

Minutes of the 22nd meeting of Inter Ministerial Committee (IMC)/NESIDS Committee held on 18.06.2020 at 10:30 a.m. in the Committee Room (Room No. 243-A), Vigyan Bhawan Annexe, New Delhi under the chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of DoNER.

1. The 22nd meeting of Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC)/NESIDS Committee was held on 18.06.2020 at 10.30 a.m. to discuss:
 - a. the proposals received from the State Governments of Assam and Nagaland related to **Strengthening Health Infrastructure for Fighting Corona Virus (COVID 19)**, for selection & sanction;
 - b. Project proposals received from State Governments of Meghalaya, Sikkim and Tripura for selection; and
 - c. One project of State Government of Manipur, for condonation of delay.

Chief Secretaries of Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Sikkim and Tripura co-chaired the meeting. List of participants is at **Annexure I**.

2. Project Proposals for Strengthening Health Infrastructure for Fighting Corona Virus (COVID 19) for selection and sanction.

2.1 At the outset the Committee was informed that for the COVID 19 project proposals received from Assam and Nagaland, common issues addressed and decided during 21st Meeting of IMC/NESIDS Committee (in which proposals for Strengthening Health Infrastructure for Fighting Corona Virus (COVID 19) of Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya and Mizoram were recommended for selection and sanction), would apply to these proposals as well. Since, the COVID 19 projects have to be dealt with urgency, certain relaxations in the normal procedures and timelines for selection, sanction, release of funds etc. were recommended in 21st meeting of IMC/NESIDS Committee. Consideration of proposal of Assam and Nagaland for combating COVID 19 would be subject to same relaxations and terms & conditions of AFS, as have been agreed to by IFD and approved by the competent authority (Hon'ble Minister, Ministry of DoNER) as in case of Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya and Mizoram. These relaxations are summarised below:-

- i. Selection and sanction would be done in a single stage process, i.e. IMC/NESIDS Committee would consider, the proposal for selection as well as sanction together based on the concept note, which contain all necessary details.
- ii. The requirement for a formal DPR and its vetting by institute like NIT/IITs etc. may not be insisted upon. However, the project proposals have to be vetted by

the State Health Department experts and should be supported by the M/o Health and Family Welfare.

- iii. Condition of project to be located at one place may not be insisted upon. Upgradation of health infrastructure at multiple locations and facilities may be permitted, as this will facilitate consideration of comprehensive proposal of the State rather than same being broken into multiple projects at different locations.
- iv. Formal meetings of State Level Empowered Committee (SLEC) may be dispensed with. However, Chief Secretary (CS) may obtain views/comments of any other officer of the State Government or any other expert, wherever and whenever required. Further, Principal Secretary in charge of Health, Finance and Planning, and a few other concerned officers of the State Govt. who are generally part of SLEC, have also been/will be invited / associated with IMC/ NESIDS Committee meeting along with Chief Secretaries.
- v. Instead of Rs.10 lakh token amount release, 40% of the sanctioned cost may be released along with issue of AFS, and balance 60% upon receipt of the work order for all the items as per AFS and Utilization Certificate (UC) for the 40% released amount (subject to availability of budget provisions and instructions of DoE on QEP/MEP).
- vi. In some cases, urgency for project implementation may lead to situations where the State Government might have already initiated some immediate steps for project implementation, like having already floated the tenders, etc. As a one-time measure, only for such urgent projects, it would be permissible to fund such projects under NESIDS, even if such steps have already been taken by the State Government. However, standard conditions that no work would be done or items procured before the issuance of sanction shall be insisted upon.
- vii. In addition to physical Health Infrastructure related items, funding is also proposed for equipment like PPEs, Ventilators, etc., as these are part of health infrastructure, and are the core and most essential/critical items for fighting COVID19.

3. The agenda notes, along with the concept papers were circulated twice to all the Members of the Committee and sectoral line Ministry (which is Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in case of Health projects) - once at the time of receipt after initial evaluation by the Programme division, and subsequently as Final Agenda Notes, formalized after comments received from M/o H&FW, NITI Aayog, IFD MDoNER, and Technical Wing MDoNER.

4. Committee while agreeing to and recommending the above relaxations observed as follows:

4.1 The relaxations, as stated above would be applicable only for COVID 19 related proposals as a one-time measure.

4.2 These are only for urgent nature health infrastructure proposals required for fighting COVID 19 which can be undertaken/ completed in a short duration, and not for normal health infrastructure proposals which, though covered under the guidelines, have to follow the normal laid down procedures. Hence, the approved activities, including procurement of various equipment/ items, infrastructure related works etc. must be completed within the shortest possible time, within a maximum of six months. Hence, States may have to separate out proposals of urgent nature for fighting COVID and normal health infrastructure proposals. However, even for the second type of proposal, MDoNER may expedite the process of approvals and convene early meeting of IMC/ NESIDS Committee to consider all such proposals in a strict time frame after obtaining comments from stakeholders especially M/o H&FW, NITI Aayog, IFD MDoNER, and Technical Wing, MDoNER (for civil works related items only).

4.3 State Government will, in due course, furnish the exact details of various activities undertaken, type and quantities of equipments/ items purchased, infrastructural works undertaken, assets created etc. with funding received from **all** the sources for fighting COVID 19 since January 2020, including from M/o H&FW, NEC, MDoNER, States own resources and any other source (including assistance received in kind) and confirm that there is no duplication in terms of funding same item and / or quantities of same item from different sources of funding.

4.4 Various works, procurement of equipment etc. will be made as per laid down procedures of the State Government, for undertaking such works / procurement of such items, with the approval of the competent authority of the State Government as per delegation of powers.

4.5 State government shall put in place a robust and strict monitoring mechanism for ensuring time bound completion of various activities and ensuring quality controls of highest standard.

4.6 The reasonableness of cost estimates and specifications of various Health related equipment to be procured etc. shall be vetted and certified by Principal Secretary (Health) of State Government and other health experts of State Government and countersigned by the Chief Secretary of the State. Similarly, cost estimates of civil/

electrical items etc., wherever applicable, shall be vetted by State Principal Secretary (PWD) and other Technical experts of PWD.

4.7 Utilisation Certificates shall be signed by Principal Secretary (Health) and Principal Secretary (Planning) of State Government and countersigned by the Chief Secretary of the State.

4.8 MDoNER shall be free to get the pace of implementation / progress of works / procurement and other related aspects, including those relating to quality, inspected/checked by its officers or officers of M/o H&FW or any other authorised officers.

4.9 State Government shall keep a proper record of various items procured and consumed, assets created etc. duly authenticated by authorised officers of the State government.

4.10 Only those items/part of items should be funded under NESIDS, which are not/will not be funded by M/o H&FW under the Central Package for COVID-19, and which are not being funded through funds released for the fight against COVID-19 by NEC, and it is to be ensured that there is no duplication. The State Govt. will have to submit a certificate in this regard. In case the funds given by M/o H&FW and NEC are insufficient for the full quantity of some item/items that the State Government wants to procure for the fight against COVID-19, then they would be allowed to buy part of the quantity of those item/items from the M/o H&FW funds, NEC funds or any other source and balance quantity from NESIDS funds, provided that they give a clear breakup/segregation of quantities of the same item/items procured through the different sources of funding. They would also have to give a non-duplication certificate for the quantity of those items funded by NESIDS.

5. The Committee was informed that all of the above proposed relaxations recommended by the Committee may not apply to all of the proposals. However, these one-time relaxations, meant only for urgent COVID-19 related proposals, are being recommended as an overall enabling list, for approval of Competent Authority (Hon'ble Minister, Ministry of DoNER) so that each of the proposals can be considered by MDoNER within the overall framework of these relaxations.

6. The representative of NITI Aayog, who participated in the meeting through VC, stated that while NITI Aayog have supported the COVID 19 related proposals of Assam and Nagaland placed before the committee, the State Governments need to address concerns regarding availability of skilled health professionals like doctors, technicians and nurses before procuring the material for these project proposals. The Committee

noted that this is an important issue, as the State Governments need to ensure that the infrastructure created through these projects must be put to use immediately, and should not lie unutilized on account of lack of adequate and trained staff. The response of the State Governments on this issue is included in the discussions of their individual projects (paras 8.6 and 9.7 below). The Chief Secretaries of concerned states assured the committee that these concerns will be duly taken care of by the State Government.

7. Thereafter, the committee took up each of the projects for detailed discussion and recommendations as detailed below:

8. Project proposal of Government of Assam - Proposal for "Upgradation of 24 numbers of existing district hospitals for setting up of ICUs for treatment of COVID-19 patients in Assam" under NESIDS.

8.1 The Chief Secretary, Assam, along with Officials of Health, Finance and Planning Department of State Govt. participated in the meeting through Video Conferencing (VC). The State Govt. gave a detailed presentation/explaining the salient features of the proposal, and justification for the same during the meeting and informed that the cost of the proposal of Upgradation of 24 district hospitals for setting up 414 ICUs for **treatment of COVID-19 patients is Rs.194.35 crore. The Committee was also informed that the total project cost is based on DPR already prepared by the State Government. The Committee was also informed that the Overall project has two components for a total estimated cost of Rs.395.15 Cr. The first component for Rs. 194.35 Cr is being placed before the Committee for funding under NESIDS, while the second component of Rs.198.79 Cr, which is mainly for the construction of residential buildings will be taken care of by the State Govt.**

8.2 The project proposal of Assam was forwarded to Ministry of Health & Family for comments. MoH&FW recommended the project at a cost of Rs. 97.49 crore.

8.3 In the meeting, Chief Secretary explained in detail that the State Govt. has done detailed appraisal, on the basis of which the complete project worth Rs. 194.35 crore, with all components, has been placed before the Committee, with a request for sanctioning the entire project as a whole. On this, Committee, after detailed discussion, stated that for COVID 19 related proposals, Committee will go by the advice of M/o H&FW. Hence, the committee recommended that presently, only components of the project and their cost as vetted by the M/o H&FW, may be recommended for selection and sanction. The State Government, if it so desires, may submit supplementary project proposal in respect of the left out components for consideration for selection and

sanction as per the standard procedure for COVID 19 related proposals. However, consideration of the supplementary proposal would be contingent upon examination by and advice/recommendations of the M/o H&FW, and sanction would be limited to the balance Normative Allocation available for the State of Assam under NESIDS (the total tentative Normative Allocation for FY 2020-21 for Assam under NESIDS being Rs.148.62 crore). This was agreed to by the Chief Secretary.

8.4 During the meeting, it was assured by the representative of Govt. of Assam that State Government would also furnish a non-duplication certificate for the quantity of those items funded by NESIDS and Cost Vetting Certificate by Principal Secretary, Health of State Govt.

8.5 The State Govt. further confirmed that money sanctioned under the proposal would be solely used for COVID-19 related activities and would be utilized as early as possible but not later than within a maximum time span of six months from the date of sanction. Various queries raised/additional information sought by the Committee members were duly responded to by the State Government officers to the satisfaction of the members.

8.6 Principal Secretary Health, Govt. of Assam, further clarified that the State had adequate number of trained doctors, nurses and other medical and health professionals, such that the infrastructure created through the extant proposal would be adequately manned and immediately put to use.

8.7 The following recommendations of M/o H&FW were also deliberated upon during the meeting:

- i. CSSD, mechanized laundry and ICUs to be created as per Gol guidelines.
- ii. Availability of requisite HR and infrastructure for equipments and furniture to be ensured.
- iii. Procurement of equipment and furniture is done through open transparent process.
- iv. The equipment will be procured on the basis of gap analysis done by the State Government and the same will be installed at respective locations preferably within 3-4 months from release of funds under NESIDS.

The Committee stressed upon the importance of these issues to be ensured by the State Government during execution of the project. The representatives of the State Government assured that the State Government would ensure compliance of these

directives. Comments/observations of IFD were duly responded to by the M/o H&FW and the State Govt.

8.8 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) and copy of PPT by the State Govt. are at **Annexure IIA and IIB** respectively.

8.9 The Committee noted that M/o H&FW and NITI Aayog have supported the proposal.

8.10 After due consideration of the proposal of the State governments and detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended the project proposal of State Govt. of Assam for selection and sanction at the cost of Rs. 97.49 crore, subject to approval of the proposed relaxations and the proposal by the Competent Authority (Hon'ble Minister, MDoNER) as per following break up:-

Sl. No	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount (Rs. in crore)
1	ICU beds (includes infrastructure furniture, equipment(excluding ventilators), MGPS, AHU, multi paramonitors, Defibrillator, etc.	414	@14 lakh per bed	57.96
2	ETP/STP	24	@25 lakh per hospital	6.00
3	Mechanized laundry	24	@22 lakh per hospital	5.28
4	Generators, UPS, incinerators & electrical connections	24	@24 lakh per hospital	6.00
5	CSSD	24	@24 lakh per hospital	6.00
	Sub Total			81.24
6	20% Miscellaneous cost including GST			16.25
	Total			97.49

9. Project/proposal of Government of Nagaland - Proposal for “Strengthening Health Infrastructure for Comprehensive response to possible Outbreak of COVID-19 in Nagaland” under NESIDS.

9.1 The Chief Secretary, Nagaland along with other concerned Officials of Health, Finance and Planning Department of State Govt. participated in the meeting through Video Conference. The representatives of the State Government briefed the Committee about present situation of COVID-19 and immediately required infrastructure/ machinery& equipment, and related items, in preparation for the pandemic COVID-19 situation in the state of Nagaland.

9.2 It was briefed to the Committee that Govt. of Nagaland proposed the project with estimated cost of Rs.33.30 crore which was examined by the MoH&FW. MoH&FW initially recommended the cost of the project at Rs. 8.08 crore without supporting the 2 components of “Setting up of a Cardiac Centre at CIHSR, Dimapur” and “Strengthening Non-COVID-19 Hospitals for Secondary Services” requiring further information/justification from State Govt. submitted detailed clarification on the comments of M/o H&FW and revised the cost of proposal to Rs. 29.78 crore. The clarification by Govt of Nagaland, were further examined by MoH&FW, and they finally recommended the proposal at cost of Rs. 17.96 crore, while giving a component-wise breakup of the items recommended.

9.3 In the Meeting, Chief Secretary, Nagaland accepted the MoH&FW recommended components and cost of all components, except for the cost of “ALS & BLS Ambulance”, the cost of which had been taken by the State Government from the GeM portal of Govt. of India. On this, representative of M/o H&FW clarified that if State govt’s rates of Ambulances are quoted from GeM portal, then actual expenditure on Ambulances may be considered.

9.4 After due deliberation, it was decided to accept recommendations of MoH&FW and at present the project may be recommended for selection and sanction at the cost finally vetted by M/o H&FW (including cost of ambulances). If at the time of procurement of ambulances through GeM portal, the cost of ambulances is found to be at variance from the approved cost, MDoNER may revise the sanctioned cost of the project based on the actual cost of ALS and BLS Ambulances, arrived at during procurement from the GeM portal and matter need not be placed before NESIDS committee again for revision of the cost on account of this factor. It was reiterated that this cost revision would be considered only for rates taken from, and if procurement is done from the GeM portal.

9.5 During the meeting, it was assured by the representative of Govt. of Nagaland that State Government would also furnish a non-duplication certificate for the quantity of those items funded by NESIDS and Cost Vetting Certificate by Principal Secretary, Health of State Govt.

9.6 The State Govt. further confirmed that money sanctioned under the proposal would be solely used for COVID-19 related activities and would be utilized as early as possible but not later than within a maximum time span of six months from the date of sanction. Various queries raised/additional information sought by the Committee members were duly responded to by the State Government officers to the satisfaction of the members.

9.7 On the concern of NITI Aayog representative on availability of skilled health professionals like doctors, technicians and nurses before procuring the material for these project proposals, representatives of State Governments assured the Committee that the State Govt. would ensure adequate availability of health professionals, such that the entire infrastructure created through the extant project is put to use immediately.

9.8 The following recommendations of M/o H&FW were also deliberated upon during the meeting:

- i. Air handling unit is suggested instead of split air conditioner
- ii. Written commitments of the Cardiologist from CMC Vellore and St. Stephen's Hospital, Delhi need to be taken and they should join at CIHSR, Dimapur before procurement process is initiated. In case the cardiologist does not join, procurement of equipment should be deferred till a cardiologist joins. Regarding ventilator with proposed cost of Rs. 10 lakhs, since the Ministry is procuring ventilators centrally, the State Govt. may be advised to send its requirement to this Ministry so that the same can be provided by the Ministry. Regarding Cardiac Monitoring Equipment, State may provide details of the proposed equipment. Further, Air Handling Unit is suggested instead of Central Air Conditioner.
- iii. Equipment items should be procured through open transparent process.
- iv. Procurement and installation of equipment should be completed preferably within 3-4 months from release of funds by MDoNER.
- v. The state government should ensure availability of requisite HR and infrastructure for the equipments/ ambulances to be procured.

The Committee stressed upon the importance of these issues to be ensured by the State Government during execution of the project. The representatives of the State Government assured that the State Government would ensure compliance of these directives. The comments/observations raised by IFD were duly responded to by the State Government and M/o H&FW.

9.9 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) and copy of PPT by State Govt. are at **Annexure IIIA and IIIB** respectively.

9.10 The Committee noted that M/o H&FW and NITI Aayog have supported the proposal.

9.11 **After due consideration of the proposal of the State governments and detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended the project proposal of State Govt. of Nagaland for selection and sanction at the cost of Rs. 17.96 crore, subject to approval of the proposed relaxations and the proposal by the Competent Authority (Hon'ble Minister, MDoNER) as per following break up:-**

S. No	Particulars	Nos.	Rate	Amount (in Rs.)
i.	Strengthening ICU facilities for COVID-19	11 Hospitals		270.32
ii.	Setting up of Blood Banks at the district hospitals of Tuensang, Kiphire, Mon and Phek	4 Hospitals	@Rs 41.95 lakh	167.80
iii.	Oxygen generation plant	4	@ Rs. 50 Lakh	200.00
iv.	Setting up of a Cardiac Centre at CIHSR, Dimapur	1		648.50
v.	ALS Ambulance	11	@ Rs. 29 lakhs	319.00
vi.	BLS Ambulance	10	@ Rs.19 lakhs	190.00
	G. Total (in Lakhs)			1795.62

SIKKIM

10. Proposal “Upgradation and Re-modelling and Strengthening of existing Transmission & Distribution System of Soreng, Daramdin and it's surrounding areas in West Sikkim” at an estimated cost of Rs. 20.50 crore.

10.1 The representative of the State Govt. made a detailed presentation on the proposal before the Committee wherein the representatives of the State Govt. briefed that the instant project “Upgradation and Re-modelling and Strengthening of existing Transmission & Distribution System of Soreng, Daramdin and it's surrounding areas” has been proposed in West District of Sikkim. The demand of power in these regions have raised manifold and this has led to tremendous burden on the existing T&D infrastructure leading to frequent outages. The proposed work would reduce power outages, improve voltage profile, scope for future growth perspective, ease and safety of maintenance and enhance the aesthetic and beautiful look of urban areas in line with State Government’s beautification mission and boost Swadesh Bhraman (Domestic tourism). It was also stated that the proposal falls under West District which is an Aspirational District of the State.

10.2 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) and copy of PPT by the State Govt. are at **Annexure IVA and IVB** respectively.

10.3 After due deliberations, the Committee recommended the project “Upgradation and Re-modelling and Strengthening of existing Transmission & Distribution System of Soreng, Daramdin and it's surrounding areas in West Sikkim” for Selection at an estimated cost of Rs. 20.50 crore.

11. Proposal “Augmentation of Gyalshing Water Supply Scheme in West Sikkim” at an estimated cost of Rs. 80.06 crore.

11.1 The Committee noted that the State Govt. was left with the balance Normative Allocation under NESIDS for FY 2020-21 of Rs. 14.49 crore only (after selection of the above project). Hence, the cost of the proposal was much higher than the balance Normative Allocation. Representatives of the State Government stated that this was a very important project and asked if it was possible to select the project by taking into consideration Normative Allocation under NESIDS for the next year, i.e., FY 2021-22. It

was clarified to the State Government that this was not possible, especially since, as on date, the validity of sanction of the NESID Scheme was upto 31.03.2021 only. Hence, it was decided to defer the proposal for selection and the State Government was asked to submit a fresh proposal, which is complete in itself, upto their available entitlement.

11.2 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) is at **Annexure V**.

11.3 In view of the above, the proposal was not taken up presentation by the state Govt, and for detailed discussion by the committee.

Meghalaya

12. It was noted by the Committee that while the available Normative Allocation under NESIDS for FY 2020-21 for the State of Meghalaya was Rs. 47.54 crore, two proposals of the State Government, costing Rs. 28.00 crore and Rs 30.00 crore, had been put up for consideration. These proposals are:

- i. **“Greater Baghmara Water Supply Scheme (Phase-I)” with estimated cost of Rs. 30.00 crore.**
- ii. **“Construction/improvement of Dawki - Bholaganj road including Construction of missing links including bridges” with estimated cost of Rs.28.00 crore.**

13. Proposal “Greater Baghmara Water Supply Scheme (Phase-I)” with estimated cost of Rs. 30.00 crore.

13.1 The Committee noted that from the documents submitted by the State Government for this proposal, it was clear that the project could not be made functional on it’s own, and that the Phase - II of the project also needed to be sanctioned, completed and operationalised for the components of Phase -I to be operationalised. Therefore, the Committee advised the State Govt. to take both the Phases of **“Greater Baghmara Water Supply Scheme”** in a single proposal, which would be complete in itself. The State Govt. agreed to the suggestion of the Committee and agreed to drop the other proposal **“Construction/improvement of Dawki - Bholaganj road” in view of limited availability of the normative allocation.** It was further decided that the State Government would henceforth deal with the composite proposal as a whole, and make a DPR recasting the project components and combining both suggested Phases into one, for the SLEC and Sanction stages of the project. Whatever the total cost of the project as

determined through the DPR, may be considered by the SLEC, and placed before the NESIDS committee for sanction, but the funding by MDoNER under NESIDS will be limited to the available normative allocation i.e. Rs 47.54 cr, or the actual project cost, whichever is less. In case the total project cost is higher than Rs47.54 Cr, the balance, will have to be borne by the state Govt from out of its own resources. Thereafter, the State Govt. made a brief presentation on the proposal of "Greater Baghmara Water Supply Scheme"

13.2 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) and copy of PPT by the State Govt. are at **Annexure VIA and VIB** respectively.

13.3 After detailed discussions, the Committee recommended the project "Greater Baghmara Water Supply Scheme" for Selection with the components and cost to be recast on the above lines, subject to the condition that funding from the Ministry of DoNER would be limited to the State's balance Normative Allocation of Rs. 47.54 crore only and funds over and above the same would be arranged by the State Govt. from their own resources.

14. "Construction/improvement of Dawki - Bholaganj road including Construction of missing links including bridges" with estimated cost of Rs.28.00 crore.

14.1 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) is at **Annexure VII**.

14.2 As indicated above, in view of non-availability of the normative allocation, **the project "Construction/improvement of Dawki - Bholaganj road including Construction of missing links including bridges" for selection was not taken up by the committee for detailed discussion.**

Tripura

15. Proposal "Conversion of roads of PWD into all weather road by providing Paver Block under West Tripura, Shepahijala, Gomati & South Tripura District" with an estimated cost of Rs.89.48 crore

15.1 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) is at **Annexure VIII**.

15.2 The Committee noted that the project had been carved out by taking a small portion of a larger proposal costing Rs. 803.12 crore involving 432.29 kms. The cost of

the project as placed before the Committee was also in excess to the Normative Allocation for the State under NESIDS for FY 2020-21. The representatives of the State Government informed that they were willing to reduce the scope of the project further to bring the project cost within the Normative Allocation. The Committee noted that even than the project will be at multiple locations, and prima facia does not fit into the framework of NESIDS guidelines.

15.3 It was explained to the State Government that under NESIDS, the norm was to take up infrastructure projects such that identifiable infrastructure is created, with targeted benefits, at a single location, like a road from one point to another. However, as per the documents presented to the Committee for the extant proposal, the project consists of providing paver blocks on existing roads at many locations. There was also a doubt as to whether Paver-Blocks were the correct option for such locations and roads, and this viewpoint was also endorsed by representative from Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH). The Committee therefore advised the State Government to consult Ministry of Rural Development for such proposals, and to ask them if this proposal could be taken up under their schemes/programmes. The Committee further asked the State Government to submit fresh proposals under NESIDS, which were more suited in terms of scope for funding as per guidelines of the Scheme.

15.4 After detailed deliberations, the Committee did not recommended the proposal "Conversion of roads of PWD into all-weather road by providing Paver Block under West Tripura, Shepahijala, Gomati & South Tripura District" for selection.

Manipur

16. Project "Upgradation of Moirang Sendra Road upto Sendra Hillock and Road from Moirang Sendra Road to Omba Hillock and Keina Bazar on MoirangKhunou to ThangaKeibul Road-8.50 km for promoting Tourism Bishnupur" in Manipur for Condonation of delay in issue of award of work

16.1 Copy of Final Checklist cum Agenda Notes, as circulated/placed before the committee (along with all its enclosures) and copy of PPT by the State Govt are at **Annexure IXA and IXB** respectively.

16.2 The project was recommended for sanction at a cost of Rs. 21.65 crore by the 15th IMC/NESIDS Committee meeting held on 18.06.2019. Accordingly the Administrative and Financial Sanction (AFS) for an amount of Rs. 2032.38 lakh and token amount of

Rs. 10.00 lakh for the project was issued vide this Ministry's letter dated 29th July, 2019. The time limit for issue of work order was 29.01.2020. However, State Government awarded the work and issued Notice to proceed with work (NTPW) on 11.03.2020. The State Government requested for condonation of delay in award of work as the work has already been awarded now.

16.3 Considering the fact that since the work has already been awarded by the State Govt. and the delay is only about 41 days, **the Committee recommended to condone the delay in award of work and to process the case for release of subsequent installment.**

17. With the above sanctions/selections, the position of Normative allocation/available allocation of the NER States under NESIDS for FY 2020-21 reflects as under:

(Rs. in crore)

States	Normative Allocation for F.Y. 2020-21	Project sanctioned		Project selected but not yet sanctioned		Available allocation
		(No) III	(Cost) IV	(No) V	(Cost) VI	
Arunachal Pradesh	69.87	1	51.69	0	0	18.18
Assam	148.62	1	97.49	0	0	51.13
Manipur	53.40	0	0	1	53.40	0
Meghalaya	57.56	1	10.02	1	47.54	0
Mizoram	55.75	1	16.16	0	0	39.59
Nagaland	54.46	1	17.96	0	0	36.5
Sikkim	34.99	0	0	1	20.50	14.49
Tripura	60.35	0	0	0	0	60.35
Total	535.00	5	193.32	3	121.44	220.24

18. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chairman and all the participants.

Annexure-I

List of Participants

Sl. No.	Organisation	Officers attended
1.	Members of Inter Ministerial Committee/NESIDS Committee	1. Dr. Inder Jit Singh, Secretary, M/o DoNER (in chair)
		2. Shri Kumar Sanjay Krishna, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Assam, on VC (Co-chair)
		3. Dr. J. Suresh Babu, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Manipur, on VC (Co-chair)
		4. Shri M.S. Rao, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Meghalaya, on VC (Co-chair)
		5. Shri Temjen Toy, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Nagaland, on VC (Co-chair)
		6. Shri S.C. Gupta, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Sikkim, on VC (Co-chair)
		7. Shri Manoj Kumar, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Tripura, on VC (Co-chair)
		8. Shri S.S. Dubey, JS&FA, M/o DoNER, on VC
		9. Shri Saurabh Endley, JS, M/o DoNER
2.	Other Members/Special Invitees from Line Ministries/NITI Aayog	10. Shri T. Kabilan, Director (NE), NITI Aayog on VC
		11. Shri. Col VKS Tomar, OSD(BM) Ministry of External Affairs, GoI, on VC
		12. Shri Shribash Mandal (Chief Engineer), Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, GoI, on VC
		13. Shri Sunil Gautam, Director, Ministry of Power, GoI, on VC
		14. Shri Elangbam Robert Singh, Director, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, GoI, on VC
		15. Shri R.K. Pandey, Deputy Secretary (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs, on VC
3.	Government of Assam	16. Shri Samir Kumar Sinha, Principal Secretary, T&DD, Finance and Health, on VC
		17. Shri Nitin Khade, C&S T&DD, on VC
		18. Dr. K.K. Nath, Secy. T & D Deptt., on VC
		19. Shri Khanindra Choudhury, Addl. Secy. H&FW, on VC
		20. Dr. Anup Kumar Barman, DME, H&FW, on VC
		21. Shri Altafuddin Ahmad, CE(B) PWD, on VC

		22. Shri Jyotish Kr. Samah, OSD to PW(BNH), on VC
		23. Shri Ravi Chakrabarty, C&SS, PWD (B&NH), on VC
		24. Smt. Banti Devi, Director, T&D Deptt., on VC
4.	Government of Nagaland	25. Shri J. Alam, ACS & Development Commissioner (Planning) , on VC
		26. Shri Rovilatou Mor, Commissioner & Secretary (PWD), on VC
		27. Shri V. Kezo, Special Secretary (Finance) , on VC
		28. Smt. Ahola Those, Commissioner & Secretary (Health), on VC
		29. Dr. Kika Longkumer, Dy. Director (Health) , on VC
		30. Dr. Manyau, Dy. Director (Health) , on VC
		31. Shri Puni, Programme Manager (Health) , on VC
5.	Government of Meghalaya	32. Dr. Vijay Kumar. D, Commissioner & Secretary (Planning), on VC
		33. Shri R. Lyngdoh, OSD & ex-Officio JS (Planning), on VC
		34. Shri K.D. Talukdar, Chief Engineer, PHE, on VC
		35. Shri L.D. Suchiang, Chief Engineer, PWD (Roads), Government of Meghalaya, on VC
6.	Government of Sikkim	36. Shri A K Yadav, ACS Power Department, on VC
		37. Shri V B Pathak, ACS cum Development Commissioner, on VC
		38. Shri R Thapa, PCE Power Department, on VC
		39. Shri G Chettri, CE, Power Department, on VC
		40. Shri B Prasad, Secretary, PHE Department, on VC
7.	Government of Tripura	41. Shri Shashi Ranjan Kumar, Principal Secretary (PWD), on VC
		42. Shri Dipak Chandra Das, Chief Engineer, PWD (R&B), on VC
		43. Shri Kamal Sarkar, Addl. Chief Engineer, PWD(R&B), on VC
		44. Shri A. Roy, Secretary (Planning), on VC
8.	Government of Manipur	45. Dr. Rajesh Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary (Planning), on VC
		46. Shri R.K. Dinesh Singh, Commissioner (Works), on VC
		47. Shri K.H. Temba Singh, Chief Engineer, PWD, on VC
		48. Dr. Th. Munindrao Singh, Director (Planning), on VC

		49. Dr. R.K. Radhesana Devi, Joint Director (Planning), on VC
9.	Ministry of DoNER, GoI	50. Shri Ravindra Choudhary, Director
		51. Shri B.N. Prasad, Director
		52. Shri Dibyojit Dutta, Sr. Technical Director (NIC)
		53. Shri G.S. Maheshwal, SE, TW
		54. Shri Purushottam Verma, DS (IFD)
		55. Shri A.K. Pandey, US
		56. Ms. Kusum Singh, SSO
		57. Shri Mahesh Chander, ASO
		58. Shri Rahul Gupta, ASO