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OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of 148th Meeting of the Committee to administer the non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR) scheme - Regarding

Approved minutes of the 148th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 23.01.2017 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, DoNER in Committee Room No.243 A, Vigyan Bhawan Annexe, New Delhi are enclosed for information and necessary action.

(K.Dongnai)
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

To

1. Shri Satyendra Garg, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs
2. Dr. B.D.R. Tiwari, Secretary, Planning, Govt. of Meghalaya
3. Shri Sanjay Kumar, Director, Niti Aayog
4. Ms. Nagh S Shayam, Director, M/o HRD, Deptt of School Education and Literacy
5. Shri G.Ravinder, Dy Secretary, M/o Urban Development
6. Smt Samita Arora, Deputy Secretary, M/o DoNER
7. Shri A.K Gupta, Sr. Jt Commissioner, M/o Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation
8. Shri B.K Nath, Executive Engineer, MoRTH
9. Shri Yogesh Kumar, Deputy Secretary, M/o Youth Affairs & Sports
10. Shri K.Zohonimgliana, PHED Department, Govt of Mizoram
11. Shri B. Khavhulic, PWD (R) Govt of Meghalaya
12. Shri B.M. Syiem, Executive Engineer, PWD, Govt of Meghalaya

Copy to:
1. PS to Hon'ble Minister, DoNER
2. PPS to Secretary, DoNER
3. PPS to Joint Secretary(NLCPR)
4. Director(RC)/Director(VLRK)/Director(BNP)
5. SE, Technical Cell, DoNER
6. Director (NIC) for uploading of the minutes in the Project portal.
Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region
(NLCPR - Wing)

Minutes of the 148th meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 23.01.2017 under the Chairmanship of Shri Naveen Verma, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region in Committee Room No 243 A, Vigyan Bhawan Annexe, New Delhi.

The following officers were present in the meeting:-

i) Shri Satyendra Garg, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs
ii) Shri Jitendra Kumar Sinha, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
iii) Shri Sanjay Kumar, Director, NITI Aayog
iv) Ms. Nagh S. Shayam, Director, Ministry of Human Resource Development, D/o School Education and Literacy
v) Shri G. Ravinder, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development
vi) Smt. Samita Arora, Deputy Secretary, M/o DoNER
vii) Shri A.K. Gupta, Sr. Joint Commissioner, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation
viii) Shri Rajendra Singh, Superintending Engineer, M/o DoNER
ix) Shri B.K. Nath, Executive Engineer, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
x) Shri Yogesh Kumar, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports
xi) Shri K. Zohoniningiana, PHE Department,
Government of Mizoram

xii) Shri B. Khavhulic, PWD (R), Meghalaya

xiii) Dr. B.D.R. Tiwari, Secretary-Planning, Government of Meghalaya

xiv) Shri B.M. Syiem, Executive Engineer, PWD, Government of Meghalaya

2. The Committee was briefed about the proposals submitted by the State Governments of Mizoram and Meghalaya for retention and sanction of some of the projects recommended by respective State Level Empowered Committees (SLECs). The Committee was also briefed about following two features of the guidelines of the NLCPR scheme:

Value of Projects to be retained not to exceed three times of the normative allocation minus committed liability of retained projects.

No fresh sanction normally be issued if unspent balance of a State is more than 3 times of the normative allocation of the State.

During the meeting it was felt that the clause relating to the value of new projects to be retained annually keeping in view the committed liability of ongoing schemes may be revisited by a sub-committee consisting of JS (NLCPR), Niti Aayog and Deputy Secretary (IFD), which may, if necessary, recommend changes in the guidelines.
3. The Committee was informed about the Swachhata Action Plan (SAP) of the Ministry wherein each of the State Government of North Eastern Region has to submit a proposal for solid waste management costing Rs.10.00 crore as per the recent directive of the Government. Although, the State Governments have been requested separately for submitting such a proposal for being sanctioned under NLCPR scheme during 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Committee reminded the representative of Meghalaya and Mizoram to submit the proposals in this regard at the earliest.

4. The Committee was apprised of the significant achievement in the release of funds, remarkable reduction in pendency of utilization certificates, and appreciable decrease in unspent balance under the NLCPR scheme during the current financial year vis-à-vis last year.

5. The Committee took note of the normative allocation, unspent balance, value of earlier retained projects etc. of these States and accordingly made following recommendations after due deliberations:-

A. Mizoram

(i) The NLCPR Committee deliberated on the projects submitted by the State Government of Mizoram for sanctioning based on the recommendations of the State Level Empowered Committee (SLEC). The Committee was of the view that SLEC would have presumably considered the factors like the latest SOR and cost index while approving the DPR and
recommending the projects for sanction because there is no change in the final estimate in the DPR vis-à-vis the cost projected at the stage of retention. Accordingly, the Committee recommended following six projects for sanction subject to the condition that there would not be any change in cost & scope of work. Cost escalation, if any, over and above the sanctioned cost would be borne by the State Government:-

(Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Approved Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Construction of Multi Level Car Parking at New Secretariat Complex, Aizawl</td>
<td>36.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water Supply to Sainik School Chhingchhip</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Construction of 2X3.15 MVA, 33/11 KV S/s at P &amp; E Complex with associated 33 KV line at Saiha Town. Caption renamed as: Construction of 2 X 2.5 MVA, 33/11 KV S/s at P &amp; E Complex with associated 33 KV line at Saiha Town</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Construction of 2X3.15 MVA, 33/11 KV S/s at P &amp; E Complex with associated 33 KV line in the heart of Lawngtlai Town Caption renamed as: Construction of 2 X 2.5 MVA, 33/11 KV S/s at P &amp; E Complex with associated 33 KV lines in the heart of Lawngtlai Town</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Construction of Mini Sports Complex at Aibawk.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Construction of Mizoram State Account and Treasury Office Building at New Secretariat Complex, Aizawl.</td>
<td>11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>73.42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) The NLCPR Committee also considered six projects...
submitted by the State Government and recommended following four projects for retention after due deliberations:-

(Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Construction of Teachers Training Complex, Lunglei</td>
<td>10.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Construction of Students Hostel at Aizawl in respect of Chakma Autonomous District Council</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Strengthening of Fire &amp; Emergency Services, Mizoram (in Champhai, Lunglei &amp; Kolasib Districts).</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Construction of Mini Sports Complex, Sakawrdai</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>29.92</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of the project "Construction of Institute of Human Behaviour & Allied Science (100 Bedded Mental Hospital) (estimated cost = Rs. 50.00 crore)", the Committee was of the view that comments of Ministry of Health & Family Welfare may be obtained, and the State Government may be requested to examine the feasibility of enhancing the facilities for mental care in existing hospitals instead of setting up a new centre.

The Committee desired that the concerned Director of the Ministry may also visit the existing facility and the shortcomings.

In respect of the project "Construction of Impounding
Dam and Development of Recreation centre at Keilungliah, Champhai (estimated cost = Rs. 20.64 crore) the Committee recommended the project for retention in-principle subject to the condition that the entire project would be completed in single phase on the presumption that land would be made available free of cost, without any encumbrances. The Committee also recommended that Memorandum of Understanding may be signed between the inhabitants of the area and the State Govt. while making land available for the project. The Committee also suggested that the name of the project may be captioned as "Construction of Dam Reservoir and Development of Recreation Centre at Keilungliah, Champhai".

(iii) The Committee was briefed about the proposal relating to the ongoing project Construction of Hostels for Students of Higher Secondary Schools, Aizawl, Mizoram.

After due deliberation, the Committee approved the proposal of the State Government to increase the plinth area of the project without increase in cost and change in the scope of work.

1. B. Meghalaya

The Committee discussed the projects submitted by the State Government of Meghalaya and recommended as follows:-

(i) College of Science and Commerce at Mahendraganj. (South West Garo Hills) (cost – Rs. 24.18 crore)
After due discussion the Committee recommended the project for retention subject to the condition that while vetting the DPR, SLEC may take into account the rate and cost estimates of the project as per latest SOR and cost index without any change in the scope of work. The possibility of imparting vocational education/skill development on these facilities may also be examined.

(ii) College of Science and Commerce at Mawphlang. (East Khasi Hills District) – cost – Rs.24.16 crore

After due discussion the Committee recommended the project for retention subject to the condition that while vetting the DPR, SLEC may take into account the rate and cost estimates of the project as per latest SOR and cost index without any change in the scope of work. The possibility of imparting vocational education/skill development on these facilities may also be examined.

(iii) Construction of Road from village Lamyriang to connect Mulliat – Mookhain road. (East Jaintia Hills District) – cost Rs.15.00 crore

After due deliberation the Committee recommended the project for retention subject to the condition that the State Government may obtain confirmation from Ministry of Rural Development whether the project is covered under PMGSY scheme.

(iv) Improvement and blacktopping/ Strengthening of Rongjeng Mangsang to connect Dhupdhara in Assam
Phase-I (38.44 Kms.), (East Garo Hills District) – cost Rs.15.00 crore.

After due deliberation and keeping in view the importance of an all weather road for strategic and economic reasons, the Committee recommended the project for retention subject to the condition that the State Government may obtain confirmation from Ministry of Rural Development whether the project is covered under PMGSY scheme; the State Government had initially sanctioned only fair weather road and compliance of the specification as per IRC standard for single lane road. The State Government was requested to take up the entire work as one single project. Accordingly, name of the project may be changed.

(v) Establishment of Centre for Professional and Skill Excellence at Shillong. (East Khasi Hills District) – cost Rs.8.00 crore.

The Committee requested the State Government to examine whether the ADB funded project on skill development already being implemented in Meghalaya would not serve the objectives for which this centre is proposed.

(vi) Strengthening including M&B T of Bhoirymbong Kyrdem Mawbsein including internal roads. (Ri bhoi District) – cost Rs.25.00 crore

The Committee observed that State Government may revisit this as proposed road covers stretches in many villages and is not in a single stretch which would not enable geo-
tagging.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

*******