#### Government of India Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 54<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 03.30 P.M on 27.12.2007 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe.

#### Present

- 1. Smt. Veena S. Rao, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.....In Chair.
- 2. Dr. Hari Krishna, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.
- 3. Shri V.S. Senthil, Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi
- 4. Shri R.K. Vats, Joint Secretary & FA, Ministry of DoNER and Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi
- 5. Shri S. N. Brohmo Choudhury, Director (SP-NE), Planning Commission
- 6. Shri R.R. Jha, Director (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi
- 7. Shri K. Guite, Joint Director, IFD, Ministry of DoNER

Ms. Jayati Chandra, Sr. Adviser (SP-NE), Planning Commission and Shri Naveen Verma, Joint Secretary (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs were granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Nikhil Pandey (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri B.B. Samaddar, Deputy Secretary, Shri D.P. Singh (Under Secretary) and Shri S.K. Saha (Section Officer), Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee met and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made:

### <u>Item No.1</u>: Confirmation of Minutes of 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 30.11.2007.

Minutes of the 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting were confirmed.

\* \* \*

### **<u>Item No.2</u>**: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held on 30.11.2007

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting have been issued on 06.12.2007 and action has been initiated by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting. It was decided that the action be taken and progress reported in the next meeting.

\* \* \* \*

#### Proposal for sanction "Construction of Bailey Bridge (Steel Built-Item No.3: up Girder Bridge) between Namara and many other villages under Seijosa Circle" in Arunachal Pradesh under Non-lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR)

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.2.00 crore for construction of 158.15 m steel built up Girder Bridge on 22.01.2004 in 26<sup>th</sup> meeting of The Detailed Project Report (DPR) submitted by State NLCPR Committee. Government was revised four times by the State Government on suggestion of Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH). DoRTH suggested for increase of approach road from 489 m to 1489 m and also to explore the possibility of RCC Bridge to reduce the cost of the project. In reply State Government informed that for the major part of the year the river flow remains turbulent, therefore, arrangement of RCC super-structure shall be more difficult and, therefore, Steel Built up Girder Bridge will be more expeditious. DoRTH accepted the clarifications given by State Government and vetted the estimates of the project based on APSR 2005 with cost enhancement @ 7.5% per annum for two years at Rs.928.84 lac.

	After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at			
Rs.85	Rs.854.12 lac with the following components and conditions:			
SI.	Components of work	Cost		
No		(Rs in lac)		

SI.	Components of work	Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1.	Sub Soil Investigation	10.27
2.	Foundation & Sub structure	108.23
3.	Super Structure	247.56
4.	Approach Road (1489.00 m)	
	a) Formation cutting & Filling	37.91
	b) Sub base & base course WBM-I, II	17.38
	c) Carpetting (P.M.C.)	7.70
	<ul> <li>d) Cross drainage work (Slab Culverts)</li> </ul>	29.97
5.	Protection Works (Guide Bund) (400.00 m)	283.48
	Sub Total (A)	742.50
6.	Add 2% contingencies (On Item No.1 only)	0.21
	Sub Total (B)	742.71
7.	Add 15% Cost Enhancement over APSR 2005 (@ 7.5% per	111.41
	annum for two years)	
	Total	854.12

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- i) The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.0.21 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence, but no expenditure for work charged or any other establishment should be incurred under it.
- The Government of Arunachal Pradesh may be asked to follow all codal ii) formalities while executing the project.
- The codal formalities may include calling of tenders on competitive basis by iii) giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc, as well web - based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.

- iv) The implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 24 months counted from the date of the sanction of the project.
- v) The State Government would immediately get the video-graphy done of the project site so as to highlight the existing condition with the details of those areas where specific works are to be done, including proposed site of bridge, approaches, earth work, protection works, pavement works, cross drainage, etc and send the C.D. to the Ministry on completion of work also the video graphy should be done and C.D. to be sent.
- vi) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

#### \* \* \* \*

#### Item 4: Project for "Improvement of 1.33 km road and width of single lane standard with metalling and blacktopping at stretches from Kahilipara to Don Bosco School at Dakhingaon" in Assam.

The project was retained by the NLCPR Committee for detailed examination in its 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 16.06.2006, at an estimated cost of Rs. 250.00 Lac.

The DPR of the project was examined by DoRT&H and the proposal was put up to the NLCPR Committee for consideration in their 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 21.09.2007. On the basis of comments of DoRT&H, the NLCPR Committee desired that State Government should first be approached to review the various provisions made in the DPR so as to reduce the cost of the project, if possible. It was also decided that the proposal may be put up to NLCPR Committee again after the reply from the State Government is received.

The NLCPR Committee noted that in compliance with the recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in the 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting, the State Government have now reduced the cost of the project from Rs. 286.98 Lac to Rs. 255.00 lac by making certain changes in the DPR. Further, the revised cost is approved by Chief Engineer, PWD, Assam.

		(Rs.in lac)
SI.No.	Name of item	Amount
1	Earth Work in Core	38.50
2	Earth Work in Sub - Grade	23.20
3	GSB Course	43.33
4	WBM Course (Gr.II & Gr.III)	74.87
5	(i) Prime Coat	6.82
	(ii) Tack Coat	1.84
	(iii) Premix Carpeting	21.49
	(iv) Deal Coat	9.44
6	Cross Drainage Work	24.62
7	Retaining Wall	7.16
8	Shifting of electric posts etc.	0.89
	TOTAL	252.16

Accordingly, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of this project at a cost of Rs. 252.16 lakh, as per the following details:

- - -

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The State Government would follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- (ii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity. Transparency will be maintained in tendering process.
- (iii) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule of 24 months for completion of the project counted from the date of issue of sanction order.
- (iv) The State Government will get the video-graphy done of the entire stretch of the existing road condition immediately on receipt of the sanction order and also after completion of works and will send that on CD to the Ministry of DoNER.
- (v) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# <u>Item No</u>.5: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- 'Construction of Veterinary Hospitals in 9 Districts' in Manipur.

The project 'Construction of Veterinary Hospitals in 9 Districts' in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 28.02.2005.

2. The Committee noted that Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) and Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying & Fisheries (DAHD&F) have examined the DPR. MoUD and DAHD&F have stated that Ministry of DoNER may consider it for approval subject to the observations made by them, as indicated below:

#### **Observations of MoUD:**

- a) The estimate has been checked arithmetically with respect to measurements and details supplied with the estimate. Estimate has been checked based on the Manipur Schedule of Rates 2004. These rates are inclusive of some initial lead for carriage of materials. Additional lead charges as per lead/charts have not been considered while checking the estimates. These may be considered in the justification part by the tender accepting authority.
- b) This is further subjected to feasibility of work at site, site conditions, technical viability etc., which are to be checked at site by the competent authority before taking up the actual execution of work.

#### Observations of DAHD&F:

DAHD&F had observed that in order to provide proper treatment, diagnosis of various diseases is very important. Therefore, there should be a provision for setting up a diagnostic laboratory along with the proposed project or a separate proposal. However, the present proposal does not have a provision for a diagnostic lab.

3. The NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of **Rs.748.92 lac**, inclusive of contingency expenditure of Rs.14.68 lac, as per the following details:

S.No	Name of Districts	Amount
		(Rs. in lac)
1	District Veterinary Hospital at Sawombung, Imphal East	54.57
2	District Veterinary Hospital at Mekola, Imphal West	54.57
3	District Veterinary Hospital at Thoubal	54.57
4	District Veterinary Hospital at Bishnupur	54.57
5	District Veterinary Hospital at Chandel	54.57
6	District Veterinary Hospital at Churachandpur	54.57
7	District Veterinary Hospital at Senapati	54.57
8	District Veterinary Hospital at Ukhrul	54.57
9	District Veterinary Hospital at Tamenglong	54.57
	Sub Total (A)	491.13
10	15% cost index	73.67
	Sub Total (B)	564.80
11	10% for WS & SI	56.48
12	10% for IEI	56.48
13	5% for LD	28.24
14	5% for ES	28.24
	Sub Total (C)	734.24
15	2% Contingency Charge	14.68
	Total	748.92

The recommendation of the Committee are subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The conditions laid down by MoUD would be observed by the State Government while implementing the project.
- (ii) As commented by DAHD&F, there should be a provision for setting up a diagnostic laboratory. The State Govt may assess the facilities available in all the hospitals for diagnostic lab and send a separate proposal for setting up a diagnostic lab, if there are some requirements based on the assessment.
- (iii) The Contingency charges may be reimbursed to the State Govt for the actual contingent expenditure against production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charged or any other Establishment.
- (iv) The State Govt will ensure that the implementing agency adheres to the time schedule of 2 years for completion of the project which will be counted from the date of sanction of the project.
- (v) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- (vi) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders of competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well web based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (vi) The State Govt would ensure that all the required staff is posted in these hospitals and they bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of these hospitals.
- (vii)The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## Item No.: 6 Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Improvement of Jiri-Tipaimukh Road (8-48 Km) in Manipur.

The project 'Improvement of Jiri-Tipaimukh Road (8-48 Km) in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 16.06.2006.

2. The Committee noted that Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) have examined the DPR and found it to be in order. DoRTH have also stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2006 prevailing in the area or as per analysed for the rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval. The Committee also noted that the DoRTH had suggested that a certificate may be obtained from the State Government that this work is not funded against any other source/programme. Such a certificate has already been received from the State Govt.

7. After deducting the inadmissible items, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.1856.33 lac, inclusive of Contingency expenditure of Rs.36.39 lac, as per the following details:

S.No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Providing granular sub-base, WBM and premix carpeting with seal coat from 8 Km to 48 Km	1597.14
2	Construction of Kutcha drain and lined drain in between 8 Km to 48 Km	154.01
3	Construction of R/wall at 9.300 Km to 9.320 Km, 14.230 km to 14.250 km, 15.730 km to 15.750 km, 34.150 km to 34.172 km, 41.650 km to 41.655 km, 44.020 km to 44.045 km, 45.400 km to 45.408 km, 46.450 km to 46.480 km and 47.300 km to 47.330 km	47.27
4	Re-construction of pipe culverts at 40/1-C, 40/2-C, 40/4-C, 41/5-C, 42/3-C, 42/5-C, 44/2-C and 45/3-C	21.52
	Sub Total	1819.94
5	2% Contingency charge	36.39
	Total	1856.33

The project was recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but would not include expenditure on work charged or any other establishment.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders of competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well

web - based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.

- iv) The State Govt will ensure that the implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 24 months from the date of issue of the sanction order.
- v) The State Government will get the video-graphy done of the entire stretch of the existing road condition immediately on receipt of the sanction order and also after completion of works and will send that on CD to the Ministry of DoNER.
- vi) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vii) The State Govt would maintain the road.

# <u>Item No</u>.: 7 Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Augmentation of Konthoujam Water Supply Scheme (Imphal West District)

\*\*\*\*\*\*

The project 'Augmentation of Konthoujam Water Supply Scheme (Imphal West District) in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 28.02.2005.

2. The Committee noted that Department of Drinking Water Supply (DDWS) have examined the DPR and found it to be in order and stated that Ministry of DoNER may consider it for approval.

3. After deducting the inadmissible items, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.885.76 lac, inclusive of Contingency expenditure of Rs.17.36 lac, as per the following details:

S.No	Description of items	Amount (Rupees in lakh)
1	Raw Water Main	47.80
2	Distribution System	765.00
3	Water Treatment Plant	50.70
4	Constructing Public Stand Post	4.90
	Sub Total	868.40
6	2% contingency charges	17.36
	Total	885.76

4. The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed for actual contingent expenditure against production of documentary evidence but would not include expenditure on work charge or any other establishment.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well web based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.

- iv) The State Government will ensure that the implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 18 months from the date of issue of sanction order.
- v) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vi) The State Govt would levy user charges for at least the maintenance and operations cost and bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of this Water Supply project.

# Item No.: 8 Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Purul Sub Division HQ.

The project 'Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Purul Sub Division HQ in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 28.02.2005.

2. The Committee noted that Department of Drinking Water Supply (DDWS)have examined the DPR and found it to be in order and stated that Ministry of DoNER may consider it for approval.

3, The NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of **Rs. 428.86** lac, inclusive of Contingency expenditure of Rs.8.40 lac, as per the following details:

S. No	Name of the Units	Basic Cost	2% Cont. Charges	Estimated Cost (Rupees in lakh)
1	Purul Atongba Unit	114.18	2.28	116.46
2	Purul Akotpa Unit	136.49	2.73	139.22
3	Purul Koide Unit	169.79	3.39	173.18
	Total Cost	420.46	8.40	428.86

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed for actual contingent expenditure against production of documentary evidence but would not include expenditure on work charged or any other establishment.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders of competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well web - based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- iv) The State Government will ensure that the implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 18 months from the date of issue of sanction order.
- viii) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

ix) The State Govt would levy user charges so as to at least recover the operation and maintenance charges and bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of this Water Supply project.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

# <u>Item No.</u> 9: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Tungjoy, Senapati District.

The project 'Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Tungjoy, Senapati District HQ' in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 16.06.2006.

2. The Committee noted that Department of Drinking Water Supply (DDWS) have cleared the DPR subject to the following observations:

"The revised project has taken into consideration comments offered by this Department and has revised the design population, storage capacity of reservoir, all D.I. pipes have been revised to G.I. pipes which are cost effective and overhead charge of 20% has been reduced to 3%. It is assumed that the project has been designed, bill of quantities has been arrived at as per the existing site conditions and prevailing financial norms and scheduled of rates have been adopted.

"Also the State Government may ensure to utilize sustainability fund available under ARWSP for protection of the proposed spring sources and also ensure that adequate water is available for the design period of the scheme. This could be done by construction of weirs, additional storage facility during monsoon exclusively for drinking water etc."

3. After deducting the inadmissible items, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.215.75 lac, inclusive of Contingency expenditure of Rs.4.23 lac, as per the following details:

S. No.	Items	Amount (Rupee
		s in
		lakh)
1	Construction Intake weir, Collection tank and line drain	4.80
2	Construction of settling tank 167.29 KL	12.79
3	Construction of slow Sand filter (4beds 10.0x6.30 m)	32.53
4	Construction of Ser vice Reservoir 304.18 KL	19.37
5	Construction of Pipe Supports/Anchor Blocks	7.63
6	Providing and laying filter media (4 beds 10.0 m x 6.30 m)	8.85
7	Construction of common public cistern tanks 52 nos.	14.21
8	Procurement of G.I. pipes and transportation to worksites	91.78
9	Laying fixing of raw water main and distribution pipeline	10.56
10	Construction of approach road, trace path and land	5.00
	development of treatment site	
11	Construction of Chowkidar's quarters cum godown	3.00
12	Compound fencing of treatment site	1.00
	Sub-total	211.52

Γ	2% contingency charge		4.23
		Total	215.75

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed for actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but would not include expenditure on work charged or any other establishment.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well web based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- iv) The State Government will ensure that the implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 18 months from the date of issue of sanction order.
- v) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vi) The conditions/observations made by the Department of Drinking Water Supply (DDWS) should be taken into account and complied with by the Government of Manipur and the implementing agency during implementation stage.
- vii) The State Government would levy user charges for recovery of at least the maintenance and operation cost and bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of this Water Supply project.

# <u>Item No.</u> 10 : Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources-Upgradation and Equipping of 480 Bed J N Hospital at Imphal, Manipur.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

The project 'Upgradation and Equipping of 480 Bed J N Hospital at Imphal' in Manipur was retained for techno-economic examination by NLCPR Committee in their 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 28.02.2005.

2. The Committee noted that Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (MHFW) and Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD)) have examined the DPR. MUD have vetted the DPR subject to observations indicated at **Annex A.** Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (MHFW) have also supported the proposal subject to some observations being complied with, which are indicated at **Annex B.** 

3. The NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of **Rs.869.57 lac for construction of civil works**, as per the detail given below:

S. No	Name of the item	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1.	Construction of two/three storied permanent type RCC building for 50 bed Emergency Observation Ward & 100 bed	469.36

	expansion	
2	Construction of Services Block	400.21
	Total	869.57

5. NLCPR Committee further recommended sanction of Rs.**885.22** lac for procurement of hospital equipments, as per the following break up:

SI.No.	Departments	Amount
	-	(Rs. in lac)
1	Diagnostics	215.25
2	Blood Bank	8.55
3	Casualty	26.40
4	Intensive Care Unit	40.43
5	Major OT	144.50
6	General Surgery OT	51.99
7	Paediatric ICU	43.05
8	Gynaecology OT	63.05
9	Labour Room	4.65
10	Gynaecology OP	3.60
11	Post Operative Ward	50.42
12	Private Rooms	23.10
13	Wards (including general wards)	15.25
14	Nurse Station	6.64
15	General Utilities	188.34
	Total Cost of Equipments	885.22

The project was recommended sanction at a total cost of Rs.1754.79 lac as per the details given above, subject to the following conditions:

- i) The State Government and the implementing Agency would observe all the conditions laid down by MoUD and MHFW at **Annex A** and **Annex B** respectively.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well as web based publicity. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- iv) The State Government will ensure that the implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 24 months counting from the date of issue of sanction order.
- v) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan or non-plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vi) The State Government would ensure that the hospital is properly staffed. They would bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of the hospital.

#### **Observations of Ministry of Urban Development**

#### (I) (A) Civil and Electrical Component

Under this sub head DMHS has envisaged/Planned 2/3 storied RCC building new blocks with Plinth Area of 3256.50 sqm and single storied Service Blocks having plinth area of 3243.25 sqm with an estimated cost of Rs.928.28 lac and Rs.511.62 lac respectively. The Revised DPR does not include the detailed calculations of Plinth Area which were also asked by this office in earlier observations. However considering the importance of Hospital building and assuming plinth areas taken by Chief Engineer, PWD, Manipur as correct, the Preliminary Cost based on DPAR 1992 and CPWD DSR 2002 works out to Rs.469.36 lac and Rs.400.21 lac respectively by this office. General Abstract of cost on page 3 along with page 16 to 19 of Annexure I of Revised DPR have been corrected accordingly by this office.

DMHS has also proposed certain minor repairing works in the existing building amounting to Rs.57.10 lac which can not be commented upon in absence of details of repair works. Further the repair works should be got done by the State Govt. out of their maintenance funds.

As per observations of this office sent earlier, whole planning of the Hospital project should have been made in consultation with the Chief Architect, Directorate General Health Services (DGHS) but the same has not been done. However, it has been clarified by the State Govt. that the revised DPR has been prepared by UPJN-C and DS in close association with its associate M/s Aegis International Associates having an extensive experience in the specific domain/area and further examined and verified by the DGHS, Manipur and Chief Engineer PWD, Manipur.

#### (B) Procurement of Medical Equipment:

Under this sub-Head, Directorate of Health Services has proposed to procure the medical equipments/machines with estimated cost of Rs.885.22 lac. These items do not fall under the preview of this office and hence no comments can offered. MoUD may therefore decide the matter.

#### (C) Consultation Charges of UP Jal Nigam

Consultation Charges payable to M/s UP Jal Nigam may be considered as acceptable.

**(II)** Other features such as availability of land, overall planning, necessity/utility of the project, scope of the project, financial viability/implications, cost benefit ratio etc. may be decided by the MoUD/Govt. of Manipur.

**(III)** It may be ensured that Agency executing the work follows the proper and approved technical/structural, administrative, financial procedure for getting the project executed as per prescribed specifications at competitive rates.

#### Annex B

#### **Observations of Ministry of Health & Family Welfare**

- (1) A number of works have been taken up at JN Hospital through funding by NEC, MoH&FW, Government of India, State Government funds and through other sources. Construction of OPD Block, 100 bedded ward, Casualty Block, Eye Ward, OT, procurement of equipment etc. have already been taken up. It may be ensured that these are taken care of and duplication is avoided.
- (2) The Ministry has laid down IPHS norms for various category of hospitals up 500 bedded hospitals. It has to be ensured that the norms laid down are at least fulfilled in terms of infrastructure and service delivery requirement.
- (3) The Ministry has also laid down specifications of various equipments, which may be used for the Hospital. While drawing up the estimates, it may ensured that the AMC for the equipment has been factored in to cover sufficient duration of the life of the equipment.
- (4) <u>Architectural Observations</u>
- (a) A single ramp and two numbers of bed lifts are shown in the sketches. It is suggested to add staircases and lifts/ramps near the emergency, and OT & new ward blocks. The existing infrastructure of the hospital is not clear.
- (b) Connection with the main corridor or hospital spine, for the Emergency Block at ground and first floor level.
- (c) Covered link between hospital building and canteen, if possible.
- (d) Provision of Day-spaces in wards for the patients.
- (e) Main corridor may be provided with low height walls/railing on both sides all through the length of hospital.
- (f) Provision be made in the proposal, hospital for barrier free movement, which shall include toilets, handrails, parking slots, ramps up plinth level, guiding floor tiles at appropriate locations.

The Ministry has no objection to the proposal being taken up subject to the observations, as above, being complied with.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### <u>Item No.11</u>: Proposal for sanction "Upgradation and Strengthening of Garobadha – Betasing Road via Rangsakhona (from 6<sup>th</sup> km of GR road upto 6<sup>th</sup> km of BM road via Khasibil)" in Meghalaya under Non-lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR)

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.2.88 crore for Upgradation and Strengthening of Garobadha – Betasing Road via Rangsakhona (from 6<sup>th</sup> km of GR road upto 6<sup>th</sup> km of BM road via Khasibil) on 22.01.2004 in 26<sup>th</sup> meeting of NLCPR Committee. The State Government submitted the original Detailed Project Report (DPR) of the project at Rs.14.55 crore on 14.01.2006 for upgradation of 7.8 Km road and conversion of 7 SPT bridges into RCC bridges of 8.45 m width.

The Committee also noted that the proposal is for upgradation of existing village road (from 6<sup>th</sup> km of GR road upto 6<sup>th</sup> km of BM road via Khasibil) to an all weather road of ODR standard in mountainous terrain with formation width of 5.95 m. Out of the above proposed road the State Government has taken up construction of 2 kms and out of proposed 7 minor RCC bridges only 2 no. of bridges are in the proposed alignment (bridge no.8/5 and 8/2). All other bridges fall outside the proposed alignment already taken up by the State Government.

DPR was revised twice on the suggestions of Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH). The DoRTH vetted the 2<sup>nd</sup> revised DPR with the suggestions that "In case it is decided to keep 4.25 m width of the bridge, then a pro-rata reduction in the cost may be done and the cost may be modified to Rs.1151.34 lakh. In any case, the detailed drawings of the bridges may be approved by C.E., PWD at his end, ensuring stability & adequacy of foundation, sub-structure and superstructure, during the execution stage".

After deliberations, the Committee recommended to sanction the project with RCC bridges of 4.25 m width, as advised by DoRTH, as the road is ODR single lane road at Rs.1137.56 with following components and conditions:

SI.	Components of work	Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1.	Conversion of SPT bridges into RCC bridges (7 Nos. of 4.25 m width)	234.88
2.	Construction of bridge approaches	78.46
3.	C/o Subway with approach and subway bridges (7 Nos.)	46.73
4.	Road works (9.833 Km)	567.06
5.	Construction of HP Culverts (36 nos.)	88.91
6.	Protection works	
	a) Masonary Retaining Wall (290.025 m)	23.11
	b) Masonary Breast Wall (1048.12 m)	57.06
	c) Masonary Parapet Wall (1546.50 m)	19.04
	Sub Total	1115.25
7.	Add 2% Contingencies	22.31
	Total	1137.56

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- i) Construction of all the 7 bridges is approved for 4.25 m width only as the road is a single lane road. The Chief Engineer, PWD, Arunachal Pradesh may approve the detailed drawings of the bridges for 4.25 m width at his end, ensuring stability and adequacy of foundation, sub-structure and super-structure during the execution stage.
- ii) The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.22.31 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence, but no expenditure for work charged or any other establishment should be incurred under it.
- iii) The Government of Meghalaya should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iv) The codal formalities would include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc, as well web based publicity. Transparency in tendering process should be observed.
- v) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule of completion of project of 24 months from the date of its sanction.
- vi) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vii) The State Government would immediately get the video-graphy done of the project site so as to highlight the existing condition with the details of those areas where specific works are to be done, including proposed road works, existing SPT bridges and proposed sites of RCC bridges, subway bridges, approaches, earth work, protection works, pavement works, cross drainage, etc. The C.D. of the video-graphy of the status of project components before and after completion of the project should be submitted to the Ministry of DoNER.

#### \* \* \* \*

#### <u>Item No.12</u>: Proposal for sanction "Improvement, Metalling & Blacktopping of a road from NH-51 to Rongsigre (4.725 km)" in Meghalaya under Non-lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR)

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.3.00 crore for Improvement, Metalling & Blacktopping of a road from NH-51 to Rongsigre (0-4 Km) in Meghalaya by the NLCPR Committee in its 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 16.06.2006. DoRTH vetted the revised DPR of the project at Rs.350.72 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project, excluding inadmissible items, at Rs.327.08 lac with following components and conditions:

SI. No.	Components of work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Earth work in formation (4.725 Km)	75.60
2.	Metalling and Blacktopping (4.725 Km)	160.09
3.	Reconstruction of Hume Pipe culverts (34 Nos. – NP3)	69.57
4.	Construction of R/B wall (60 m of 2.5 m height and 80 m of	10.50
	4.0 m height)	
5.	Re-alignment of Electric Poles (Lump sum)	5.00

SI. No.	Components of work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
	Sub Total	320.76
6.	2% Contingencies (excluding item no. 5 above)	6.32
	Total	327.08

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- i) The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.6.32 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence, but no expenditure for work charged or any other establishment should be incurred under it.
- ii) The Government of Meghalaya may be asked to follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities may include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc, as well web based publicity.
- iv) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule of completion of the project of 24 months counting from the date of issue of sanction order.
- v) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- vi) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.
- vii) The State Government would immediately get the video-graphy done of the project site so as to highlight the existing condition of the road with the details of those areas where specific works are to be done, including earth work, protection works, pavement works, cross drainage, etc. The C.D. of the video-graphy of the status of project components before and after completion of the project should be submitted to the Ministry of DoNER.

#### \* \* \* \*

### Item No. 13: Construction of Road from Phek to Chozuba (44.36 Km) in Nagaland.

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 22.50 crore from the priority list of 2007-08 for funding under NLCPR on recommendation of NLCPR in its 49<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16 July 2007. Jorhat Engineering College, Assam have vetted the DPR at an estimated cost of Rs. 1794.07 lakh as per guidelines of PMGSY. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (Gol) have also vetted the DPR commenting that the cost may be taken as firm. The DPR may be considered for approval subject to compliance of some technical observation made by them (Annexed).

2. After deliberation, the committee recommended sanction of the project for funding from NLCPR with the components as contained in the following table:

SI.	Components	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lakh)
1	WBM Grading	502.67
2	Pucca side drain	688.18
3	Premier and Track coat	52.73

SI. No.	Components	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
4	Premix Carpet	249.53
5	Seal Coat	83.18
6	Cross Drainage Works (Hume pipe and slab culvert)	97.58
7	Protection Works (Retaining and Breast Wall)	72.74
	Sub-total	1746.61
8	Remuneration of Jorhat Engineering College @ 0.03%	0.52
	Grand - total	1747.13

3. The committee, however, laid down the following condition with the sanction of the project:

- i) The State Government should implement / execute the project strictly complying technical observation made by DoRTH (Annexed).
- ii) The State Government should follow codal formalities while executing the project.
- iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as webbased publicity. Total Transparency will be observed by issuing tenders.
- iv) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule of 21 months for completion of the project counting from the date of issue of sanction order.
- v) The C.D. of the video-graphy of the status of project components before and after completion of the project should be submitted to the Ministry of DoNER.
- vi) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

### Item No. 14: Upgradation of Road from Rusoma to Kijumetuma (36.00 km) in Nagaland.

\*\*\*\*\*

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 28.72 crore from the priority list of 2007-08 for funding under NLCPR on recommendation of NLCPR in its 49<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16 July 2007. Jorhat Engineering College, Assam have vetted the DPR at an estimated cost of Rs. 2227.55 lakh. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (GoI) have also vetted the DPR commenting that the cost may be taken as firm. The DPR may be considered for approval subject to compliance of some technical observation made by them (Annexed).

2. After deliberation, the committee recommended sanction of the project for funding from NLCPR with the components as contained in the following table:

SI.No.	Project Components	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Clearing and Grubbing of Road land	17.65
2	Earthwork in Hill Road	442.04
3	Pucca side drain	435.05
4	WBM Grading	398.52

SI.No.	Project Components	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
5	Prime coat and Track coat	38.88
6	Surface dressing (PC & Seal coat)	255.15
7	Kilometer Stones and Retro – reflectorised Traffic signs	4.55
8	Cross Drainage works (Hume pipe and slab culvert)	257.91
9	Protection work (Retaining and breast wall)	334.09
	Sub – total	2183.84
10	Remuneration for Jorhat Engineering College @ 0.03%	0.66
	Grand Total	2184.50

3. The committee, however, laid down the following condition with the sanction of the project:

- (I) The State Government should implement / execute the project strictly complying technical observation made by DoRTH (Annexed).
- i) The State Government should follow codal formalities while executing the project.
- ii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- iii) The implementing agency should adhere to the project completion time schedule of 18 months counting from the date of issue of sanction order.
- iv) The C.D. of the video-graphy of the status of project components before and after completion of the project should be submitted to the Ministry of DoNER.
- v) The project is not / should not be taken up under any other plan schemes of the State or Central Government.

#### \*\*\*\*\*

#### Item No.15 : Proposal for deletion of the project "Procurement of Equipment for 45 PHCs and 8 CHCs of valley of Hill districts in Manipur" from the list of 'Retained Projects' from the Priority List 2005-06 under NLCPR.

The Committee noted the observations made by Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (M/o H&FW) while examining the DPR.

"For 14 CHCs the Government of Manipur has proposed for procurement of equipment in State Programme Implementation Plan (SPIP) 2006-07 under NRHM for which an amount of Rs.265.58 lac has been released. Six CHCs viz., (1) Yairipok (2) Heirok (3) Nambol (4) Parbung (5) Kamjong (6) are common in Ministry of DoNER's proposal and SPIP 2006-07. In addition to the above funds are also being provided to each CHC for upgradation to IPHS. Similarly, upgradation of PHCs to IPHS, funds are being provided to the State Government including the procurement of drugs and equipment.

In view of likely duplication in funding of project, which can also be taken up under the ambit of NRHM, it is again reiterated that taking up of projects for strengthening/upgradation of Primary Health facilities under NLCPR by Ministry of DoNER may not be advisable." As per the advice of M/o H&FW, the Committee recommended to drop the project from the 'Retained Projects from the Priority List 2005-06' of the state of Manipur.

## Item No.16: Proposal for deletion of the project "System improvement of Water Supply Scheme at Moreh Town" in Manipur from the list of 'Retained Projects' from the Priority List 2006-07 under NLCPR.

The Committee noted that Ministry of Urban Development, while examining the DPR, had observed that the scheme sanctioned in 1995 was designed for a population of 33,000 with design year 2016. Accordingly, the Committee recommended to drop the project from the 'Retained Projects of the Priority List 2006-07' of the state of Manipur and the state may be advised to put up revised proposal only after 2016.

#### <u>Item No.17</u>: Widening the existing road formation and pavement into double lane including re-construction of culverts, retaining wall & breast wall on Garobadha – Ampati road (7<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup>) in Meghalaya under Non-lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR) - proposal for dropping

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.11.00 crore for Widening the existing road formation and pavement into double lane including reconstruction of culverts, retaining wall & breast wall on Garobadha – Ampati road (11 Km) on 18.07.2005 in 34<sup>th</sup> meeting of NLCPR Committee. The Chief Engineer, PWD, Meghalaya informed Joint Secretary (HK), M/DoNER on 19.12.2007 over telephone that the project is included in the first Tranche of ADB funded NESRP.

Therefore, after deliberations, the Committee recommended to drop the project from retained list of NLCPR projects.

\* \* \* \*

## <u>Item No.18</u>: Proposal for "Construction of Sanshnong Secondary School, at Kynton, Umlyngka / Nongkesh Shillong" in Meghalaya under Non-lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR)

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.2.34 crore for construction of Sanshnong Secondary School, at Kynton, Umlyngka / Nongkesh Shillong on 10.05.2005 in 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of NLCPR Committee. The proposal is for construction of school building of the Sanshnong Secondary School run by an NGO/ Voluntary Organisation.

The Committee also noted that the State Government proposed construction of 3 storey RCC school building having provision for 26 class rooms, library, 2 computer rooms, audio visual room, 2 laboratories, 3 stores (one on each floor), Head Mistress's room, 3 teachers' room, 1 staff room, office, toilets, etc. along with a flat of two bedroom, living and dining room, toilet, kitchen, balcony and lobby area on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of the school in the Detailed Project Report (DPR) whereas the project was retained for school building only. When asked as to why the flat planned on the 2nd floor of the

building, the State Government forwarded the clarifications provided by the Secretary, Sanshnong Secondary School wherein it is stated that they have not proposed any flat except Chowkidar's quarter in the project.

After deliberations, the NLCPR Committee recommended to drop the project from retained list of NLCPR projects as they treated it as a matter of defrauding the Government by way of proposed misuse of the funds by clandestinely proposing construction of a flat in the school building.

#### <u>Item No.19</u>: Construction of State level Cultural Complex at Brooksite Rilbong, Shillong – proposal for dropping.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs.13.02 crore for construction of State level Cultural Complex at Brooksite Rilbong, Shillong on 11.11.2004 in 31<sup>st</sup> Meeting of NLCPR Committee. The Committee also noted that the main component of the project, the Auditorium, has been completed from State Plan funds at Rs.4.00 crore and the State Government has also completed boundary wall, etc. from State Plan funds.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended to drop the project from retained list of NLCPR projects as the main components of the project i.e. Auditorium and Boundary Wall etc. for which the project was retained have already been constructed from the State Plan funds. The Committee did not find justification for funding guest house under NLCPR Scheme.

#### Item No. 20: Construction of Steel Girder Bridge over landslide area between Bara Bazar and Council Secretariat within Lawngtlai Town – Proposal for substitution.

The committed noted that the project was retained for detailed examination for possible funding under NLCPR at an estimated cost of Rs.2.42 crore from the priority list for 2005-06 on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 32 Meeting held on 28 February 2005. The DPR submitted by the State Government was under examination in consultation with the Department of Road Transport & Highways. The State Government have requested for substitution of the subject project by a project of Erosion Control and Restoration of road formation within the amount at which the project for construction of the bridge was retained, for the following reasons:

- i) Further erosion occurred during the recent monsoon at the site for construction of the bridge.
- ii) Inspection of the proposed site after the monsoon shows that construction of bridge is not advisable.
- iii) It is considered essential to prevent further erosion for any further development on the road.
- iv) Erosion control and restoration of road formation is observed to be a more feasible option than construction of the bridge to restore the link.

2. After deliberation, the committee recommended dropping the project of Steel Girder Bridge from the priority list of 2005-06 giving the State Government an option to submit another project adhering the estimated cost of Rs. 2.42 crore. The new project

would be treated as a substituted project of 2005-06 priority list but would be treated to be retained in the financial year in which it is retained.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Item No.21: Retention of projects of Arunachal Pradesh under NLCPR

The Committee noted that the project proposals, namely, (a) Construction of 500 bedded hospital at Itanagar (250 beds in Phase-I) in Arunachal Pradesh (Rs.40.00 cr) and (b) Infrastructure strengthening of Primary Health Care facilities in Arunachal Pradesh (Rs.31.73 cr) were dropped by NLCPR Committee in its 53<sup>rd</sup> & 50<sup>th</sup> meetings respectively. Now, the Chief Minister, Arunachal Pradesh vide DO letter No. CM(AP)49/2007 dated 26.12.2007 requested for retention of following four projects against these dropped projects.

- i). Infrastructure development for VKV at Wessang, East Kameng District at an estimated cost of Rs.7.83 Cr (Phase-I Rs.4.00 Cr.)
- ii). Construction of Road from Lhou to Jangda via Syarho (20 km) at an estimated cost of Rs.25.00 Cr (including Rs.7.00 Cr for C/o a bridge on the said road) (Phase-I Rs.10.00 Cr.)
- iii). Construction of Road from Lhargong to Gongkhar (15 km) at an estimated cost of Rs.28.00 Cr (including Rs.10.00 Cr for C/o of a 125 mtr bridge on the road (Phase-I Rs.10.00 Cr).
- iv). Construction of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat Building at Itanagar at an estimated cost of Rs.110.00 Cr (Phase-I Rs.20.00 Cr)

After deliberations, the Committee, in place of two dropped projects as mentioned in the para above recommended retention of the following project:

S. No.	Name of the Project	Retained Cost (Rs. in Crore)
1.	Construction of Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat Building at Itanagar	71.73

\*\*\*\*\*