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9. Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
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14. Secretary, Ministry of Food Processing Industries, Panchsheel Bhawan, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi-110049.
15. Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Shastri Bhawan, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road, New Delhi.
16. Secretary, Ministry of Culture, C-Wing, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
17. Secretary, Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Shastri Bhawan, C-Wing, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road New Delhi-110001.
19. Shri S.S. Dubey, Joint Secretary & Financial Adviser, M/o DoNER
20. Shri Saurabh Endley, Joint Secretary (NLCPR/NEISDS), M/o DoNER

Copy to: Chief Secretary, all NE States

Copy to also:

i. OSD to Hon’ble Minister of State (I/C), Ministry of DoNER
ii. Superintending Engineer (GSM), TW, M/o DoNER
iii. Director (UK)/ Director (BNP) / DS(IFD)/, Ministry of DoNER
iv. Sr. Technical Director (NIC), M/o DoNER
v. PPS to Secretary, M/o DoNER
vi. Web Manager, M/o DoNER
Minutes of the 16th Inter Ministerial Committee (IMC)/NESIDS Committee meeting held on 19.08.2019 at 9:30 a.m. in the Committee Room ‘A’, VigyanBhawan Annexe, New Delhi under the chairmanship of Secretary, MDoNER.

The 16th meeting of Inter Ministerial committee (IMC)/NESIDS Committee was held on 19.08.2019 at 09:30 a.m. to discuss the proposals for sanction/selection/retention of the projects of NE States under NESIDS and other miscellaneous issues. Chief Secretaries of all concerned States co-chaired the meeting.

List of participants is at Annexure I.

2. At the outset, Secretary, MDoNER welcomed Chief Secretaries, the other members of IMC, representatives of State Governments and line Ministries of the Government of India and discussed the final state wise position of available allocation under NESIDS, HADP, Special Packages, etc., which is as follows:-

(Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>Entitlement</th>
<th>Sanctioned (including recommendations of 15th IMC) (No III)</th>
<th>Sanctioned (including recommendations of 15th IMC) (Cost) IV</th>
<th>Selected/Retained but not yet sanctioned (No V)</th>
<th>Available Fund (Cost) VI</th>
<th>VII (II-IV-VI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>317.69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>312.72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>#69.33</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>471.85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>471.58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>194.95</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>192.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>176.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>174.81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>187.01</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>181.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>179.22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>127.82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73.32</td>
<td>-21.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>103.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120.88</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1870.27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1613.49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>263.53</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Packages of Assam**

| BTC            | 750.00      | 63                                                          | 741.93                                                      | 02                                            | 8.05                     | 0.02           |
KAATC  |  350.00 | 18 | 157.15 | 14 | 188.49 | 4.36
DHATC  |  200.00 | 10 | 121.39 |  05|  79.00 | -0.39
HADP
Manipur |  90.00 | 40 |  91.97 |  0 |  0    | -1.97

Note:-

(a) 69.33 # - Funding of selected projects against saving accrued for Rs 75.85*, subject to approval of IFD.

(b) **The projects under the packages of BTC, KAATC & DHATC are retained by the Ministry but sanctioned by the State Govt. of Assam.

3. Secretary, MDoNER reiterated that completion certificates, in respect of the completed projects, should be submitted by the State Government(s) to the Ministry of DoNER only after the project(s) is (are) completed in all respects, and have been operationalised.

4. Secretary, MDoNER raised serious concerns on abnormal time overruns of the ongoing projects under the schemes of this Ministry. There are 24 projects worth Rs.545.14 crore, which are of 15+ years vintage, 95 projects worth Rs.1194.24 crore in 10-15 year category, 191 projects worth Rs.1957.43 crore between 7-10 years, etc. All the State Governments have to proactively review the status of these projects and monitor them closely. To avoid abnormal delays in the execution of recently sanctioned projects, a robust monitoring system needs to be developed using latest technologies. The state-wise status of delayed projects is enclosed at Annexure II.

ARUNACHAL PRADESH

5. The issue of foreclosure of 11 projects which had been considered in the 10th IMCs, but still pending for final decision, was discussed in detail initially with Secretary (Planning) who pointed out that three out of these 11 projects are either complete or very near completion and perhaps even wrongly proposed for foreclosure. In these projects, the state government has completed the projects with its own funds in anticipation of release of the balance fund entitled under NLCPFR from MDoNER in due course. As regards remaining projects proposed for foreclosure by state it was seen that there have been deviations from the terms and conditions of the Administrative and Financial Sanctions (AFS) and in many cases fore-closing the projects midway would result in loss of valuable national resources and the intended benefit of these projects to
the people of North East will not flow. Further in some cases, the tenders have not been floated/work orders have not been issued in accordance with the AFS issued by MDoNER. Considering the complexities involved in these cases, one of the suggestions which emerged during discussion was that State government may fund these projects from out of its own resources and the amount of approved cost of these cases can be treated as savings flowing to NESIDS entitlement of the State for which state can submit new projects. Although, initially, Planning Secretary stated that State Government is agreeable to such solution, subsequently when Chief Secretary joined the discussion over Video Conferencing, he stated that the state Government possibly will discuss these aspects in detail and send a detailed proposal separately to MDoNER for consideration. Accordingly, the committee recommended that state may send a concrete proposal regarding these projects with requisite details and justification to enable MDoNER to take appropriate timely decision in consultation with IFD. As regards, projects which are either complete or very near completion and which has been wrongly proposed for foreclosure, the committee suggested that State Government should send all requisite documents evidencing completion of the project and claim the balance fund entitlement under NLCPR.

NAGALAND

6. The representatives of the State Government gave details of the following projects:-

I Project of Upgradation of road and bridge from NH-29 at 7th Mile Model Village to Siethekema “C” tourist destination onwards to Governor’s Camp. (Copy of the Executive Summary & General Abstract of Cost prepared by competent Authority, Technical Project Appraisal Report approved by SLEC, presentation made by the State Govt. on VC, during the meeting and Mandatory Certificates are at Annexures III-A, III-B, III-C & III-D respectively)

Cost : Rs 32.91 crore

Selected in the 13th meeting of NESIDS Committee held on 22.02.2019 at an cost of Rs.32.83 crore, as an intermediate road.

District: Dimapur

Output: RCC bridge of 40 m span and upgradation of the existing earthen and fair-weather road by improving the road geometrics and making it to all-weather road of 8.4 km

Outcome: Annual Footfall of tourists expected to increase to 3 lakh from 2.5 lakh at present
Discussion: The project was selected as an intermediate road in the 13th IMC. However, while preparing the DPR it was realized that only a single road was required. The specification was therefore revised accordingly, but the cost remained approximately the same. During the SLEC meeting held on 09.08.2019, the Roads and Bridges department clarified/justified the cost remaining same, even though the carriageway width was reduced, based on the following reasons:

a) increased quantity of earthwork in embankment for maintaining required gradient as per IRC specifications;

b) increased cost of RCC bridges from Rs.10.00 crore to Rs.14.30 crore;

c) additional toe wall work of Rs.2.30 crore as per actual site requirement for embankment.

SLEC recommendation: The DPR of Rs 32.91 crore for a single lane road was vetted and recommended for sanction to MDoNER during SLEC meeting held on 09.08.2019 chaired by Chief Secretary, Nagaland.

It was decided that the Technical Wing of MDoNER should make a field visit of the project within one week to evaluate the correctness of the estimates as given in the DPR.

After due deliberations, the Committee recommended the project “Upgradation of road and bridge from NH-29 at 7th Mile Model Village to Siethekema “C” tourist destination onwards to Governor’s Camp” as a single lane road, for sanction at vetted cost of Rs.32.91 crore, subject to the verification by the Technical Wing of MDoNER in respect of correctness of the estimates in DPR after field visit.

II Project of Development of Tourism related infrastructure (Road with Heliport) at Tuophema tourist village. (Copy of the Executive Summary & General Abstract of Cost prepared by competent Authority, Technical Project Appraisal Report approved by SLEC, presentation made by the State Govt. on VC, during the meeting and Mandatory Certificates are at Annexures IV-A, IV-B, IV-C & IV-D respectively)

Cost: Rs 17.81 crore

Selected in the 13th meeting of NESIDS Committee held on 22.02.2019 at an cost of Rs.17.79 crore

District: Nagaland

Output: Upgrade the circular road in Tuophema Tourist Village and the road from the Village to Heliport to Major District Road (MDR) of length 7.68 km standard with a
carriageway of 3.75 m and earthen shoulders on both sides of the pavement having a width of 0.5 m. Lounge at Heliport

**Outcome:** Annual Footfall of tourists would increase from 75000 to 100000.

**Discussion:** The project was selected as an intermediate road in the 13th IMC. However, while preparing the DPR it was realized that only a single road was required. The specification was therefore revised accordingly, but the cost remained approximately the same. During the SLEC meeting held on 09.08.2019, the Roads and Bridges department clarified/justified the cost remaining same, even though the carriageway width was reduced, based on the following reasons:

a) increased quantity of earthwork in excavation as per actual site measurement;

b) incorporation of necessary additional items such as breast walls and road safety measures;

c) the cost in the concept note was based on preliminary assessment. The pavement design in the concept note cost was based on IRC 37 2012 specifications, which has now been superseded and has been made mandatory to follow the IRC 37 2018 specifications. Accordingly, the WMM thickness had to be increased to 250 mm form 225 mm.

**SLEC recommendation:** The DPR of Rs 17.80 crore was discussed before SLEC meeting held on 09.08.2019 which has vetted the DPR and recommended the project to DoNER for sanction.

It was decided that the Technical Wing of MDoNER should make a field visit of the project within one week to evaluate the correctness of the estimates as given in the DPR.

**After due deliberations the Committee recommended the project “Development of Tourism related infrastructure (Road with Heliport) at Tuophema tourist village” for sanction as a single lane road, at vetted cost of Rs 17.80 crore subject to the clearance/verification by the Technical Wing of MDoNER in respect of correctness of the estimates in DPR after field visit.**

**III Construction of 2-lane approach road at Chithu Airport, Kohima**

Considering that against the total entitlement of Rs 179.22 crore, proposals for Rs 178.53 crore have been sanctioned including recommendations of 16th IMC, it was decided that above stated project recommended for selection in 4th IMC meeting be deferred.
MIZORAM

7. The representatives of the State Government gave a detailed presentation on the following project:-

NESIDS

I. Project "Component I of Development of Immediately Required Infrastructure for Tourism Development at Reiek and ReiekTlang" under NESIDS. (Copy of the Concept Paper and presentation made by representatives of the State Govt., during the meeting are at Annexures V-A and V-B respectively)

Cost: Rs. 5.60 crore

District: Aizawl

TSP: The project lies in the Tribal Area

Output: To be detailed in the DPR.

Outcome: To be detailed in the DPR.

Concept Note: The Concept Note for Rs. 5.60 crore forwarded by Chief Secretary, Govt. of Mizoram vide D.O. letter dated 04th July, 2019.

It was informed to the Committee that the balance entitlement available under NESIDS for the state of Mizoram is Rs. 5.05 crore against which the State Govt. has forwarded the project proposal "Component I of Development of Immediately Required Infrastructure for Tourism Development at Reiek and ReiekTlang" Aizawl District costing Rs. 5.60 crore for funding under NESIDS. Representatives of the State Government gave a detailed presentation on the project and explained that the work included components like Installation of Distribution Transformer; Viewing Gallery; Development of Parking Area and Entrance Gate; Vendors Market Area; Renovation & Upgradation of Amphitheater; Security Fencing; Pedestrian footpath and steps with railings; Public toilet blocks; Solid waste collection and disposal; and Solar street lighting.

It was discussed that although some components of the project do not strictly lie in the category of 'infrastructure', the project is mainly for the development of Tourism, which is an important sector under NESIDS.

After due deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for selection at the cost of Rs. 5.60 crore.

It was informed to the Committee that the Project was sanctioned by this Ministry on 04.12.2018 at the cost of Rs. 114.20 crore. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Mizoram has requested to extend the time period for submission of work order till 31st August, 2019 and revision in date of completion to 18 months from the date of issue of work order instead of the current date of 31.03.2020.

After due deliberations, the Committee recommended to accept the request of the State Govt. subject to the condition that the funds for the project will not be available under NESIDS after March, 2020, as NESIDS is currently sanctioned upto March, 2020 only. If the full entitlement of the funds for this project is not claimed by them, the State Govt. will have to bear the remaining liability and complete the project from out of its own resources.

MANIPUR

8. The representatives of the State Government gave detailed presentation on the scope of the following projects:-

NESIDS

I. Project “Construction of buildings for 50 Anganwadi centres with one toilet and rain water harvesting “under NESIDS. (Copy of the Concept Paper and presentation made by representatives of the State Govt. during the meeting are at Annexures VI-A and VI-B respectively)

Cost: Rs 3.08 crore

District: Chandel (An Aspirational District)

TSP: The project lies in the Tribal Area

Output: 50 Anganwadi Centres (AWCs)

Outcome: No. of children in proposed 50 AWCs - 778

Concept Note: The Concept Note (duly approved by Competent Authority) for Rs. 3.25 crore forwarded by Commissioner (Planning), Govt. of Manipur vide letter dated 08th August, 2019.

The representatives of the State Govt. briefed the Committee that the balance entitlement available under NESIDS for the state of Manipur is Rs. 2.86 crore. It was presented that construction of a building for an Anganwadi centre, with one toilet and
rain water harvesting costs approximately Rs 6 lakhs. The total cost of the project, for 50 Anganwadi Centres comes to Rs. 3.08 crore.

Thereafter, the State Govt. gave a detailed presentation before the Committee about the project.

During the discussion, the Committee felt that Chandel, being an Aspirational District, this project may be considered for funding under NESIDS. Accordingly, the Committee recommended the project for selection at the proposed cost of Rs.3.08 crore.

**NLCPR**

II. Ongoing project “Improvement of Koirengei – Sekmai Road i/c bridge approach from Sangakpham to Salanthong in Manipur” under NLCPR Scheme.

The representatives of the State Govt. briefed the Committee that the project was sanctioned at an approved cost of Rs.20.67 crore on 18th December, 2017 under NLCPR Scheme and thereafter, the project was inspected by the Superintending Engineer (SE) of the Ministry of DoNER. After inspection of the project on the site, SE, MDoNER suggested addition and deletion of certain components of work and their rates. Accordingly, the technical team of MDoNER and the State Government jointly worked out a revision in the components and cost of the project which came out as Rs.16.54 crore. This revised project proposal of Rs.16.54 crore was also recommended by SLEC for sanction which has been submitted to Ministry of DoNER for placing before the IMC.

The proposal was subsequently placed before IMC held on 18.06.2019, where JS&FA, MDoNER advised that the proposal may be examined by Cost Revision Committee (CRC) which was agreed to by the IMC/NESID Committee.

While the case was being dealt on file, the IFD informed that as per para 9 of O.M. No. 24 (35) / PF-II/2012 dated 05th August, 2016 of Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure which relates to the Revised Cost Estimates, the Revised Cost Committee considers the proposals for increase in cost. However, in the instant project, the cost has decreased from Rs. 20.67 crore to Rs. 16.54 crore.

Accordingly, the proposal was placed before Committee, where the State Govt. gave a detailed presentation.
After due deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for funding at the revised cost of Rs. 16.54 crore as proposed by the State Govt.

HADP

III. Project “Improvement of Tamenglong District Hospital Approach Road including Parking, Manipur” under HADP. (Copy of the General Abstract of Cost prepared by competent Authority and Minutes of the meeting of SLSC are at Annexures VII-A & VII-B respectively)

It was briefed to the Committee that the project was recommended ‘in-principle’ for selection and sanction at the cost of Rs. 20.00 lakh subject to vetting of DPR in the HADP Committee meeting held on 18.06.2019.

The State Govt. has sent the minutes of SLSC held on 22.07.2019 wherein the project was recommended for sanction at the vetted cost of Rs. 44.97 lakh. During the meeting, representatives of State Govt gave due justification for the increased cost which is as per SOR of the state.

Accordingly, the proposal was placed before Committee, where the State Govt. gave a detailed presentation.

After due deliberations, the Committee recommended for sanction of the project at the vetted cost of Rs. 44.97 lakh as proposed by the State Govt.

IV. Project "Construction of Women marketing complex at Tamenglong HQ". (Copy of presentation made by the State Govt. during the meeting are at Annexures VIII)

Cost : Rs. 7.00 crore

District: Tamenglong

Output: Construction of G+1 RCC Structure

Outcome: Beneficial to 300 women vegetable vendors

Concept Note: The Concept Note (duly approved by Competent Authority) for Rs. 7.00 crore forwarded by Commissioner (Planning), Govt. of Manipur vide letter dated 16th August, 2019.

It was explained to the committee that the project was submitted by the State Govt. keeping in view the remaining allocation of Rs. 6.12 cr. available under HADP.
The State Govt. also explained that the present structure is a temporary shed which is in poor condition and has been in existence for more than 40 years. The proposed market system in the proposal provides permanent structure of G+1 RCC structure and the capacity of the market will be for 300 women vendors against the current capacity of 150 women vendors and that too in a rotational manner, i.e. morning and dayshift.

The representative of the State Government gave the presentation on the project. After due deliberations, the Committee recommended retention of the project at the cost of Rs.7.00 crore and the state government was requested to submit duly vetted appraisal report and abstract of cost of the project and recommendation of the SLSC.

TRIPURA

9. The representatives of the State Government gave detailed presentations on the scope of the following projects:

NESIDS

I. Project “Up-gradation of existing Surjamaninagar 132 KV Sub-Station to 400 KV system in Tripura” under NESIDS.

The representatives of the State Govt. informed the Committee that the proposal was retained for part funding of ₹120.88 crore against the total cost of 171.38 crore in 11th IMC subject to the condition that before finalization of DPR, the state Government should indicate the present levels of power supply, including quality etc., in the tourist destinations which shall be promoted by this proposal, and link as to how the improved quality and availability of power would have a positive impact on Tourism. SG should then send the same to the both Ministry of Tourism and Power. Ministry of Tourism should then indicate their views on the comments given by SG, and indicate how the project would promote tourism. Ministry of Power should also give specific comments on the techno-economic feasibility of the Project. The proposal was discussed in 15th IMC held on 18.06.2019, wherein it was briefed to the Committee that Ministry of Tourism has recommended the project for sanction under NESIDS whereas the comments of Ministry of Power is still awaited. Thereafter, the Committee recommended to put up the proposal in the next IMC after receipt of comments of Ministry of Power.

Accordingly, the proposal was discussed in the 16th IMC held on 19.08.2019, wherein the Officials of the State Govt. of Tripura and the representatives of Ministry of Power also participated. During the meeting the State Govt. officials gave a detailed presentation stating that the comments of Ministry of Power has been received and accordingly, the proposal may be sanctioned as the SLEC has already vetted the project and all the mandatory certificates have already been submitted to the Ministry.
Representative of Ministry of Power stated that M/o Power has got the project vetted from techno-economic feasibility angle and found it in order, and M/o Power supports the funding of the project under NESIDS.

Keeping in mind the comments of Ministry of Tourism, Ministry of Power and the State Govt. officials, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at the total cost of Rs. 171.38 crore with part funding of Rs. 120.88 crore from Ministry of DoNER and the balance amount to be borne by State Govt.

NLCPR

II. Project “Construction of Tripura Institute of Technology (Phase-II) in Tripura under NLCPR Scheme”

It was briefed to the Committee that the project was sanctioned for part funding of ₹90.00 crore against total cost of ₹141.37 crore against which an amount of ₹32.40 crore towards central share has been released and the State Government has released ₹7.45 crore in the project towards the State share.

It was also briefed that the proposal was inspected by Technical Wing, Ministry of DoNER, wherein they raised certain observations, which have been resolved by the State Govt. Subsequently it was found that Government also received funds from other sources. As a result, the admissible cost for part funding came down from ₹90.00 crore to ₹74.45 crore against the total cost of the project of ₹141.37 crore and after deduction of ₹6.05 lakh as desired by Technical Wing, the admissible cost under NLCPR scheme for part funding comes to ₹74.39 crore. Out of ₹74.39 crore, contribution of the Ministry towards the central share becomes ₹66.95 crore (90% of ₹74.39 crore) and contribution of the State Government towards the State share would be ₹7.44 crore. This was agreed to by the State Govt. vide Chief Secretary’s D.O. letter No. F.6 (110)/PCD/NLCPR /2017-18 dated 04th February, 2019 while the case was being dealt on file.

While examining the proposal for second release for concurrence, IFD raised observations for modification in Administrative and Financial Sanction according to admissible cost under NLCPR Scheme treating the nature of the project as ongoing

After due deliberations, the Committee recommended for requisite modification in Administrative and Financial Sanction (AFS) of the project treating it as an ongoing Project costing Rs.141.37 crore, of which the cost of part funding from the MDoNER is to be reduced to Rs. 74.39 crore from Rs.90.00 crore, in the modified AFS. The issue of AFS would be subject to the Govt. of Tripura submitting the
complete funding plan for the project. The revised Administrative and Financial Sanction would also mention breakup of cost portion being funded by various sources, i.e. State Government under SDS Scheme, SPA, and NITI Aayog and other sources, if any.

10. After considering the recommendations for sanction in today’s meeting, the final state wise position of available allocation under NESIDS, HADP, Special Packages etc. is as follows:-

(Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>Entitlement</th>
<th>Sanctioned (including recommendations of 16th IMC)</th>
<th>Selected/Retained but not yet sanctioned (including recommendations of 16th IMC)</th>
<th>Available Fund (II-IV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>(No) III (Cost) IV</td>
<td>(No) V (Cost) VI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>317.69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>312.72</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>471.85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>471.58</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>194.95</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>192.09</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>176.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>174.81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>187.01</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>181.96</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>179.22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>178.54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>103.31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>164.83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1794.42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1779.84</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Packages of Assam**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTC</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>741.93</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAATC</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>157.15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>188.49</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHATC</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>121.39</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HADP
Note:-

(a) 69.33 # - Action to be taken as discussed in Para 5 above.

(b) **The projects under the packages of BTC, KAATC & DHATC are retained by the Ministry but sanctioned by the State Govt. of Assam.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chairman and all the participants.

*****
# List of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Officers attended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Members of Inter Ministerial Committee/NESIDS Committee | 1. Dr. Inder Jit Singh, Secretary, M/o DoNER (in chair)  
2. Shri Naresh Kumar, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh on VC (Co-chair)  
3. Shri J Suresh Babu, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Manipur, on VC (Co-chair)  
4. Shri L. Chuango, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Mizoram (Co-chair)  
5. Shri Temjen Toy, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Nagaland, on VC (Co-chair)  
6. Dr. U. Venkateswarlu, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Tripura, on VC (Co-chair)  
7. Shri Saurabh Endley, JS, M/o DoNER  
8. Shri S.S. Dubey, JS & FA, M/o DoNER  
9. Shri T. Kabilan, Director (NER), NITI Aayog |
| 2. | Other Special Invitees from Line Ministries/NITI Aayog | 10. Shri Sandeep Gaur, Superintending Engineer, M/o Road Transport and Highways, Gol  
11. Shri Sunil Gautam, Director, Ministry of Power, Gol  
12. Smt. Kim Chongloi, Deputy Secretary, M/o Tourism, Gol  
13. Smt. V.K. Bhalla, Under Secretary, M/o Health Family & Welfare, Gol  
14. Shri Chandan Kumar, Under Secretary, M/o Health Family & Welfare, Gol  
15. Shri B. Late, Under Secretary, Ministry of Power, Gol  
16. Shri S.K. Singh, SSO, M/o Health Family & Welfare, Gol |
| 3. | Government of Arunachal Pradesh | In addition to officials of Planning Department and Implementing Deptt through VC.  
17. Shri Himanshu Gupta, Planning Secretary |
| 4. | Government of Mizoram | In addition to officials of Planning Department and Implementing Deptt through VC.  
18. Shri C. Vanliliansaya, Secretary Planning  
19. Ms. Rachel Lairiahlin, Tourism Department |
| 5. | Government of Manipur | In addition to officials of Planning Department and Implementing Deptt through VC.  
20. Shri Sumant Singh, Commissioner, Planning  
21. Shri H. Worshong, DC, Tamaenglong  
22. Shri Krishna Kumar, DC, Chandel  
23. Shri Kh. Temba Singh, Chief Engineer  
24. Shri S. Prakash Singh, Executive Engineer  
25. Shri Kh. Robinson Singh, Junior Engineer  
26. Dr. Suhel Alehli, ACS (Works) |
| 6. | Government of Nagaland (through VC) | 27. Shri Sentiyang Imchen, Addl Cs & Development Commissioner  
28. Shri Nosazol Charles, Addl Development Commissioner  
29. Shri Limanaro, CE, PWD Road & Bridges  
30. Swarnalmeru, SE, PWD Road & Bridges  
31. Shri B. Henok Buchem, DS, Tourism |
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Government of Tripura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In addition to officials of Planning Department and Implementing Deptt through VC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33. Dr. M.S. Kele, CMD, TSECH, Power Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34. Shri Saju Vaheed, Director, Higher Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Ministry of DoNER, GoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35. Shri Ravindra Choudhary, Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36. Shri G. S. Maheshwal, SE, TW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37. Shri Dibyozit Dutta, Senior Technical Director (NIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39. Shri Parveen Kumar, Executive Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40. Shri A.K. Pandey, Under Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41. Shri M.S. Lyngdoh, Under Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42. Smt. Kusum Singh, SSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43. Shri Heera Lal Meena, ASO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44. Shri Mahesh Chander, ASO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45. Shri Rahul Gupta, ASO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>