Government of India Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 101st Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 0300 Hrs on 21.12.2011 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

- 1. Mrs. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region....in Chair.
- 2. Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Additional Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance
- 3. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
- 4. Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
- 5. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission
- 6. Dr. Uday Shanker, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER,

Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER were granted leave of absence

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), P. R. Meshram (Director), Shri K. Guite (Director), Shri Bimal Kumar (Under Secretary) and Shri S. K. Saha (Section Officer) of Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made by the Committee:

<u>Item No.1</u>: Confirmation of the Minutes of 100th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 28.11.2011.

The minutes of 100^h Meeting of the NLCPR Committee issued on 01.12.2011 were confirmed.

<u>Item No.2</u>: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 100th Meeting held on 28.11.2011.

The Committee noted that the minutes of the 100^{th} Meeting of the NLCPR Committee are issued on 01.12.2011 and the actions on the recommendations made by the Committee are being taken.

<u>Item No.3</u>: Construction of Road from Magopam to Bichom via Namfri, Ditching, Sacheda, Ramu -Sotu and Lichini (Phase-II) in West Kameng District of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 98th meeting held on 25.08.2011 at an estimated cost of Rs. 18.46 crore from the Priority List submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2011-12. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Tech. Wing, M/o DoNER and Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 2052.43 lakh as under:

SI. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Pavement	
	Formation cutting	535.68
	Preparation of Sub-grade	28.67
	WBM-I	225.94
	WBM-II	143.87
	WBM-III	165.12
	Black Topping	153.23
	R/Wall (3 m. ht)	54.80
	R/Wall (4 m. ht)	51.65
	B/Wall (3.80 m. ht)	23.16
2	C.D. Structure	
	RCC culvert (1.50 mtr. span)	284.71
	RCC culvert (4 mtr. span)	61.58
	CC Drain	47.75
	Katcha Drain	11.90
3	RCC Bridge	
	9.37 m. span	95.42
	14.50 m. span	110.66
	Road sign board and Km. stone	18.05
	Sub-total	2012.19
4	Add 2% Contingencies	40.24
	Total (A)	2052.43

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No. 4: Augmentation of water supply under Boleng PHE Sub-Division, East Siang District in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 77th meeting held on 28.01.2010 at an estimated cost of Rs. 10.00 crore from the Priority List submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2009-10. It was also observed that the revised DPR for the project submitted by the State Government with estimated cost of Rs.9.58 crore was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development for Rs.7.74 crore, including 3% contingency.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for holding a meeting with representatives of CPHEEO and State Government to discuss and understand the reasons for reduction in certain items of work and cost estimates by CPHEEO from the DPR.

<u>Item No.5:</u> Project 'Construction of Flyover at the Intersection of Pramathesh Barua Road and N.F. Railway Track at Bijni Town', in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been examined by the Ministry of Railways (North East Frontier Railways) and they have given in – principle approval to the Project cost of Rs. 19.63 Crore and have stipulated certain conditions for implementation of the project.

2. After detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction with below mentioned approved cost of Rs. 1963.35 lacs including 1% Contingency amounting to Rs. 18.73 lacs with the conditions stipulated by the NEFR, Ministry of Railways:

SI.	Item of Work	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lacs)
i.	Construction of ROB Proper	1423.78
ii.	Construction of Approaches	0
	a. Spiral Stair Case	22.03
	b. Solid Approach Portion	292.82
iii.	Construction of Service Road cum Drain	134.23
	Sub Total	1872.86
iv.	Railway Charges	39.25
V.	Shifting of Utilities	0
	Cutting Trees by Forest Department	1.01
	Shifting of Water Pipe line by PHE Department	13.37
	Shifting of LT & HT Lines by APDCL	5.08
vi.	Illumination	13.05
vii.	1% Contingency	18.73
	Total	1963.35

3. The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. <u>The State Government / Implementing Department will strictly comply with the observations made by the NEFR, Ministry of Railways (Annex-I)</u>
- ii. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- iii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.

- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

<u>Item No</u>.6:- Project - "Construction of Road from Rangamati to Kalaigaon Road (between) Janaran Chowka to Aaola Chowka" under NLCPR.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the M/o RT&H with estimated cost of Rs. 586.63 lacs.

2. After detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 575.35 lacs (including 2% Contingency charges amounting to Rs. 11.28 lacs) after deducting Quality Control charges. The details are as under:

(Rs. in Lac)

SI.	Item of Work	Amount
No.		
1	Road works	187.13
2	Road furniture	0.82
3	Bridge works	229.21
4	Protection works	146.91
	Sub total	564.07
5	Contingency 2%	11.28
	Total	575.35

3. The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The State Government / Implementing Department will comply with the observations made by the M/o RT&H (Annex-II).
- ii. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- iii. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- vi. A non- duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department before issue of sanction.

<u>Item No.7</u>: Project, Augmentation of Leimaching Water Supply Scheme, Imphal East in Manipur.

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 86th Meeting held on 21.10.2010. The Committee also noted that the D/o Drinking Water Supply recommended the proposal at Rs. 1111.88 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs. 1101.68 lac, including 2% contingencies with the following components and conditions:

(Rs. In lac)

S.No.	Items of works	Amount
1	Construction of Intake system Ramp Type	21.92
2	Construction of RCC settling tank (23.0 m x 10.0 m x 2.80 m)	57.31
3	Construction of RCC service reservoir 19.50 x 19.50 x 3.50	73.27
4	Construction of RCC Slow Sand filter – 2 beds x 11 m x 11 m	169.71
5	P/L of Filter Media 2 beds x 11.0 m x 11.0 m	84.59
6	Construction of Aerator	3.44
7	Construction of Pump House	1.25
8	Construction of Chowkidar Quarter	5.10
9	Construction of Godown	5.31
10	Construction of side drain	10.50
11	Construction of Pipe crossing Bridge and Anchor Blocks at Iril and Kongba River	66.19
12	Construction of Compound Wall and fabrication of steel gate	10.00
13	Procurement of mechanical and electrical equipment- electric and diesel pump set, energization, installation of transformer i/c stringing of HT and LT line	78.07
14	Construction of Approach Road & Land Development	10.35
15	Providing and laying of pipeline i/c transportation and cost of material	483.07
	Sub total	1080.08
16	2% contingency charges	21.60
	Total	1101.68

Conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges which has been restricted to 2% which may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) The State Government will take up Wastewater management under TSC.
- (vi) The State Government should dovetail the activities of social development community awareness on usage monitoring and O&M of the scheme, capacity building of PRI's, VWSC field level Engineer, IEC etc. with NRDWP support fund.

<u>Item No.8:</u> Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources-Construction of Pick up weir across Koubru River at Kalapahar, Senapati in Manipur

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.39.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 60th Meeting held on 18.07.2008.

- 2. The committee also noted that the DPR submitted by the State Govt. could not be examined with the project proposal of Environment Protection Committee, referred by Chief Minister, Manipur, as no records of the proposal of EPC was found.
- 2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the DPR with an estimated cost of Rs.470.00 lac submitted by the State Government may be accepted and considered for further examination.

Item No. 9: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources-Project, Renovation & Modernisation of 2 (two) nos. 132/33 KV Sub-Stations at Yaingangpokpi and Ningthoukhong in Manipur- First Phase

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.39.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 100th Meeting held on 28.11.2011. The Committee also noted that Central Electricity Authority recommended the proposal at Rs. 3862.31 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs. 3425.55 lac with the following components and conditions:

(Rs. In lac)

S.No.	Items of works	Amount
1	Supply of materials & Equipments for 2 numbers of 132/33/11 KV Sub-	
	stations	
(i)	Supply	2304.76
(ii)	Erection	242.29
2	Civil works	380.16
3	Dismantling charges	55.54
4	Tools and Plants	442.80
	Total	3425.55

Conditions:

- (i) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (ii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iii) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

<u>Item No.10</u>: Proposal for Construction of Remaining length of Possengagre to Anangpara including Metalling and Blacktopping (15.00 Km) upto Anchenggre in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained from Priority List 2009-10 of Meghalaya at a cost of Rs. 6.00 crore in its 64th Meeting dated 04.11.2009. The DPR of the project was examined by MoRTH and vetted it at Rs.6.89 crore.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.688.94 lac with following components and conditions:

S.	Components of Work	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lakh)
1.	Earthwork in Formation	78.09
2.	Construction of Single Opening HP Culvert (Type-I)	34.46
3.	Construction Single Opening HP Culvert (Type-II)	74.97
4.	Construction of RCC Bridge (9.37m span)	29.67
5.	Construction of Retaining Wall	7.69
6.	Metalling & Blacktopping	443.21
7.	Miscellaneous works i.e. kilo meter stone, Retro reflectorised Traffic signs, Direction and place Identification signs and Printing new letter and figures of any shade	7.34
	Sub Total (item 1 to 7)	675.43
8.	Add 2% contingency charges	13.51
	Total	688.94

Conditions:

- a) State Govt. should ensure the compliance of MoRTH observations while execution of project.
- b) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- c) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- d) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- e) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- f) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- g) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- h) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up any other Central/ State source of funding.

Item No.11: Proposal for Construction of RCC bridges No. 3/1, 7/1, 7/2 and 8/1 on Ampati – Mankachar Road in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained from Priority List 2003-04 of Meghalaya at a cost of Rs. 4.39 crore in its 26th Meeting dated 22.01.2004. The DPR of the project was examined by MoRTH and vetted it at Rs.504.94 lac.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.500.04 lac with following components and conditions:

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1.	Bridge No. 3/1	126.54
	a) Earthwork in formation including Turfing	
	b) Metalling & Black Topping	
	c) Tripple opening HP Culvert Sub-Way for 3/1	
	d) Bridge proper	
	e) Protection work	
2.	Bridge No. 7/1 & 7/2	211.49
	a) Earthwork in formation including Turfing	
	b) Metalling & Black Topping	
	c) Tripple opening HP Culvert Sub-Way for 7/1	
	d) SPT Bridge Sub-Way for 7/2	
	e) Bridge proper 7/1	
	f) Bridge proper 7/2	
	g) Toe Wall	
3.	Bridge No. 8/1	152.21
	a) Earthwork in formation including Turfing	
	b) Metalling & Black Topping	
	c) SPT Bridge Sub-Way for 8/1	
	d) Bridge proper	
	e) Toe Wall	
	Sub- Total	490.24
4.	Add 2% contingency charges	9.80
	Total	500.04

- State Govt. should ensure the compliance of MoRTH observations while execution of project.
- b) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- c) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- d) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- e) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- f) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- g) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

h) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up any other Central/ State source of funding.

<u>Item No.12</u>: Project - Construction of Link Road from Middle Tumin to Dhanbari via Namrang in East Sikkim for consideration of sanction.

The Committee observed that the project was retained from Priority List 2010-11 of Sikkim at a cost of Rs. 419.00 lac under special incentive of Rs. 20.00 crore for best performing state in its 86th Meeting dated 21.10.2010. The DPR of the project was examined by MoRTH and vetted it at Rs.419.00 lac.

The Committee also observed that the cost estimate of the projects is based on SOR 2006 of Sikkim which needs updation. Hence, after deliberation Committee recommended that since the SOR is too old State Govt. should prepare latest SOR and resubmit the proposal instead of applying cost escalation on old SOR so that project economically viable.

Item No.13: Construction of Veterinary College in Tripura for consideration of sanction

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2008-09 of Tripura at a cost of Rs. 16.00 crore in its 61st Meeting dated 24.09.2008. The committee noted that the DPR of the project was examined in MoUD and vetted it at Rs.1652.14 lac. Also the Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries of Ministry of Agriculture have conveyed their no objection for construction of Veterinary College in Tripura as norms prescribed by BCI under "Minimum Standard of Veterinary Education Regulation – 2008".

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1630.87 lac with following components and conditions:

Sl.No.	Particular of items	Qty.	Amount (Rs. In lac)
	RCC Framed Structure upto six stories	4707.00	513.06
1	Floor Height (3.35 m.)	Sqmtr.	
2	Extras for		
2.1	Every 0.30m additional height of floor above normal floor	4707.00	5.88
	height of 3.35m Height:3.60m 0.25m	Sqmtr	
2.2	Every 0.30m higher plinth over normal plinth height of 0.6m	4707.00	3.53
	(on G.F.area only) Height:0.75m 0.15m	Sqmtr	
2.3	Every 0.30m deeper foundations every normal depth of 1.2m	4707.00	7.06
	(on G.F. area only) Depth:1.50m 0.30m	Sqmtr	
2.4	Making stronger foundations to take load of one additional	13472.00	168.4
	floor at a later date (on area of additional floor only)	Sqmtr.	
2.5	Strip foundation in poor soil having bearing capacity less	4707.00	13.46
	than 10 t/sqm	Sqmtr	
2.6	Resisting Earthquake Forces	4707.00	29.65
		Sqmtr	
2.7	Fire alarm system		
	Automatic fire alarm system	4707.00	14.12

Sl.No.	Particular of items	Qty.	Amount (Rs. In lac)
		Sqmtr	
3	Services (on 1.1.1)		
3.1	Internal water supply & sanitary installation @4% on(on normal building 1.0B) Rs.51306300		20.52
3.2	External services connection @5% (on normal building 1.0B)	Rs.51306300	25.65
3.3	Internal Electric installation @12.5% (on normal building	Rs.51306300	64.13
	1.0B)		
4	Extra for		
4.1	Power wiring and plugs @4% (on normal building 1.0B)	Rs.51306300	20.52
		Total (1)	885.98
	Add approved Cost Index @80% (180 – 100)x100/100 = 80%/	to arrive at	712.91
5	June 2009 level on Total (1)		
	Total (2)		1598.89
6	Add 2% contingencies on Total (2)		31.98
		Grand Total	1630.87

- a) State Govt. should ensure that by the time the construction of building is completed the trained manpower/staff has been recruited for the veterinary college and NLCPR committee may be apprised accordingly.
- b) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- c) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- d) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- e) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- f) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide6 publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- g) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- h) State Govt. should obtain NOC from local civic authority before start of work.
- *i)* Implementing agency shall follow the proper and approved administrative, financial and technical procedures for execution of the work at competitive cost.
- *j*) Implementing agency shall obtain all necessary permission/Clearances from concerned authorities prior to commencement of works.
- k) The work shall be executed by the implementing agency as per relevant codes and specifications as applicable.
- *l)* The implementing agency is encouraged to use energy efficient devices and equipments as per guidelines of Bureau of Efficiency (BBE), Govt of India who have developed "manual for development of Municipal Energy Efficiency Projects and take their consultation in this regard.
- m) The implementing agency has to see that the projects as a whole is disaster resilient and necessary measure will be taken to make it fully disaster resistant and O&M audit shall be got conducted and report shall be submitted to the mission directorate of the

- project.
- n) The implementing schedule should be strictly adhered to in order to avoid any time and cost overrun
- *o*) Proper structural design for the related structural components shall be got done and proof checked by structural consultant of repute before actual execution of the work.
- *p)* Proper geotechnical investigation for all related components shall be got done proof checked by geotechnical expert of repute before actual execution of the work.
- q) The formalities relating land acquisition etc. if any may be complete before taking up the work.

<u>Item No.14</u>: Project - Construction of District Sports Complex at Udaipur in Tripura for consideration of sanction

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2007-08 of Tripura at a cost of Rs. 4.75 crore in its 53rd Meeting dated 30.11.2007. The committee noted that the DPR of the project was examined in MoUD and vetted it at Rs.484.85 lac. The Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports recommended the project from sports angle for funding under NLCPR.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.480.14 lac with following components and conditions:

SI.	Components of Work	Total Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1.	Development of site by Earth filling	93.03
2.	Construction of boundary wall	62.67
3.	Construction of Athletic track	14.24
4.	Construction of Grand stand	228.46
5.	Construction of Surface drain	12.50
6.	Construction of Internal Road	59.83
	Sub-Total	470.73
7.	Add 2% Contingency	9.41
	Grand Total	480.14

- a) Structural drawings are prepared as per guidelines of relevant I.S. Code i/c provisions for earthquake resistance.
- b) Quality of work and sound structural drawing shall be the responsibility of executing agency/Technical Sanction Authority.
- c) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- d) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- e) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.

- f) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the quidelines of NLCPR.
- g) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- h) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

<u>Item No.15</u>: Re-revised estímate for the Project, Upgradation of Halahali – Ambassa – Dangabari – Thalchera – Bagfa – Belonia Road (173 km) in Tripura

The committee noted that the project was approved for upgradation of 173 km. road at Rs.139.02 crore (in Seventh NLCPR Committee meeting) by Planning Commission, on 07.08.2001, who were administering the NLCPR at that time. The project is being implemented by the Border Roads Organization (BRO). On request of the State Government the revised DPR of the project was recommended for sanction at Rs.156.03 crore in its 50th meeting held on 27.07.2007. On 06.08.2009 State Government again revised the project cost to Rs.201.26 crore and requested for its sanction. The BRO on 02.11.2009 also submitted re-revised DPR of Rs.195.19 crore. As recommended by the NLCPR Committee in its 76th meeting of the held on 14.01.2010, the Secretary, Defence was requested to clarify the cost escalation proposed by BRO. In reply Secretary, BRDBs informed that the road work has been completed. Work on ten major and sixteen minor bridges are under progress. The revision of the cost has been mainly due to Increase in prices of construction materials like brick, aggregate chips, stone boulders, Bitumen, Cement, Steel and POL etc., implementation of 6th CPC recommendation which is mandatory and is charged to the works as BRO is a work charged organization and expenses on security cover as road passes through highly insurgency prone area (BRO lost 17 lives during 2004 due to ambush by militants).

- 2. To know actual status of work and readiness of the State Government to take up the balance work in the project a meeting with the representatives of BRO and Government of Tripura was held on 14/10/2011. In the meeting it was ascertained that the BRO already handed over the entire road works to State Government excluding 6 major bridge out of which 5 are under construction and the tenders for the 6th bridge namely; Sharma Bridge" are yet to be finalized. The BRO representative had shown their willingness for handing over unfinished work to the Government of Tripura, however, the representative of Govt. of Tripura expressed its inability to take up the bridges under construction and informed that, it will be difficult for them to find the contractors for completion of unfinished works. Also, the re-tendering may further delay the project completion and may result in further cost escalation.
- 3. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the BRO may complete 5 unfinished major bridges for which an additional amount of Rs.33.06 crore may be sanctioned as per details given in Table below. The State Government may take up the construction of 6th bridge namely; Sharma Bridge" whose construction is yet to be started by BRO.

S. No	Description	Amount	Remarks
		(Rs. in crore)	
1	Cost of work (Bridge work PMT	20.71	
	works & Surfacing works)		
2	Departmental Charges	3.52	
3	Pay & Allowances (Including	4.87	1. This caters for P&A including 6 th
	arrears of 6 th Pay commission)		PC arrears of GREF persons
			detailed for the subject job.

S. No	Description	Amount	Remarks
		(Rs. in crore)	
			2. This also caters for CP labours
			hired for night sentry duty at all
			bridge sites as bridge material
			laying at site.
4	Usage Rate	2.00	This is the amount for usage of
			various plant/ Equipment/ vehicles
			for the subject job
5	Firm Liabilities	1.96	
	Total	33.06	

<u>Item No.16:</u> Revised cost of Construction of Steel Suspension Bridge over Subansiri River near Siyum (Span 174.00 mtr) of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs. 861.61 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 38th meeting held on 23.01.2006. So far Rs.775.45 lakh (full admissible grant) has been released in three installments and overall physical progress of 75% achieved. The Committee also noted that the revised DPR was admitted for techno-economic appraisal on the recommendation of the Committee in its 95th meeting held on 31.05.2011 and it was examined and vetted by Tech. Wing, M/o DoNER and Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for sanction of the project at Rs.1308.00 lakh as a new case, but the amount already released will be adjusted in the course of release of the admissible grant for the project. The details of the cost are as given as under:

SI.	Items of work	Amount
No.		(Rs. in Lakh)
1	Bridge Structure	
	a. Site development (earth work)	15.98
	b. RCC/Concrete work	235.11
	c. Manufacturing, supply & Erection of steel S/Bridge (174 mr)	768.81
	d. Protection works for abutment	8.94
2	Bridge approaches	
	a. Formation cutting (Right & Left Bank, 3.12 km)	68.77
	b. Pavement	118.06
	c. Retaining & Breast Wall	23.31
	d. Kutcha drain	1.13
3	Cross drainage works	
	a. Slab culverts (1.50 mtr. span)	31.68
	b. Slab culverts (6.00 mtr.span)	11.46
4	Miscellaneous	
	a. Repairing of existing foot suspension bridge	3.57
	Sub-total	1286.82
5	Add contingency	21.18
	Total	1308.00

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

<u>Item No. 17</u>: Project 'Improvement of Halem – Kawaripathar Quarry Road connecting Arunachal Pradesh (13 Km) with 2 (two) RCC Bridges' in Assam.

The Committee noted that the project above was recommended for retention during 86th Meeting held on 21.10.2010 with estimated cost of Rs. 600.00 lacs and the DPR of the project was submitted by the State Government in September 2011 with estimated cost of Rs. 689.90 lacs.

- 2. It was further noted by the Committee that although the cost of the project was increased by 15% but the scope of work was decreased by reducing the total length of the road from 13 Kms. to 10 Kms. Moreover, the two RCC Bridges which were mentioned in the Concept Paper were also not included in the DPR.
- 3. The Committee also took note of the clarifications furnished by the State Government.
- 4. However, after detailed deliberations, the Committee decided that the Project may be dropped from the list of retained Projects of the Government of Assam.

The Committee also decided that the State Government may propose the project in the next years' Priority List, if felt necessary.

<u>Item No.18</u>: Proposal for Strengthening improvement including metalling & blacktopping of road from Bholaganj to Nongiri, including construction of a major bridge at Tharia over river Wahrew in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2008-09 of Meghalaya at a cost of Rs. 26.55 crore in its 61st Meeting dated 24.09.2008. The committee noted that the DPR of the project was examined in MoUD and vetted it at Rs.2962.52 lac.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.2936.89 lac with following components and conditions:

SI.	Components of Work	Total Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1.	Road works Bhollanganj side stretch 1 (Length 4.47 Km)	791.66
2.	Approach Road & Bridge works (including Major Bridge over River Wahrew)	2087.64
	Stretch 2 (Length 1.655 Km)	
	Sub-Total	2879.30

3.	Add 2% contingency	57.59
	Grand Total	2936.89

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- f) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.19: Proposal for Widening & Strengthening of Damra-Mendhipathar-Songsak-Williamnagar Road (Conversion of SPT bridges to RCC bridges) in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2008-09 of Meghalaya at a cost of Rs. 5.00 crore in its 61st Meeting dated 24.09.2008. The committee noted that the DPR of the project was examined in MoRTH and vetted it at Rs.5.94 crore.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.594.83 lac with following components and conditions:

S.	Components of Work	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lakh)
1.	Construction of RCC Bridge NO.6/2 on Rongrenggre Simasanggree Nengkhra Road	74.79
2.	Construction of RCC Bridge No.5/2 on Rongrenggre Simasanggree Nengkhra Road	67.41
3.	Construction of RCC Bridge No.4/3 on Rongrenggre Simasanggree Nengkhra Road	101.85
4.	Construction of RCC Bridge No.75/1 on DR Road Portion from Rongrenggre to Songsak	196.24
5.	Construction of RCC Bridge No.1/3 on DM Road	142.88
	Sub Total (item 1 to 5)	583.17
6.	Add 2% contingency charges	11.66
	Total	594.83

Conditions:

a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge

Establishment.

- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- f) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

<u>Item No.20:</u> Establishment of Sainik School at Chingchhip in Serchhip District of Mizoram

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 80th meeting held on 08.04.2010 at an estimated cost of Rs. 82.89 crore from the Priority List submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2010-11. It was also observed that the State Government proposed entire project at a cost of Rs.133.80 crore to be funded under NLCPR and grant of Rs.50 crore from 13th Finance Commission. The DPR for the whole project submitted by the State Government was appraised by CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development. The CPWD have vetted items pertaining to Buildings, Land Development Works, External Pumps & Water Supply and Electrical works at Rs.5054.58 lakh proposed for funding under NLCPR. Estimates pertaining to furniture & equipment, agency charge and WCT were not vetted by CPWD.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for holding a meeting with representatives of Ministry of Finance (Finance Commission Division), CPWD the State Government to take holistic view of the project before recommending it for sanction.

<u>Item No.21</u>: Transportation charges in Power Sector projects of Sikkim under NLCPR Scheme.

The Committee observed that the four Power Sector projects, namely, (i) Construction of 66KV line from Lachung to Maltin including construction of 66/11 KV 5 MVA switchyard at Lachung and additional bay at Maltin in Sikkim, (ii) Design, supply, erection, testing & commissioning of 11/66 KV switchyard at Rabomchu HEP with 2x5 MVA, 11/66 KV transformer and construction of 66 KV transmission line from Rabomchu to Maltin with additional bay in Maltin in North Sikkim, (iii) Conservation of existing 440V L.T. distribution overhead lines including service connections into underground cable system at Gyalshing Bazaar and its surrounding areas in Sikkim and (iv) Modernization of electrical network in and around Melli Bazaar, South Sikkim of Sikkim were sanctioned in 92nd, 96th, 97th and 99th meeting of NLCPR Committee by deducting Transportation charges @ 10% proposed in the project. The State Government submitted justification for 10% transportation charges in the DPRs.

After deliberations, the Committee decided to defer the proposal till the sub-committee constituted for examining the various centages to be considered under NLCPR projects submits its recommendations.

<u>Item No.22</u>: Project "Construction of Multipurpose Halls cum Classrooms in Six Senior Secondary Schools" in Sikkim for consideration of sanction.

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2008-09 of Sikkim at a cost of Rs. 15.00 crore in its 65th Meeting dated 16.02.2009. The committee noted that the DPR of the project was examined in MoUD and vetted it at Rs.22.39 crore. The Committee also noted that the Deptt. of School Education & Literacy, M/o HRD conveyed their no objection for funding the project under NLCPR.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1800.00 lac, by limiting it within the 20% limit of retained cost, with following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Name of the Schools	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Sadam in South Sikkim.	308.51
2.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Kaluk in West Sikkim.	229.35
3.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Pelling in West Sikkim.	275.52
4.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Assam Lingzey in East Sikkim	239.11
5.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Singtam in East Sikkim.	379.23
6.	Construction of Multipurpose Hall cum School Building to Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Dickling in East Sikkim	332.99
	Sub-Total	1764.71
7.	Contingency @ 2%	35.29
	Total	1800.00

- a) The State Govt. should ensure that the above components should be excluded from RMSA, Model School Scheme and Girls' Hostel Scheme.
- b) The State Govt. should ensure that the structures should be earthquake proof so that it can be used as shelters during exigencies.
- c) The Technical sanctioning authority in State Government should verify the details of Compound Wall, Toilet blocks and Major repairs considered in various schools before technical sanction.
- **d)** The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- **e)** Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.

- **f)** The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- **g)** The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- **h)** Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- i) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No. 23: Upgradation of 132/66/33 kV Grid Sub-station at Ngarjan, Dimapur in Nagaland

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 53rd meeting held on 30.11.2007 at an estimated cost of Rs. 34.23 crore from the Priority List submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2007-08. It was also observed that the DPR submitted by the State Government was appraised by Central Electricity Authority (CEA), Ministry of Power for Rs.33.34 crore, including taxes & duties, carriage cost & insurance.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for deferring the matter till the subcommittee on examination of admissibility of various items is done and report submitted to the NLCPR Committee.

Item No.24 Other Items discussed and recommendations made

Item No.24 (A): "SAC-expansion programme – Developing the Employment Potential of NE Region in the New Economy & Promoting and Documenting Regional Talent" in Meghalaya

The Committee observed that the project, namely, "SAC-expansion programme – Developing the Employment Potential of NE Region in the New Economy & Promoting and Documenting Regional Talent" in Meghalaya was sanctioned in its 43rd meeting at Rs.423.54 lacs with the condition that the State Government should constitute a High level Purchase Committee headed by Chief Secretary for procurement of equipment & books. Funds for these components may be released only after constitution of such High Level Committee.

The Committee also noted that the State Government has requested to relax this stipulation as it may not be convenient for Chief Secretary to head each and every HPLC.

The NLCPR Committee deliberated in the matter and recommended that the condition may be modified as under:

"The State Government should constitute a High level Purchase Committee headed by a state representative nominated by Chief Secretary for procurement of equipment & books".

<u>Item No.24</u> (B): Improvement of Doimukh Toru Road 40.00 KM (from NH-52(A) Nirjuli to Sagalee in Arunachal Pradesh – Cost escalation

The Committee observed that project was sanctioned at a cost of `2048.40 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 37th meeting held on 19.12.2005. So far, an amount of Rs.1789.87 lakh (entire admissible grant other than contingency) was released and overall physical progress of 95% was achieved. The Committee noted that the State Government has requested for sanction of cost escalation amounting to Rs.277.00 lakh on account of increased cost of Cement, Steel and Bitument on 1:1 sharing basis.

After hearing the presentation from the implementing Department of the State Government, the Committee deliberated and recommended for sanction of Rs.138.50 lakh which was 50% of the total cost escalation. Details of the approved cost of the project including the recommended amount of cost escalation is given below:

SI. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Widening and formation cutting	135.72
2	Pavement (soling, metalling & carpeting)	839.82
3	Berm Strengthening (soling & metalling)	85.31
4	Side drain	274.69
5	Culverts of various heights	481.21
5	Retaining wall of various heights	168.74
7	Providing signboards/KM stone	3.25
	Sub-total	1988.74
8	Add contingency	59.66
9	50% Cost escalation on a/c of increased cost of Cement, Steel & Bitumen	138.50
	Total	2186.90

<u>Item No.24</u> (C): Progress "Improvement/Construction of road from Sagalee to Sakiang (50 km)" in Arunachal Pradesh under NLCPR – Proposal for cost revision.

The Committee observed that project was sanctioned at a cost of `3993.82 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 38th meeting held on 23.01.2006. So far, an amount of Rs.3489.75 lakh (entire admissible grant other than contingency) was released and overall physical progress of 93% was achieved. The Committee noted that the State Government has requested for sanction of cost escalation amounting to Rs.589.77 lakh on account of increased cost of Cement, Steel and Bitument on 1:1 sharing basis.

After hearing the presentation from the implementing Department of the State Government, the Committee deliberated and recommended for sanction of Rs.294.89 lakh which was 50% of the total cost escalation. Details of the approved cost of the project including the recommended amount of cost escalation is given below:

SI. No.	Items of work	Appd. Cost (Rs. in lac)
1	Formation cutting, widening & realignment	387.21

SI.	Items of work	Appd. Cost
2	Pavements (WBM-I, II & III and open graded premix surfacing with seal coat	1678.27
3	Protection works	
	a) Retaining wall (2011 mtr)	364.24
	b) Breast wall (165 mtr)	22.82
4	CD works	
	a) Slab culvert of various sizes (179 nos)	992.60
	b) Steel bridge of 18.30 mtr span (1 no.)	42.05
	c) Side drain (40 km)	380
5	Road signboards & Km stone	10.31
	Sub-total	3877.5
6	Add 3% contingencies	116.32
7.	50% Cost escalation on a/c of increased cost of Cement, Steel & Bitumen	294.89
	Total	4288.71

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.
