

**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region**  
-----

Minutes of the 56<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 03.30 P.M on 19.03.2008 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe.

**Present**

1. Smt. Veena S. Rao, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.....In Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
3. Shri S. N. Brohmo Choudhury, Director (SP-NE), Planning Commission
4. Shri A.K. Goyal, Director, Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi
5. Ms. Babni Lal, Director, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi, &
6. Shri K. Guite, Joint Director, IFD, Ministry of DoNER

Ms. Jayati Chandra, Sr. Adviser (SP-NE), Planning Commission, Shri V.S. Senthil, Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, Shri Naveen Verma, Joint Secretary (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs, and Shri R.K. Vats, JS & FA were granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Nikhil Pandey (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri B.B. Samaddar, Deputy Secretary, Shri D.P. Singh (Under Secretary) and Shri S.K. Saha (Section Officer), Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee met and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made:

**Item No.1:      Confirmation of Minutes of 55<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 06.02.2008.**

Minutes of the 55<sup>th</sup> Meeting were confirmed.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Item No.2:      Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 55<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 06.02.2008**

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 55<sup>th</sup> Meeting have been issued on 27.02.2008 and action has been initiated by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting. It was decided that the action be taken and progress reported in the next meeting.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Item No.:3      Construction of RCC Br. No. 1/1 over regular channel Gadadhar on Silerpar- Borshijhora Road in Dhubri District**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the State Government has submitted a Detailed Project Report (DPR) for the project at an estimated cost of Rs. 450.73 Lac, which has been examined by the Department of Road Transport & Highways and has been found to be in order. It was also noted that DoRT&H have stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State

PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2007-08 prevailing in the area or as per analyzed rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval.

The Committee further noted that as required by the DoRT&H, the State Government, in the DPR itself, have certified that this project/scheme or any other part thereof are neither proposed nor taken up under any other schemes/programmes like NLCPR/ NEC/ NABARD/ State Plan/ MLAADs/ MPLADs/ PMGSY/ Economic Importance & Inter State Connectivity, etc. nor these shall be proposed for such schemes in future.

The NLCPR Committee, therefore, recommended sanction of this project at a cost of Rs. 450.73 as per the following details:

<b>(Rs. in lac)</b>		
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name of item</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>1</b>	Bridge Proper and Protection (overall length : 113.28 m ).	<b>389.04</b>
<b>2</b>	Construction of Approaches	<b>61.69</b>
	Total	<b>450.73</b>

The proposal was recommended for sanction subject to the following observations: -

- (i) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- (ii) The Implementing Agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate
- (iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on Competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal, etc. as well as web-based publicity

\* \* \* \*

**Item No.: 4 Construction of RCC Br. No. 4/1 on Belguri-Satrasal Road in Dhubri District.**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the DPR submitted by the State Government at an estimated cost of Rs. 273.31 Lac has been examined by the Department of Road Transport & Highways who have found it to be in order. It was also noted that the DoRT&H have stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2007-08 prevailing in the area or as per analyzed rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval.

The Committee further noted that as required by the DoRT&H, the State Government in the DPR itself, have certified that this work is not included in any other Centrally Sponsored Scheme like CRF. E&I, PMGSY, ISC etc. or under any scheme of State Government.

In view of the above, the Committee recommended sanction of this project at a cost of Rs. 273.31 lacs as per the details given below:

<b>(Rs. in lac)</b>		
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name of item</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>1</b>	Bridge Proper and Protection.	<b>219.12</b>
<b>2</b>	Construction of Approaches	<b>54.19</b>
	Total	<b>273.31</b>

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journals etc. as well as web based publicity.
- Contingency charges if applicable will be reimbursed on actual subject to production of documentary evidence.
- The Implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Item No.5: Proposal for "Construction of RCC Bridge at 7<sup>th</sup> Km. of Kathal Road over river Ghagra including approaches and protection work" in Cachar District of Assam**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the DPR submitted by the State Government at an estimated cost of Rs. 250.00 Lac has been examined by the Department of Road Transport & Highways who have found it to be in order. It was also noted that D/o RT&H have stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2007-08 prevailing in the area or as per analyzed rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval.

The Committee further noted that as required by the DoRT&H, the State Government in the DPR itself, have certified that this project or any other part thereof are neither proposed nor taken up under any schemes /programmes like NLCPR /NEC /NABARD/ State Plan/ MLAADS/ PMGSY/Economic Importance etc. nor this shall be proposed for such schemes in future.

In view of the above, the Committee recommended sanction of this project at a total cost of Rs. 250.00 lacs (inclusive of Rs. 0.11 lac as contingency charges) as per the following details:

(Rs. in lac)		
Sl. No.	Name of item	Amount
1	Cost of Bridge proper	209.77
2	Cost of Protection work	19.49
3	Cost of Approaches	20.63
4	Contingency	0.11
	Total	250.00

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following observations:-

- (i) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- (ii) The Implementing Agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate
- (iii) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal, etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- (iv) Contingency charges will be reimbursed as per the actuals subject to production of documentary evidence. However, the Contingency Charges would not include any work charged establishment, daily wage charges or any other staff charges.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Item No.6: Proposal for “Metalling and Black Topping of Swapanpur to Ramchandi” Road in Hailakandi District of Assam (Length 5591.42 mtr.)**

This project was retained an estimated cost of Rs. 245.00 Lac in the 46<sup>th</sup> meeting of the NLCPR Committee, held on 12.01.2007 at.

The Committee noted that the State Government had initially submitted a Detailed Project Report (DPR) for this project at an estimated cost of Rs. 245.00 Lac, however, the Department of Road Transport & Highways had then suggested certain modifications. In compliance of these instructions, the State Government submitted a modified DPR, at a cost of Rs. 379.75 lacs, which has been examined by D/o RT&H and has been found to be in order. It was noted by the Committee that the DoRT&H have reported that in addition to the compliance of modifications suggested by them, the earlier estimate was based on SOR 2005-06, whereas the present estimate is based on SOR 2007-08. The DoRT&H have also stated that Ministry of DoNER may consider the modified proposal for approval, however, while approving estimate for execution, Chief Engineer, PWD may modify the crust composition with 150mm GSB, 150mm WBM (75mm Gr.II and 75mm Gr.III) followed by 20mm PC & SC and ensure that the formation level is kept sufficiently above the HFL.

The D/o RT&H have also stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2007-08 prevailing in the area or as per analyzed rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval.

The Committee also noted that as required by the DoRT&H, the State Government, in the DPR itself, have certified that the above project/scheme or any other part thereof are neither proposed nor taken up under any schemes /programmes like NLCPR /NEC /NABARD /State Plan/ MLAADS/ PMGSY/ Economic Importance etc. nor this shall be proposed for such schemes in future.

In view of the above reasons, the Committee recommended sanction of this project at a cost of Rs. 379.75 lacs (inclusive of Rs. 0.67 as contingency charges) as per the following details:

<b>(Rs. in lac)</b>		
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name of item</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Construction of embankment with materials	16.04
2	Construction of embankment with materials obtained from Borrow pits	15.39
3	Excavation for road way in soil by mechanical means	59.53
4	Construction of sub – grade & earthen shoulder	28.42
5	Providing, Laying WBM Grade II	33.82
	Grade III	35.67
6	Construction of Granular sub base	98.61
7	Providing, Laying & rolling of open graded premix surface	28.15
8	Providing and Laying seal coat	10.35
9	Cleaning and Grubbing road land including uprooting rank vegetation	0.45
10	Dismantling of existing structure like culverts, bridges, retaining walss, etc.	0.30
11	Construction of slab culvert for 6.00m span	29.28
12	Construction of single barrel Hume pipe (NP-3 ) Culvert	14.72
13	Construction of double barrel Hume pipe (NP-3 ) Culvert	6.48
14	Reinforced cement concrete M-15 grade Kilometre stone	0.19

Sl. No.	Name of item	Amount
15	Providing and applying Tack Coat	1.68
16	Contingency	0.67
	<b>Total</b>	<b>379.75</b>

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:

- (i) While approving the estimate for execution, Chief Engineer, PWD should modify the crust composition with 150mm GSB, 150mm WBM (75mm Gr.II and 75mm Gr.III) followed by 20mm PC & SC and ensure that the formation level is kept sufficiently above the HFL.
- (ii) State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- (iii) The Implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- (iv) The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journals etc. as well as web based publicity.
- (v) Contingency charges if applicable will be reimbursed on actual subject to production of documentary evidence. However, these would not include any work charged establishment, daily wage charges or any other staff charges.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Item No.7: Construction of Schools buildings in Lai Autonomous District Council (LADC) in Mizoram.**

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 9.71 crore from the priority list for 2004-05 on recommendation of NLCPR committee in its 38<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17 August 2004. The Union Ministries of Human Resource Development and Urban Development have vetted the DPR and supported the proposal.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction the project for sanction as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	Location	Components	Approved Cost (Rs. in lakh)
<b>A : Primary Schools</b>				
1	Kawrthindeng	Kawrthindeng	Four Classrooms, Head Master's room, staff rooms and toilets.	6.37
2	Chawngte 'P' -II	Chawngte P		6.37
3	Chandmary -I	Chandmary Lawngtlai		6.37
4	Lawngtlai Vengpui	Lawngtlai Vengpui		6.37
5	Chandmary- III	Chandmary Lawngtlai		6.37
6	Council Veng -II	Council Veng Lawngtlai		6.37
7	College Veng -I	College Veng Lawngtlai		6.37
8	Saikah 'L'	Saikah L		6.37
9	Paithar	Paithar		6.37
10	Bungtlang -II	Bungtlang		6.37
11	Ngengpuitlang	Ngengpuitlang		6.37
12	Laitlang	Laitlang		6.37
13	Damlui	Damlui		6.37
14	Paangkhuah -II	Paangkhuah		6.37

Sl. No.	Name of Schools	Location	Components	Approved Cost (Rs. in lakh)
15	Fungkah	Fungkah		6.37
16	Kawlchaw	Kawlchaw		6.37
17	Mautlang	Mautlang		6.37
18	Chawngtelui	Chawngtelui		6.37
19	Jognasury	Jognasury		6.37
20	Sumsilui	Sumsilui		6.37
21	Darnamtlang	Darnamtlang		6.37
22	Changlangpui	Chawntlangpui		6.37
23	Lungpher - III	Lungpher		6.37
	Sub - Total			146.51
B. Middle Schools				
1	Kawrthindeng	Kawrithindeng	Three classrooms, Head Master's room, staff rooms and toilets.	5.50
2	Kawlchaw	Kawlchaw		5.50
3	Rosebud L-V	Rosebud L-V		5.50
4	Mampui	Mampui		5.50
5	Rulkual	Rulkual		5.50
6	Chawntlangpui	Chawntlangpui		5.50
7	Sihtlangpui	Sihtlangpui		5.50
8	Tuithumhna	Tuithumhna		5.50
9	Jognasury	Jognasury		5.50
10	Chamdurtlang	Chamdurtlang		5.50
11	Govt. Sangau	Sangau		5.50
12	Cheural	Cheural		5.50
13	Vawmbuk	Vawmbuk		5.50
14	Lungtain Public	Lungtain		5.50
15	Govt. Lungpher	Lungpher		5.50
16	Govt. Bulapui 'NG'	Bulapui NG		5.50
	Sub -Total			88.00
	Total			234.51
C.	Contingency			2.35
	Grand Total (A+B+C)			236.86

3. The committee, however, stipulated the following condition with their recommendation:

- (i) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- (ii) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Item No.8: Retention of project, "Road Formation & Restoration Works at Lawngtlai Slided Location, Mizoram" substituting retained project, "Construction of Steel Girder Bridge over Landslide area between Bara Bazar and Council Secretariat within Lawngtlai Town, Mizoram".**

The committee noted that the project, "Construction of Steel Girder Bridge over landslide area between Bara Bazar and Council Secretariat within Lawngtlai Town" was retained for detailed examination for possible funding under NLCPR at an estimated cost

of Rs.2.42 crore from the priority list for 2005-06, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 32 Meeting held on 28 February 2005. The State Government had requested for substitution of the project by a project of Erosion Control and Restoration of road formation within the amount at which the project for construction of the bridge was retained.

2. The NLCPR committee in its 54<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27 December 2007 recommended dropping the project of Steel Girder Bridge from the priority list of 2005-06, giving the State Government an option to submit another project adhering to the estimated cost of Rs.2.42 crore. The State Government submitted DPR of the project, "Road Formation & Restoration Work at Lawngtlai Slided Location" with an estimated cost of Rs. 239.51 lakh substituting the project of Steel Girder Bridge. They also submitted a clarification in the matter.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended retention of the project, "Road Formation & Restoration Work at Lawngtlai Slided Location" with an estimated cost of Rs. 239.51 lakh substituting the project of Steel Girder Bridge.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Item No.9: Construction of Kiphire – Amathor - Lukhami road (Tuensang District).**

The committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs.16.27 crore for construction of 36 km road on recommendation of NLCPR committee in its 18 Meeting held on 7 and 10 October 2002. The State Government had forwarded revised DPR of the project prepared by BRO informing that cost acceptance accorded to Rs.16.27 crore was based on preliminary rough cost without carrying out detailed survey. The revised DPR has been vetted techno-economically by DoRTH and supported for sanction.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended the revised cost for sanction as under:

Sl. No.	Components of work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Cost of works as per SSR 2004	1651.50
2	Escalation charges	165.45
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>1816.95</b>
3	Add 2% for contingency	36.34
5	Add 7% for Departmental charges	127.19
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1980.48</b>

3. The additional cost of Rs.353.48 lakh has been restricted to Rs.325.40 lakh (20% over and above the approved cost). The amount would be funded on the basis of sharing between Ministry of DoNER and the State Government in the ratio of 1:1. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documentary evidence excluding cost of establishment, quality control, agency, royalty, security and purchase of vehicle etc. The Ministry of DoNER would release their share of funds after release of funds by the State Government and utilized by the BRO.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Item No.10: Project "Construction of Satnaguri to Longding road via Kanubari, Banfera, Wanu and Zedua (Phase-I) – (15.50 Km)" in Tirap District, Arunachal Pradesh for consideration of sanction**

The Committee noted that the project was retained at Rs. 5.00 crore on 07.12.2007 from Priority List 2007-08 of Arunachal Pradesh. The proposal is for the balance work of formation cutting, 10 RCC slab culverts and unlined drain of length

21.803 km (from Ch.30.500 – 51.725 km) on the 58 Km road, as the formation cutting and Cross Drainage works between Ch:8.500 Km to Ch:30.500 Km and Ch:51.750 Km to Ch:58.000 Km chainage has been taken up under PMGSY and RCC Bridge at Ch: 33.500 Km is already proposed by State Government under ACA. The D/o RT&H have advised to consider the project for approval at Rs.573.00 lac with suggestions that the State Government may be asked to recast the detailed estimate for execution within the same amount and provide premix carpeting and seal coat over 2.250 km base of WBM. The DoRTH also advised to provide Hume Pipe culverts in some of the locations, where it would be possible, since most of the culverts are of 2 m span and the corresponding saving of cross drainage works may be utilized to meet the cost of premix carpeting and seal coat. The Committee also noted that the State Govt. vide letter No. PD(NLP)-07/2007 dated 17.03.2008 clarified that the project has not been included in any of the centrally sponsored scheme in past nor have been considered at present

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 565.75 lac with following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1	Earth Work	
	a) Jungle clearance (16.975 Km)	7.38
	b) Formation cutting (16.490 Km)	380.42
	c) Embankment (0.440 Km)	36.35
2	RCC Slab culvert and Carpeting & Seal Coat	0
	a) 2.00 mtr span (9 nos.)	76.99
	b) 6.00 mtr span (1 nos.)	
	c) Premix Carpeting and Seal Coat (2.250 Km )	
3	Pavement	0
	a) WBM (Grd – I) (2.250 Km)	25.07
	b) WBM (Grd – II) (2.250 Km)	16.46
4	Online surface drain (21803 mtr)	11.99
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>554.66</b>
5	2% for contingencies	11.09
	<b>Total</b>	<b>565.75</b>

Conditions:

- The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.11.09 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- As suggested by DoRTH, the State Government should recast the detailed estimate for execution within the approved amount for providing premix carpeting and seal coat over 2.250 km base of WBM by considering pipe culverts in some of the locations..
- Since there is multiplicity of funding the road construction programme, the State Government should ensure that there is no overlapping of funds from one scheme to the other, while implementing the instant project.
- The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- The construction agency will adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

\*\*\*\*



**Item No11: Project “Construction of Road from Dumporijo to Hali (45 Km)” in Arunachal Pradesh for consideration of sanction**

The Committee observed that the project was retained on 07.11.2007 from Priority List 2007-08 of Arunachal Pradesh at an estimated cost of Rs.35.72 crore. Out of 45 Km of the road length the formation cutting of 23 Km and 11.23 Km WBM has been completed under PMGSY and the State Government proposed remaining road under NLCPR to make it a single lane motorable pucca road of 45 Km. The D/oRT&H have vide OM No.NH-12013/60/2008/Ar.P/DONER/NH-10 dated 12.03.2008 recommended the project for approval at Rs.3275.23 lac. The DoRTH further suggested that the all 1 m span culverts (104 Nos) may be constructed with single row 1200 mm dia Hume Pipe NP-3 and the provision of retaining/ breast walls may be reduced to two-third of the total length proposed by State PWD. The State Govt. vide letter No. PD(NLP)-07/2007 dated 17.03.2008 clarified that the project has not been included in any of the centrally sponsored scheme in past nor have been considered at present.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 3176.36 lac with following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1	Trace cutting (22 Km)	18.81
2	Earth Work	0
	a) Formation cutting (22 Km)	772.93
	b) Widening (17 Km)	231.94
3	Pavement	00
	a) Soling WBM– I (33.77 Km)	367.68
	b) Metalling WBM–II (44.20 Km)	318.40
	c) Black Topping (45.00 Km)	396.19
4	CD Structure	0
	a) RCC Slab culvert 1 Mtr Span (104 Nos. single row 1200 mm dia Hume Pipe NP-3)	372.17
	b) RCC Slab culvert 2 Mtr Span (50 Nos.)	
	c) RCC Slab culvert 6 Mtr Span (1 No.)	
	d) RCC bridge 10.00 Mtr Span (4 Nos.)	131.31
	e) Bailey bridge 24.25 Mtr (1 No.)	72.96
5	Protection Work	0
	a) Retaining wall (1333 m)	207.38
	b) Breast wall (480 m)	
6	Hill side Pucca Drain (45 Km)	213.89
7	Site Infrastructure	10.42
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3114.08</b>
8	2% for contingencies	62.28
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3176.36</b>

Conditions:

- The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.62.28 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- As suggested by DoRTH for the reasons of economy and ease of construction all the 1 m span culverts (104 Nos) may be constructed with single row 1200 mm dia Hume Pipe NP-3.
- As suggested by DoRTH the provisions of retaining/ breast walls are on high side and may be reduced to two-third of the total length of retaining/breast

- wall.
- d) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
  - e) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
  - f) The construction agency will adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Item No.12: Construction of 4 lane Tripura road including electrical works from NH- 37 to GS Road in Assam**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the modified DPR, submitted by the State Government at a cost of Rs. 1136.50 lakhs has been examined by DoRTH who have stated that this DPR is in order and may be considered for approval. It was also noted that DoRTH have stated that the estimated cost of the project is reported to be based on survey and investigations carried out by the State PWD and various items of works have been priced at current Schedule of Rates for the year 2007-08 prevailing in the area or as per analyzed rates and may be taken as firm and DPR may be considered for approval.

Further, as required by the DoRTH the State Government, in the DPR itself, have certified that this project /scheme or any other part thereof are neither proposed nor taken up under any schemes/ programmes like NEC/ State Plan/ MLAADS/ PMGSY/ Economic Importance, etc nor this shall be proposed for such schemes in future.

The NLCPR Committee, therefore, recommended sanction of the project at a total cost of Rs. 1136.50 lakh as per details given below:

		(Rs. in lac)
Sl. No.	Name of item	Amount
1	Excavation and removal	26.73
2	Earth Work	116.15
3	Construction of GSB	80.05
4	Construction of WBM	158.50
5	Bituminous Work	185.90
6	Cross Drainage Work	42.19
7	Road side drain cum footpath and approach culvert	485.10
8	Construction of divider	26.19
9	Electrical works	15.69
	Total	1136.50

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following observations:

- i. The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project
- ii. The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- iii. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Item No.13: Construction of RCC Br.No.1/1 over river Pota on Hazuwa Nalbari Road in Baksa District**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the Detailed Project Report (DPR) of the project submitted by the State Government, at an estimated cost of Rs. 264.01 Lac, has been examined by the Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRT&H) who have stated that it may be considered for approval. It was also noted that DoRT&H have cleared the proposal subject to the following remarks:

"The State Chief Engineer may finalise the details of the bridge at his level keeping in view the performance of existing bridge. Such details, including site plan of the proposed bridge have not been furnished, in absence of which no comments is possible on the proposal of the bridge. Further, the overall width of the bridge may be kept keeping in view the category of road on which it is being constructed. If the classification of road is rural road, the width may be as per IRC:SP:20-2002; or as per IRC:5-1998. The State PWD may adopt the width of bridge in conformity with one of these IRC codes."

The NLCPR Committee, therefore, recommended sanction of the project at a total cost of Rs. 264.01 lakhs (inclusive of 1% contingency charges i.e Rs 2.61 lakhs) as per the following details:

(Rs. in lac)		
Sl. No.	Name of item	Amount
1	Cost of Bridge Proper	173.24
2	Approaches and Protection work	88.16
3	Add contingency @ 1%	2.61
	Total	264.01

The Committee recommended sanction of this project subject to the following conditions:

- i. The contingency charges of Rs. 2.61 lacs may be reimbursed as per the actual contingent expenditure against production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on work charged or any other establishment.
- ii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project
- iii. The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate
- iv. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- v. The State Chief Engineer would finalize the details of the bridge at his level keeping in view the performance of existing bridge.
- vi. The State PWD would adopt the overall width of the bridge keeping in view the category of road on which it is being constructed. If the classification of road is rural road, the width may be as per IRC:SP:20-2002; or as per IRC:5-1998. The State PWD would adopt the width of bridge in conformity with one of these IRC codes.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Item No.14: Construction of RCC Br.No.1/1 over river Shantijan on Srimanta Sankardev Govesona Kendra Road in Nagaon District**

The NLCPR Committee noted that the Detailed Project Report (DPR) of the project submitted by the State Government, at an estimated cost of Rs. 280.56 Lac, has been examined by the Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRT&H) who have stated

that it may be considered for approval. It was also noted that DoRT&H have cleared the proposal subject to the following remarks:

"The State Chief Engineer may finalise the details of the bridge at his level keeping in view the performance of existing bridge. Such details, including site plan of the proposed bridge has not been furnished, in absence of which no comments is possible on the proposal of the bridge. Further the overall width of the bridge may be kept keeping in view the width of the road on which it is being constructed. If the classification of the road is rural road, the width may be as per IRC:SP:20-2002; or as per IRC: 5-1998. The State PWD may adopt the width of the bridge in conformity with one of these IRC Codes."

The NLCPR Committee, therefore, recommended sanction of the project at a total cost of Rs. 280.55 lakhs (inclusive of 1% contingency charges i.e Rs 2.78 lakhs) as per the following details:

		(Rs. In lac)
Sl. No.	Name of item	Amount
	<b>(A) Approach Work</b>	
1	Earth work in core	24.63
2	Earth work in sub grade and shoulder	2.72
3	Grannular Sub - Base	6.97
4	W B M Grade II	3.83
5	W B M Grade III	4.14
6	Prime coat	0.73
7	Tack coat	0.25
8	Premix Surfacing	3.27
9	Seal coat	1.50
10	ECC Guard post	0.47
11	Identification sign board	0.38
12	Construction of Bamboo foot bridge for diversion of pedestrian traffic	0.66
13	Collection and supply of gravel	0.28
14	Collection and supply of quarried stone	0.21
15	Labour for spreading metal gravel	0.07
	<b>Total (A)</b>	<b>50.11</b>
	<b>(B) Protection work</b>	
16	Providing and laying boulders apron	3.09
17	Providing and laying pitching on slopes	8.24
18	Providing and laying filter material	2.24
19	Sub soil investigation	0.82
	<b>Total (B)</b>	<b>14.39</b>
20	<b>(C) Bridge proper</b>	<b>213.27</b>
21	1% contingency	<b>2.78</b>
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>280.55</b>

The Committee recommended sanction of the project subject to the following conditions:

- The contingency charges of Rs. 2.78 lacs may be reimbursed as per actual contingent expenditure against production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on work charged or any other establishment.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project
- The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate

- iv. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- v. The State Chief Engineer should finalize the details of the bridge at his level keeping in view the performance of existing bridge.
- vi. The State PWD would adopt the overall width of the bridge keeping in view the width of the road on which it is being constructed. If the classification of road is rural road, the width may be as per IRC:SP:20-2002; or as per IRC:5-1998. The State PWD would adopt the width of bridge in conformity with one of these IRC codes.

\* \* \* \*

**Item No. 15: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Modernisation of Kakching Ithei Maru Main Canal in Manipur.**

The Committee noted that Project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held on 30.11.2007 at an estimated cost of Rs.4.19 crore from the 2007-08 Priority List of Manipur. Union Ministry of Water Resources have observed that the Detailed Project Report has been examined and found O.K. to be considered for funding

2. After deliberations, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of **Rs.340.64 lac**, inclusive of Contingency expenditure, as under:

S.No.	Items of works	Amount (Rupees in lac)
1	Construction of Main Pucca Canal	303.44
2	Construction of Drop Falls	14.64
3	Construction of Slab culvert	3.73
4	Construction of Chowkidar Quarter	2.95
5	Providing & Fixing Sliding Shutter	9.20
	Sub Total	333.96
6	Contingency charge @ 2%	6.68
	<b>Total</b>	<b>340.64</b>

The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges will be reimbursed for actual contingency expenditure subject to production of documentary evidence. This would not include any charge for establishment, consultancy and purchase of vehicle, etc.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well as web based publicity.
- iii) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v) The State Govt. should bear all the recurring charges for maintenance of the canal.

\* \* \* \*

**Item No. 16:Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Augmentation of water supply scheme at Unopat and surrounding villages in Manipur.**

The Committee noted that Project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 46<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 12.01.2007 at an estimated cost of Rs.4.19 crore from the 2006-07 Priority List of Manipur. Union Department of Drinking Water Supply have examined the modified DPR and observed that the proposal is technically sound and recommended that DoNER may support the project for funding under Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources.

2. After deliberations, the NLCPR Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of **Rs.297.05 lac**, inclusive of Contingency expenditure, as under:

S.No.	Items of works	Amount (Rupees in lac)
1	Construction of Intake weir collected chamber i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	1.18 2.27
2	Construction of Settling tank i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	2.17 4.59
3	Construction of Slow and Sand filter i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	7.19 8.11
4	Construction of Service Reservoir i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	1.97 3.22
5	Construction of Zonal Reservoir i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	3.64 9.00
6	Providing & laying of sand for filter media i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	0.69 2.01
7	Laying & fixing of raw and distribution pipe line i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	9.57 6.72
8	Construction pipe support i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	2.86 4.53
9	Construction of Compound Wall i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	5.39 6.43
10	Trace path cutting, levelling T/site & construction of approach road i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	1.91 2.05
11	Construction of Chowkidar Quarter cum Godown i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	5.18 5.18
12	Cost of pipes, G.I. specials, Internal pipe fittings and transportation of pipe i) at Unopat treatment plant ii) at Khambathel treatment plant	51.11 144.26
	Sub Total	291.23

S.No.	Items of works	Amount (Rupees in lac)
6	Contingency charge @ 2%	5.82
	<b>Total</b>	<b>297.05</b>

The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- i) The contingency charges will be reimbursed for actual contingency expenditure subject to production of documentary evidence. This would not include any charge for establishment, consultancy and purchase of vehicle, etc.
- ii) The Government of Manipur should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc. as well as web based publicity.
- iii) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v) The sanction letter conveying administrative and financial approval may be issued on receipt of a Certificate from Secretary (Planning), Manipur that the project has not been sanctioned/ taken up/ proposed under any other scheme of the Central or the State Government or the NEC.
- vi) The State Govt. would bear all the recurring charges for operation and maintenance of this Water Supply project.

\*\*\*\*

**Item No.17: Proposal for sanction of the project – “Widening of road into double lane in Williamnagar Town (8 Km) including metalling and blacktopping” in Meghalaya under Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR).**

The committee noted that project was retained on 10.05.2005 for Widening of road into double lane in Williamnagar Town (8 Km) including metalling and blacktopping at an estimated cost of Rs.4.00 crore from Priority List 2005-06 of Government of Meghalaya. On 15.02.2006, State Government submitted Detailed Project Report (DPR) at an estimated cost of Rs. 20.74 crore. As the DPR cost was 5 times higher than retained cost, the clarifications were asked from the State Government. In reply, the State Government informed that the Concept paper of the project was based on approximate cost and rough assessment of the project but the high cost in the DPR is based on actual calculations, measurement, detailed engineering survey and items wise analysis etc. and submitted a comparative statement for variation in cost.

The committee also noted that the DPR was examined in the Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH). The DoRTH recommended the re-revised DPR of the project for sanction at Rs. Rs.1708.07 lakh and advised the Ministry of DoNER to take a view on the proposed two RCC bridges of 12 m width at Ch.8585.00m and Ch.8640.00m, against 8.45 m width proposed earlier, as the 12 m width is applicable for National Highways and the proposed road is in proximity of the Williamnagar town which is a District Headquarter of the West Garo Hills District.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended that a technical team may be deputed to the project site to access the actual requirement of the width of the bridges proposed and the project may be sanctioned at Rs. 1512.67 lac, excluding the RCC bridges, with following components and conditions. After assessment of the actual requirement of the width of the bridges by the technical team, the proposal of RCC bridges may again be put up to the Committee for consideration, separately.

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
	Earthwork in formation	314.07
	Cross-Drainage works:- RCC Culverts & Single opening, Double opening, 3 opening HP Culverts ( Excluding Re-construction of Bridges)	281.83
	Construction of Retaining Walls	462.98
	Metalling Blacktopping	374.80
	Construction of pucca Side Drain	49.33
	<b>Sub - Total</b>	<b>1483.01</b>
	2% Contingencies on	29.66
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1512.67</b>

- The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.29.66 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge or any other Establishment or purchase of vehicle.
- The Government of Meghalaya should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal etc, as well web based publicity.
- The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- The Sanction may be issued after receipt of the certificate from State Government that the project is not funded against any other source/ programme.

\*\*\*\*

**Item No.18: Namachi - Assangthang Road under South Sikkim circle (5 km).**

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.6.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Sikkim for 2007-08, on recommendation of NLCPR committee in its 49<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16 July 2007. The DPR was vetted techno-economically by State Technical Agency, Jalpaiguri Engineering College and Department of Road Transport and Highways and supported for sanction.

- After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project as under:

Sl. No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Earthwork (widening)	56.28
2	Protective	220.73
3	Pavement Works	204.33
4	Drainage Works	50.42
5	Footpath, Island and single side single beam W profile metal crash barrier post	65.19
6	Providing sign boards traffic signs kilometer, hectometer posts cats eyes and road marking	2.00
7	Shifting of pipeline including road crossing @Rs.15000/km	0.75



	<b>Total</b>	<b>599.70</b>
8	Remuneration of State Technical Agency, Jalpaiguri Engg. College, W.B. @0.03% subject to a minimum of Rs.30000.00	0.30
		<b>600.00</b>

3. The committee, however, stipulated the following condition with their recommendation:

- (i) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- (ii) The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- (iii) The State Government is to confirm that during execution of work the State PWD shall ensure that the horizontal and vertical curves are laid according to IRC Standard.
- (iv) A certificate from the State Government is to be obtained that the project has not been sanctioned/taken up/ propose under any other scheme / central or the State Government or NEC.

\*\*\*\*

**Item No.19: Substitution/ Retention of NLCPR schemes from Priority List 2007-08 of Meghalaya against the withdrawn project.**

The Committee noted that the Government of Meghalaya requested for retention of the projects proposals of Government Educational Institutions at S.No.1, 4, 6 & 7 and some more important projects at S.No.9, 10 & 11 under education sector from the State Priority List 2007-08, against the project proposals, namely, "Modification of Pumping System and replacement of Treatment units of 7.5 MGD Water Treatment Plant of Greater Shillong Water Supply Scheme" retained from Priority List 2005-06 at an estimated cost of Rs.16.10 crore which is no longer required and withdrawn by them. Committee also noted that the Chief Minister of Meghalaya requested to Hon'ble Minister, DONER for retention of the project proposal of Pine Mount School, Shillong.

After deliberations, the Committee, in place of the dropped project as mentioned in the para above recommended retention of the following projects:

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Project</b>	<b>Retained Cost (Rs. in Crore)</b>
1.	Construction of Pinemount School	4.43
2.	Construction works for college Teachers Education at Rongkhon,Tura.	2.70
3.	Reconstruction and Modernisation of Sohkhia Government Higher Secondary School at Sohkhia, Jaintia Hills.	3.00
4.	Strengthening of the Kiang Nangbah Govt. College at Jowai.	3.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13.13</b>

\*\*\*\*

**Item No.20: Infrastructure development of colleges in Mizoram.**

The committee noted that the project, "Improvement of physical condition of Colleges and Post Matric Government institution under Higher Education" was prioritized by the Government of Mizoram for 2004-05 for funding under NLCPR. The NLCPR committee in its 20<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17 August 2004 had decided to have a report on physical condition and actual requirement of these colleges before retention of the project. On the basis of inspection report components of 4 colleges were retained. It was decided to have detailed information regarding remaining colleges. Later, 10 colleges were inspected by a team of officers from Ministry of DoNER, State Government and CPWD and furnished report.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for retention of following components of 10 colleges for detailed examination for possible funding under NLCPR:

Sl. No.	Name of College	Component	Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh)
1	T.Romana College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
2	Johnson College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
3	Aizawl West College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
4	J.Thankima College	New buildings for additional classrooms and hostel	100.00
5	Aizawl North College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
6	Hnahthial College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
7	J.Buana College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
8	Khawzawl College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	150.00
9	Saitual College	New buildings for additional classrooms and hostel	100.00
10	Kolashib College	New buildings for administrative and academic block and hostel	200.00
<b>Total</b>			<b>1450.00</b>

\*\*\*\*

**Item No. 21:Reconsideration of priority list for 2007- 08.**

The committee noted that the Ministry have already retained 7 projects at a total estimated cost of Rs.145.45 crore exhausting the share of State Government within the budgetary allocation of NLCPR funds for 2007-08 of Nagaland. Hon'ble Minister has accorded importance in 2 projects, namely, (i) Agriculture Link Roads in various places (a) Khrekesa Agricultural Link Road (b) Tzusa Agricultural Link Road and (c) Dhansiripar Agricultural Link Road with an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore and (ii) Construction of road from Ruzazho to Phek town via Kunvophu with an estimated cost of Rs. 10.00 crore, figured at priority numbers 14 and 19 respectively in the original priority list. They figured at priority number 15 and 20 respectively in the revised priority list.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended retention of the project, "Construction of road from Ruzazho to Phek town via Kunvophu" with an estimated cost of Rs. 10.00 crore for detailed examination for possible funding under NLCPR.

\* \* \* \*

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to all participants.

\* \* \* \* \*