27.02.2025

#### **OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

## Subject: Minutes of the 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of Empowered Inter-Ministerial Committee (EIMC) of NESIDS-OTRI held on 13.02.2025 at 3:00 PM.

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to forward herewith the minutes of the 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of Empowered Inter-Ministerial Committee (EIMC) of NESIDS (OTRI) held on 13.02.2025 at 3:00 PM under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region at Vigyan Bhawan Annexe, New Delhi- 110011 for information and necessary action, please.

#### Encl: As above.

(Mahipal Yadav) Under Secretary to Government of India infra-mdoner@gov.in

#### To:

- i. Chief Executive Officer, NITI Aayog, New Delhi
- ii. Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs
- iii. Foreign Secretary, Min of External Affairs, New Delhi.
- iv. Secretary, DPIIT, New Delhi
- v. Chief Secretaries of concerned NE States.
- vi. Secretary, North Eastern Council, Nongrim Hills, Shillong, Meghalaya
- vii. Principal Secretary (Planning), of all NE states
- viii. Dir (IFD), MDoNER
- ix. Sr. Technical Director (NIC), MDoNER with the request to arrange to upload the Minutes of the Meeting in Ministry's website
- x. Deputy Secretary, Infrastructure Wing, MDoNER

#### Copy for information to:

- i. PS to Hon'ble Minister, MDoNER
- ii. APS to Hon'ble MoS, MDoNER
- iii. Secretaries of the concerned Line Ministries/Departments

# Minutes of 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of Empowered Inter-Ministerial Committee (EIMC) of NESIDS (OTRI) held on 13.02.2025 at 3:00 PM under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

The 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of Empowered Inter-Ministerial Committee (EIMC) of NESIDS (OTRI) held on 13.02.2025 at 3:00 PM under the Chairmanship of Secretary, MDoNER, through hybrid mode, to discuss the project proposals received from the State Governments of NER. List of the participants is at **Annexure-I**.

2. At the outset, the Secretary of Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region (MDoNER) and Chairperson of the Committee welcomed the participants and asked the Joint Secretary (Infrastructure), MDoNER to start the presentation. The Agenda-wise deliberations and decisions were taken as follows:

S.No	State	Project Name	Deliberations	Decision
1	Assam	Smart Tech Enhancing Learning Technology Integration in Assam under NESIDS (OTRI) Scheme Phase-I (Rs. 42.40 Cr.)	the Committee that out of the total cost of Rs 42.40 cr proposed by the State Government, Rs 25.44 cr has	Next EIMC

#### Agenda I: Proposal for Approval of DPRs (09 projects):

Maline

			•	final comments on the project at the earliest. NITI Aayog asked the State Government to convey the detail list of Schools which are already covered under the PM Shri scheme and Samagra Siksha scheme of GoI. It was further conveyed there should be no overlap of the schools proposed under NESIDS(OTRI) with other schemes of GoI. Committee asked NITI Aayog to submit its comments on the Poorvottar Vikas Setu Portal so that the
			•	State Govt. can respond to the comments of NITI aayog. The Committee requested the DoT for their comments. Representative of DoT stated that the name of GP/villages and LGD codes are not available due to which mapping of the proposed schools with Bharatnet GPs could not be done. He further conveyed that DoT supports the project with the condition that if the schools fall under Bharat Net GP, Bharat Net FTTH connectivity may be utilized. However, if the school does not fall under the Bharat Net GP, any other technology may be used.
			•	The Committee asked the State Government to reply to the observations of DoT.
2	Assam	Smart Tech Enhancing Learning Technology Integration in Assam under NESIDS (OTRI) Scheme Phase-II (Rs. 43.50 Cr.)	•	The Program Division informed To be placed in the Committee that out of the Next EIMC total cost of Rs 43.40 cr proposed by the State Government, Rs 26.11 cr has been proposed as OPEX. Committee asked the representative of the State Government to explain the reason for high opex to capex ratio
			•	The representative of the State Government made a

- Maluff

presentation and stated that they have included the O&M for four years in the DPR.

- The Program Division conveyed that for similar projects of Mizoram and Nagaland sanctioned in the recent past only one year of O&M had been considered. The Committee asked the Program Division to share the proposal of Govt of Mizoram with the Govt of Mizoram for their reference.
- Representative of the DoSEL conveyed that it has supported the project on 28.01.2025 with certain comments. The State Government has submitted its response on 11.02.2025. DoSEL was asked submit its final comments on the project at the earliest.
- NITI Aayog asked the State Government to convey the detail list of Schools which are already covered under the PM Shri scheme and Samagra Siksha scheme of GoI. It was further conveyed there should be no overlap of the schools proposed under NESIDS(OTRI) with that other schemes of GoI. Committee asked the NITI Aayog to submit its comments on the Poorvottar Vikas Setu Portal so that the State Govt. can respond to the comments of NITI Aayog.
- The Committee requested the DoT for their comments. Representative of DoT stated that the name of GP/villages and LGD codes are not available due to which mapping of the proposed schools with Bharatnet GPs could not be done. He further conveyed that DoT supports the project with the condition that if the schools fall under Bharat Net GP, Bharat Net FTTH connectivity

	may be utilized. However, if the school does not fall under the Bharat Net GP, any other technology may be used. The Committee directed the State Government to reply to the observations of DoT.
Enhancing Lea Technology Integration in A under NE	<ul> <li>Tech</li> <li>The Program Division informed To be placed in the Committee that out of the Next EIMC total cost of Rs 44 cr proposed by the State Government, Rs 26.4 cr has been proposed as OPEX.</li> <li>Committee asked the representative of the State Government to explain the reason for high opex to capex ratio</li> </ul>
	• The representative of the State Government made a presentation and stated that they have included the O&M for four years in the DPR.
Assam	<ul> <li>The Program Division conveyed that for similar projects of Mizoram and Nagaland sanctioned in the recent past only one year of O&amp;M had been considered. The Committee asked the Program Division to share the proposal of Govt of Mizoram with the Govt of Mizoram for their reference.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Representative of the DoSEL conveyed that it has supported the project on 28.01.2025 with certain comments. The State Government has submitted its response on 11.02.2025. DoSEL was asked submit its final comments on the project at the earliest.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NITI Aayog asked the State Government to convey the detail list of Schools which are already covered under the PM Shri scheme and Samagra Siksha scheme of Gol. It was further conveyed there should</li> </ul>

			<ul> <li>be no overlap of the schools proposed under NESIDS(OTRI) with that other schemes of GoI. The Committee asked the NITI Aayog to submit its comments on the Poorvottar Vikas Setu Portal so that the State Govt. can respond to the comments of NITI Aayog.</li> <li>The Committee requested DoT for their comments. Representative of DoT stated that the name of GP/villages and LGD codes are not available due to which mapping of the proposed schools with Bharatnet GPs could not be done. He further conveyed that DoT supports the project with the condition that if the schools fall under Bharat Net GP, Bharat Net FTTH connectivity may be utilized. However, if the school does not fall under the Bharat Net GP, any other technology may be used.</li> <li>The Committee directed the State Government to reply to the observations of DoT.</li> </ul>	
		Fixtures and Furnishing for the upcoming 500 bedded District Hospital at		
4	Sikkim		NITI Aayog had sought certain clarifications against which State Government had submitted its response on 20.01.2025.	
~			The representative of NITI Aayog pointed out that the project has been sanctioned under the Central Assistance scheme of Ministry of Finance. He raised the issue whether the project can be sanctioned under NESIDS(OTRI).	
			The Program Division clarified that the proposal of the State Government is for gap funding of the project through which the medical equipments shall be	

Malert

			procured. It was further mentioned that Gap funding support is within the guidelines and similar projects have been supported under the schemes of MDoNER in the past with the support of Line Ministries and NITI Aayog.	
			NITI Aayog also raised the issue that it has been mentioned in the proposal that the proposed hospital is a regional hospital which comes under the category of tertiary health care. However, guidelines of NESIDS(OTRI) support only Primary and secondary health care projects. He further added that State Government may explore possibility of funding of the project under NHM or the PM-ABHIM.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			State Government has categorically stated that the 500 bedded hospital is not a regional hospital but a district hospital that will cater to three uncatered districts of Namchi, Soreng and Geyzing.	
			The State Government further stated that the Central assistance of Ministry of Finance is not a scheme.	
			In view of the above discussion, the Committee asked the NITI Aayog and MoHFW to submit its comments on the portal at the earliest.	
		Tourism Infrastructure In Four Islands in Dumbur Lake along with other	It was conveyed that M/o Tourism has supported the project on 27.01.2025. The NITI Aayog had sought certain clarifications against which State Government has submitted its response on 13.01.2025. Final comments awaited from NITI Aayog.	
5	Tripura		NITI Aayog stated that techno economic vetting of Institute of Report is not enclosed in DPR and the comprehensible sustainability plan and environmental & social impact assessment is not available in the DPR.	To be placed in Next EIMC
			State Government informed that vetting has been done by Tripura Institute of Technology and the report shall be shared along with the impact assessment study within 7 days.	

Mahip

.

6	Mizoram	Implementationof It was conveyed that the NITI Aayog and DoSEL have already supported the Infrastructure Related DPR. DoT was asked to comments on to IT Projects in 220 the feasibility of the use of VSAT. In SchoolsRecommended for DPR approval 04.02.2025.(Rs. 23.73 Cr.)In view of the above, the Committee recommended the approval of DPR of the project.
---	---------	---

#### Agenda II: Proposal for In principle Approval (08 projects):

S. No.	State	Name of Project	Deliberations	Decision
		Infrastructure of Sports Excellence in Manipur	It was conveyed that the D/o Sports has supported the project on 17.09.2024. NITI Aayog had sought certain clarifications on 21.12.2024, against which State Government has submitted its response on 13.01.2025.	for In Principal approval
1	Manipur		The representative of NITI Aayog supported the project during the meeting	
			After due deliberations, the Committee decided to recommend the project in principle and asked the State Government to incorporate the suggestions of NITI Aayog and D/o Sports in the DPR.	
		College of Nursing of	It was conveyed that the comments are awaited from M/o HFW and NITI Aayog since 15.01.2025. Representative of MoHFW conveyed that the proposal is under consideration with the Nursing division.	next EIMC
2	Manipur		Representative of NITI Aayog raised the query whether the permission for construction of Nursing college has been obtained from the existing Medical College. He further queried whether a Demand supply gap analysis has been carried out by the State regarding the requirement of nursing Assistants in the State.	
			In view of the above, the Committee directed MoHFW and NITI Aayog to	

Mahrt

6       Mizoram         6       Mizoram         6       Mizoram         6       Mizoram				submit their comments on the portal within 7 days.	
4       Mizoram       Urban Primary Health supported the project on 13.01.2025 next EIMC Centre Chawlhhmun with certain observations. State 2024 UPHC Mizoram Government has submitted its response on 24.01.2025. It was further conveyed that comments are awaited from NITI Aayog & MOHFW to submit their comments at the carliest.         4       Mizoram       (Rs. 5.08 Cr.)       The Committee directed NITI Aayog & MOHFW to submit their comments at the carliest.         5       Mizoram       Construction of 100 It was conveyed that NITI Aayog and To be placed in Bedded DistrictSought certain clarifications pertaining next EIMC Hospital at Serchhip to the proposal on 20.01.2025. State Mizoram Government has submitted the information related to the comments of NITI Aayog on 11.02.2025         5       Mizoram       Representative of MOHFW conveyed their support for the project during the meeting. The Committee asked NITI Aayog to submit its comments within 10 days.         6       Mizoram       Development offt was conveyed that MoCA has Recommended Heliport and Helipads supported the project in principle on for In Principal in Thenzawl, Mizoram 10.02.2025. Subject to feasibility study approval of the proposed heliport. Additionally, the NITI Aayog supported on 29.01.2025.         6       Mizoram       Development offt was conveyed that MoCA has Recommended Heliport and Helipads supported the project in principle on for In Principal in Thenzawl, Mizoram 10.02.2025 subject to feasibility study approval of the proposed heliport. Additionally, the NITI Aayog supported on 29.01.2025.         6       Mizoram       Development offt was conveyed that State Government in informed that project is not proposed un	3	Manipur	in 211 Govt. Schools in Manipur using remote Training Infrastructure through Hub and Spoke Model	awaited from DoSEL and NITI Aayog since 16.01.2025. The Committee asked DoSEL and NITI Aayog to submit their comment on the	next EIMC
6       Mizoram       Bedded       District sought certain clarifications pertaining next EIMC         6       Mizoram       Government       has submitted       the information related to the comments of NITI Aayog on 11.02.2025         7       Nizoram       Representative of MoHFW conveyed their support for the project during the meeting.         7       The Committee asked NITI Aayog to submit its comments within 10 days.         8       Development       off the project in principle on for In Principal in Thenzawl, Mizoram         9       Resconserved that MoCA has Recommended the project in principle on for In Principal in Thenzawl, Mizoram         9       Resconserved that MoCA has Recommended of the proposed heliport. Additionally, the NITI Aayog supported on 29.01.2025.         6       Mizoram       The Committee asked the State Government whether the proposal could be considered under the relevant scheme of MoCA. In response, the State Government informed that project is not proposed under the Regional Connectivity Scheme (RCS) UDAN of the Ministry of Civil Aviation, as the short flight duration between Aizawl and Thenzawl—approximately 12–15 minutes, makes the route unlikely to attract operators	4	Mizoram	Urban Primary Health Centre Chawlhhmun 2024 UPHC Mizoram (Rs. 5.08 Cr.)	supported the project on 13.01.2025 with certain observations. State Government has submitted its response on 24.01.2025. It was further conveyed that comments are <b>awaited</b> from NITI Aayog since 18.12.2024. The Committee directed NITI Aayog & MoHFW to submit their comments at	next EIMC
<ul> <li>Heliport and Helipads supported the project in principle on for In Principal in Thenzawl, Mizoram (Rs. 12.00 Cr.)</li> <li>Mizoram</li> <li>Mizoram</li></ul>	5	Mizoram	Bedded District Hospital at Serchhip Mizoram	sought certain clarifications pertaining to the proposal on 20.01.2025. State Government has submitted the information related to the comments of NITI Aayog on 11.02.2025 Representative of MoHFW conveyed their <b>support for</b> the project during the meeting. The Committee asked NITI Aayog to	next EIMC
under the OD/II ( Scheme, However, H	6	Mizoram	Heliport and Helipads in Thenzawl, Mizoram	It was conveyed that MoCA has supported the project in principle on 10.02.2025 subject to feasibility study of the proposed heliport. Additionally, the NITI Aayog supported on 29.01.2025. The Committee asked the State Government whether the proposal could be considered under the relevant scheme of MoCA. In response, the State Government informed that project is not proposed under the Regional Connectivity Scheme (RCS) UDAN of the Ministry of Civil Aviation, as the short flight duration between Aizawl and Thenzawl— approximately 12–15 minutes, makes	for In Principal approval

			this heliport is constructed, the helicopter services could be operated under the existing scheme i.e. helicopter services for North East which is a scheme under the Min of Home Affairs. In view of the above, deliberations, the Committee decided to recommend the project in principle and asked the State Government to submit the DPR along with the feasibility study report.	
7	Mizoram	Development in Mizoram (Rs. 38.40 Cr.)	It was conveyed that the D/o Sports supported the project on 20.01.2025 and that the comments are awaited from NITI Aayog since 09.01.2025. The Committee asked the NITI Aayog to submit their comments at the earliest.	next EIMC
8	Tripura	Building for Khowai	이 같은 것 같이 가지 않는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 많을까?	for In Principal approval

### Agenda-III: Proposal for Change of Scope Approval (02 projects):

S. No.	State	Name of Project	Deliberations	Decision
1	Tripura	Construction of 9 number 100 seated Boys/Girls hostel with electricity, water supply, furniture, 200- meterboundary wall etc. in the Vidyajyoti Schools under Mission 100 at different locations in Tripura. (Rs. 7.90 Cr.)	<ul> <li>project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs .7.90 crore on 15.03.2024 by MDoNER under NESIDS.</li> <li>A letter has been received from State Government on 20 12 2023 for</li> </ul>	Change of scope

Mahip

2       Mizoram <ul> <li>Project was sanctioned for EIMC</li> <li>Rs. 8.00 crore on 19.04.2022</li> <li>by MDoNER under</li> <li>NESIDS.</li> <li>The State Govt. of Mizoram</li> <li>has submitted a change of scope proposal, with the recommendation of SLEC, under NESIDS (OTRI).</li> <li>M/o Tourism has supported the proposal.</li> <li>NITI Aayog commented that about 44 % component of the project was pertaining to construction of roads. He further opined that the road component could have been taken up by the State Government under PMGSY scheme. Additionally, there is no mention of demand analysis or revenue generation model in the proposal which raises concern about the sustainability of the project.</li> </ul>				•	lack of green land at Champaknagar H.S.School with the recommendation of SLEC on 07.11.2024. The State Government confirmed that due to the relocation of school there is no revision in the cost of the project. The Program Division informed that DoSEL has supported the project on 12.02.2025 After deliberation, the Committee decided to recommend the proposal for	
The Committee asked	2	Mizoram	Village based Eco- Tourism at Khamrang in Mizoram	•	project was sanctioned for Rs. 8.00 crore on 19.04.2022 by MDoNER under NESIDS. The State Govt. of Mizoram has submitted a change of scope proposal, with the recommendation of SLEC, under NESIDS (OTRI). M/o Tourism has supported the proposal. NITI Aayog commented that about 44 % component of the project was pertaining to construction of roads. He further opined that the road component could have been taken up by the State Government under PMGSY scheme. Additionally, there is no mention of demand analysis or revenue generation model in the proposal which raises concern about the sustainability of the project.	EIMC

Mahip

S. No.	State	Name of Project	Deliberations	Decision
		Extension of Dosing, Pareng, Sine, Yibuk,	It was conveyed that the Project was approved for Rs. 18.26 cr on 30.03.2009. An amount of Rs. 12.31 cr has been released.	foreclosure on as is
		` /	SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
1	Arunachal Pradesh		The Project was inspected by Shri Jerial Kharbhih Consultant (CE) E&M Sector, NEC on 14.11.2024 and has reported an outcome score of 7 out of 10 and stated that road is completed under PMGSY Scheme on 24.04.2022, operational and being used by the public.	
			After detailed deliberation project was recommended for foreclosure on as is where is basis.	
		Development of various Schools under Palin Circle, Kurung	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 13.82 cr on 26.12.2011 against which an amount of Rs. 4.87 cr has been released.	foreclosure with full refund
2	Arunachal	(Rs. 13.82 Cr.)	SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis	집에 나는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 많을 것 같아.
2	Pradesh		The Project was inspected by Shri. Rishanlang Ryndong, Consultant (CE) on14.11.2024 who has has reported an outcome score of 3 out of 10.	
			After detailed deliberation project was recommended for foreclosure on full refund basis.	
		Lawngtlai By-Pass Road, Phase-I (13	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 11.43 cr on 27.11.2012 and an amount of Rs. 6.71 cr has been released.	foreclosure with full
3	Mizoram		The SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
			Project was inspected by Mr. Utpal Borthakur on 29.12.2024 and has reported the project as non operational with an outcome score	

Agenda-IV: Proposal for Proposal for Fore-Closure (11 project):

Malife

		· · · · ·	of 0 out of 10. It was further reported that only 1 Km gravel road (appx) is motorable and the remaining road is covered by jungle and it is not motorable. After detailed deliberation project was recommended for foreclosure on full refund basis.	
		Longpuighat to Kukurdeya Road under NLCPR (Rs. 11.52 Cr.)	ITV. TV CE HAS DECH TETEASED.	Recommended for foreclosure with full refund
4	Mizoram		Project was inspected by Mr. Utpal Borthakur on 18.12.2024 and has reported an outcome score of 5 out of 10 and stated that the road is not completed in all aspect. It was also observed that out of the total 36 km length of the road, 10 km stretch had been developed under PMGSY Scheme.	
			After detailed deliberation project was recommended for foreclosure on full refund basis.	
		Kamalanagar Town	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 19.88 cr on 23.10.2012 and an amount of Rs. 14.31 cr has been released.	foreclosure on as is
			SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
5	Mizoram		Project was inspected by Mr. Utpal Borthakur on 18.12.2024 and has reported an outcome score of 5 out of 10. It has been reported that one RCC bridge, few road stretches, retaining wall, drain, pedestrian footpath. Dumping ground and slaughter house were inspected and were found to be in good condition except the road.	
			After detailed deliberation project was recommended for foreclosure on as is where is basis.	

Makifat

6	Nagaland	from Kohima to Leike road junction to Barak	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 19.11 cr on 24.12.2012 and an amount of Rs. 15.34 cr has been released. SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis. Project was inspected by Er. Pallav Jyoti Sarmah(PQM) on 12.12.2024 and has reported an outcome score of 4 out of 10 and stated that only 9.5 km of road could be inspected and that the remaining stretch has been found to be covered with thick jungle. It was also informed that, after 2016, the contractor submitted that he had incurred huge loss on this project. Therefore, he was unable to continue the execution of the remaining work with the balanced amount as per the NPWD SOR 2010 rate. After detail deliberation, the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project with full refund of the released amount.	foreclosure with refund	for full
7	Nagaland	from Jendang- Saddle-Noklak- Pangsha Tuensang	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 21.86 cr on 26.12.2012 and an amount of Rs. 15.70 cr has been released. The SLEC has recommended for foreclosure on as is where is basis. The project was inspected by Mr. Pallav Jyoti Sarmah, PQM on 23.12.2024. He has reported an outcome score of 5 out of 10 and stated that, the original scope of work was to construct a single lane road of length 31Km. It was informed by the program division that the project was under C&AG and Lokayukta investigation. Also the quality of road seems to be poor as seen from the photographs sent by the PQM. The road has not been completed as per sanctioned scope of work under NLCPR.	foreclosure with refund	for full

Mahip

			In view of the above, after detail deliberation, the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project on full refund basis.	
		Namati to Sukani Road under PWD Dibrugarh Rural	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 6.31cr on 20.02.2014 and an amount of Rs. 2.27 cr has been released.	foreclosure on as is
		Road Division (Rs. 6.31 Cr.)	The SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
8	8 Assam		The Project was inspected by Ms. Anjana, Under Secretary on 21.11.2024 who has reported an outcome score of 8 out of 10 and stated that, The project was stalled in 2020 because the contractor left the work. Later, State Government resumed the left over work of the project and completed it with state's funds. Hence, the project is physically completed on 15.12.2021 by the State Government.	
			After detail deliberation, the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
			It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 8.11 cr on 21.05.2012 and an amount of Rs. 2.16 cr has been released.	foreclosure on as is
			The SLEC has recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	
9	Assam	Construction of Maijan Thakurbari road to Malukbari road in Dibrugarh District (Rs. 8.11 Cr.)	The Project was inspected by Ms. Neha Dheeman, Under Secretary on 12.12.2024. She has reported an outcome score of 9 out of 10 and stated that the project was rescinded from the contractor on 13-05-2016 and it was foreclosed. Later State Government completed the project with State's funds. The project has been physically completed in 2021.	
			After detail deliberation, the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis.	

Mahip

10	Assam	Mohmora Ali with RCC Bridge No. 9/2	It was conveyed that the project was approved for Rs. 7.71 cr on 12.11.2010 and an amount of Rs. 2.71 cr has been released. The SLEC has recommended the project for foreclosure on as is where is basis. Project was inspected by Ms. Parna Sahana, Under Secretary on 16.12.2024. She has reported an outcome score of 1 out of 10 and stated that the work had been rescinded from the contractor due to very slow progress of execution project in the extended period too After detail deliberation, the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project with full refund of the released amount due to the lack of substantial outcome .	foreclosure with refund	for full
11	Assam	from 1st Km of Demow Dehing Road to Dhaoma Pukhuri (Length - 10.125 Km) with R.C.C. Bridge (Length 18.75 m) in	It was conveyed that the project was approved for a cost of Rs. 7.6 cr on 20.05.2012 and an amount of Rs. 5.41 cr has been released. SLEC recommended for foreclosure of the project on as is where is basis. The Project was inspected by Ms. Parna Sahana, Under Secretary on 17.12.2024 and has reported an outcome score of 3 out of 10 and stated that the entire project for improvement of Demow Dehling road was for 10.125 km. Out of this, 2.725 km has been completed under PMGSY-II, and another 5.90 km was taken up under MMUPNA (State scheme). Only 1.5 km balance strectch is remaining unfinished. Besides, out of the sanctioned cost of Rs. 7.60 Cr., only Rs. 5.56 Cr. has been utilized so far. After detail deliberation, it was observed that there were multiple overlaps of GoI scheme in the project and therefore the EIMC recommended the foreclosure of the project with full refund of the released amount.	foreclosure with refund	for full

Molifs

S. No.	State	Name of Project	Deliberations	Decision
1	Tripura	Promotion Hub at Gandhighat Agartala West TRIPURA" under NESIDS(OTRI) (Rs. 32.59 Cr.)	It was conveyed that The project was sanctioned in 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting held on 15.03.2022 for Rs. 34.55 crore. Work order was issued on 24.12.24, which was beyond the stipulated period of six months after the issuance of the Administrative Financial Sanction (AFS The reason for the delay as stated by the State Govt. is that there were no bidders in the first round of tendering. Subsequently, a second round of of tender was invited on 16.08.2024 and it was opened on 18.09.2024. It was further conveyed that the SLEC has recommended the condonation of delay of the work orders on 10.02.25. In view of the above discussion, the EIMC recommended the condonation of delay for the project.	condonation of delay

Agenda- V: Proposal for Condonation of Delay (01 project):

4. It was conveyed to the State Governments of NER to submit the project proposals against their normative balance outlays at the earliest as these outlays are valid till  $31^{st}$  March, 2026. The balance outlay of the State governments for NESIDS (OTRI) is summarized below:

(all figures in Rs cr.)

Sr No.	State	Outlay till 31.03.2026	01.04.20	d aft	er 01.04.2 out AFS n ued	Ap ter 2 b	proved af	ormative allocation	Liability of ongoing pro jects
			Amount (₹ in Cr.)		Approve d Cost (₹ in Cr.)		Approve d Cost (₹ in Cr.)		

Mahip

3	Manipur Meghalay	378.83	3	193.49	0	0	3	82.9	102.44	352.77
4 5	a Mizoram	408.44 395.53	3	174.34 92.7	0	0 0	1	46.5 169.66	187.60	176.81
6	Nagaland		1	24.46	0	0	1	20	341.96	115.02
7	Sikkim	248.25	0	0	0	0	2	167	81.25	51.76
8	Tripura	428.18	2	57.46	2	107.26	5	180.73	82.73	367.65
Total	Outlay	3795.91	21	1766.82	2	107.26	19	816.71	1105.11	2652.76

5. The competent authority has raised certain observations in respect of the following three projects and the State Governments concerned have been requested to provide their response thereon separately

(i) Development of Amarsagar Dighi at Udaipur, Gomati District Tripura

(ii) Construction of Multipurpose Indoor Stadium at Khuman Lampak Sports Complex in Manipur

(iii) Development of Destination Khoupam Tamenglong District in Manipur

6. It was further communicated to the State Governments that the expenditure plan shared by them for the period from November 2024 to March 2025 is in general on the lower side in respect of all the NER States. Projected expenditure as per the physical progress of projects on 01.11.2024 and on the basis of the age of the project were conveyed to the State governments. The State Governments were requested to expedite the progress of ongoing works and submit the demand requests to the Ministry through the Poorvottar Vikas Setu portal. The summary of ongoing projects under NESIDS (OTRI) is provided below:

#### (all figures in Rs cr.)

S.No	State	Ongoing	Total Am	Total	Amt. yet t	Expendit	State's ex	Projecte	Projecte
			t. spent o	Amt. s	o be spent	ure upto	penditur	d expend	d expend
			n projects	pent o	on ongoin	31st Jan	e plan (N	iture as p	iture pla
			till date (	n ongo	g	2025	ov 2024 t	er physic	n as per a
1.00			Complete	ing pr	(Ongoing		o March	al progre	ge of proj
			d Approv	ojects	Sanctione		2025)	ss of Proj	ect (Nov
1 - 1			ed Cost +		d Cost - O			ect	2024 to
			Ongoing	St. and	ngoing ex				March 2
			Expendit		penditure				025)
100			ure)		)				

			1000	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.
1	Arunachal Pradesh	62	1167.7 3	2605.47	774.86	392.87	117.30	40.3	129.39	251.95
2	Assam	172	2250.2 4	3572.37	1178.1 5	1072.09	36.72	328	393.15	620
3	Manipur	48	757.19	2058.55	404.42	352.77	23.12	57.95	95.33	255.93
4	Meghalay a	33	811.46	1560.37	634.65	176.81	97.49	102.5	33.36	162.91
5	Mizoram	25	492.17	1633.24	368.38	123.79	57.16	28.88	25.71	77.2
6	Nagaland	29	507.88	1833.72	392.86	115.02	71.53	68.15	70.94	141.34
7	Sikkim	12	200.31	1434.32	148.55	51.76	15.47	5.93	10.51	53.48
8	Tripura	31	839.88	1789.34	472.23	367.65	19.03	26.58	168.98	307.74
9	Other			21.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
	Total	412.0 0	7026.8 5	16508.80	4374.0 9	2652.77	437.82	658.29	927.37	1870.55

7. The Committee asked all State Government to spend the amount lying unspent with CNA in view of the ongoing working season.

8. The Committee reiterated that the State Government while submitting the new project proposals under NESIDS (OTRI) should provide the details regarding operationalization, maintenance and sustainability of the project along with all statutory clearances as per Annexure-B for Concept Notes and Annexure-C for DPRs, as per the guidelines of NESIDS (OTRI) issued vide No. NESIDS/4/20202-US(NLCPR) dated 21.08.2023.

9. Further, all the Project proposals, whether it is a Concept Note or DPR, the same is to be uploaded on the Poorvottar Vikash Setu Portal by the concerned agencies posing the projects, and all ancillary processes starting from uploading of projects to sanction will be done through this portal.

10. All concerned Line Ministries and NITI Aayog were requested to expedite their comments for the project proposals those are being forwarded to them and furnish the same on time so that final decisions can be taken in the meetings without further delaying the process.

Lastly, the meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*

Malip

#### Annexure-I

#### List of Participants

## 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of EIMC of NESIDS(OTRI) held on 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2025 at 03:00 PM in Vigyan Bhawan Annexe.

Sl. No.	Organization	Officers attended			
1	Members of The EIMC of NESIDS(OTRI)/Their	Shri Chanchal Kumar, Secretary, MDoNER – In Chair			
	Representatives	Shri Manish Kumar Gupta, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, on VC			
		Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Manipur, on VC			
		Shri R. Telang, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Sikkim, on VC			
		Shri Asit Gopal, AS & FA, Ministry of DoNER			
		Shri Shantanu, Joint Secretary, MDoNER			
2	Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh	Shri K. Tayeng, Principal Secretary, PWD, on VC			
		Shri R.K. Sharma, Secy. (Plg), on VC			
		Shri Okep Tayeng, Director PC Div., on VC			
		Shri Ado Burvang, CE, CZ-PWD, on VC			
		Shri Sanjay Phukan, JD(PC)P&S, on VC			
3	Govt. of Assam	Ms. Reena Mech, Secretary, on VC			
		Dr. Om Prakash, MD Samagra Sikhsa Abhiyan, on VC			
		Ms.Archana Goswami ADO, T&D Dept., on VC			
4	Govt. of Manipur	Shri H. Gyan Prakash Commissioner, Education, on VC			
		Shri N. Praveen Singh, Secretary, YAS, on VC			
		Shri Neilenthang Telien, Special Secretary, Planning, on VC			
		Ms. Pooja Elangbam, Director, Tourism, on VC			
		Shri Dr. Y. Suraj, Jt. Secretary (Health), on VC			
		Shri Ng. Bhogendra Meitei, i/c Director, Education-S, on VC			
		Shri Ksh. Umananda Singh, i/c Director, YAS, on VC			
		Dr. Chambo Gonmei, Director (Health), on VC			
		N. Kulkarani Devi Director (Planning)			
		Dr. R.K. Radhesana Devi, Joint Director, Planning, on VC			

5	Govt. Meghalaya	Shri. K. Hynniewta, Joint Secretary, Planning Investment Promotion & Sustainable Development/ Urban Affairs Department, on VC
		Shri. B.D.G.Lyngdoh, Under Secretary, Planning Investment Promotion & Sustainable Development/ Urban Affairs Department, on VC
		Shri. T.S. Momin, Research Officer, Planning Investment Promotion & Sustainable Development/ Urban Affairs Department, on VC
6	Govt. of Mizoram	Shri Lalmalsawma Pachuau, Secretary, Planning Dept., on VC
		Smt. Sangchin Chinzah, Secretary, H&FW/DC&MA, on VC
		Shri Hrangthanga Zote, Chief Engineer, PWD, on VC
		Dr. Lalrozama, Secretary, Tourism Dept., on VC
		Shri Henry C Lalrawnkunga, Secretary, MSSC, on VC
		Shri Lalrohlua, Director, Aviation, on VC
a la come		Shri Saidenga, Dy Controller, Aviation, on VC
		Smt. Biakthansangi Hrahsel, Deputy Adviser, Planning Dpt., on VC
		Shri H Lalengzauva, Research Officer, Planning
		Dept., on VC
		Dr. Ruth Lalmuanpuii, Joint Director, DHME, on VC
		Dr. Lalawmpuia Chhangte, Jt. Director, NUHM, on VC
		Shri Laltanpuia Hnamte, Jt. Secretary, Tourism Dept., on VC
		Smt. Lalhmangaihzuali Ralte, PHM, NUHM, on VC
		Shri Hrangthanga Zote, Chief Engineer (Bldng.), PWD, on VC
1		Smt. Rosie Lalrinmawii, Superintendent, DC&MA, on VC
		Shri K Lalruatsanga, AE, Tourism Dept., on VC
		Dr R Lalrinchhani, Dy. Director, Tourism Dept., on VC
7	Govt. of Nagaland	Shri Moayangla Longkumer, Addl Secretary, W&H Department, on VC
		Er. Swarai Meru, Chief Engineer, PWD(R&B), on VC
		Er. Vihoke Sema, Addl Chief Engineer, PWD(R&B), on VC
		Er. Imsunaro, Executive Engineer (D), on VC
		Er. Peukam Phom, S.D.O (T/C), on VC
		Shri Imlikokba longkumer assistant development commissioner, on VC

8	Govt. of Sikkim	Shri. R. C. Bhutia, Planning Secretary on VC
9	Govt. of Tripura	Dr. Brahmneet Kaur, Special Secretary & Special Planning Secretary
		Shri L. T. Darlong, IAS, Secretary, Planning(P&C) Department, on VC
		Shri Prashant Badal Negi, IAS, Director, Tourism, on VC
		Er. Anup Das, on VC, Additional Chief Engineer, PWD(R&B), on VC
10	NITI Aayog	Mohd. Zubair Ali Hashmi, Director, on VC
11	Ministry of Home Affairs	Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Under Secretary, on VC
12	Ministry of Tourism	Ms. Gargi Mittal, AD, on VC
13	Ministry of Health & Family Welfare	Shri Ashutosh Kumar Agrawal, Under Secretary
14	Department of School Education & Literacy	Shri Tej Pal Singh, Under Secretary, on VC
15	Ministry of Culture	Shri Karan Singh Tanwar, Joint Director, on VC
16	Department of Sports	Shri O.P. Chanchal, Under Secretary, on VC
17	Department of Telecommunications	Shri Arun Gupta, Director, on VC
18	Ministry of DoNER	Shri Silabhadra Das, Deputy Secretary
		Shri Sandeep Verma, Deputy Secretary
		Shri Mahipal Yadav, Under Secretary
		Shri Sunil Kumar Jain, Executive Engineer
		Shri Anil Kumar, Consultant
		Smt. Manjeet Gupta, Consultant
148 C. 1		