

Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 63rd Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1500 Hrs on 28.11.2008 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243-A, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

1. Smt. Veena S. Rao, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.....In Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER.
3. Shri Shaktikanta Das, Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi.
4. Shri Naveen Verma, Joint Secretary (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs.
5. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Director (SP-NE), Room No.462, Planning Commission, Yojana Bhawan, New Delhi.

Ms. Jayati Chandra, Principal Adviser (SP-NE), Planning Commission and Dr. R.K. Vats, JS&FA, M/DoNER were granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Nikhil Pandey (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri B.B. Samaddar (Deputy Secretary), Shri K. Guite (Joint Director, IFD), Shri S.K. Saha (Section Officer) and Shri Ajay Kumar (Section Officer), Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee met and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made:

Item No.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 62nd Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008.

The Committee noted the comment of JS & FA over the minutes of the project "Sanction of Residential Quarters, Procurement of Diesel Generator Set for Shanti Deva Vidyalaya at Bomdila Monastery, Arunachal Pradesh" that the policy regarding funding of school infrastructure should be considered first before recommending the residential building/ quarters (including utensils and furniture) for sanction at a cost of Rs.2.01 crore (plus contingency).

After deliberations, Committee confirmed the Minutes of the 62nd Meeting held on 22.10.2008 and recommended that the project "Sanction of Residential Quarters, Procurement of Diesel Generator Set for Shanti Deva Vidyalaya at Bomdila Monastery, Arunachal Pradesh" may be sanctioned as recommended by the Committee in 62nd meeting considering the geographic location of the school. The Committee also recommended that a committee comprising Joint Secretary (PKP), M/DoNER, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA and Director (SP-NE), Planning Commission may go through the procedure/ criteria of sanction of residential quarters/ building and formulate a policy for it. The policy so formulated may be put up to NLCPR Committee for consideration.

Item No.2: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 62nd Meeting held on 22.10.2008

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 62nd Meeting have been issued on 27.10.2008 and action has been taken by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting except Agenda Item No.3 i.e. "Sanction of Residential Quarters, Procurement of Diesel Generator Set for Shanti Deva Vidyalaya at Bomdila Monastery, Arunachal Pradesh". The Committee recommended that the action may be taken in the project as per recommendation made in the 62nd meeting.

Item No.3: Construction of Tamen Tali Road via Yarkum (60:00Km) (Phase-I 0.00 – 49.00 Km) in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.00 crore in the 53rd meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 30.11.2007. The Committee also noted that the Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the project at a cost of Rs.1028.57 lakh.

After deliberations, the Committee, excluding carriage charges and restricting the contingency charges to 2%, recommended the project for sanction at Rs.898.11 lakh as under:

S. No.	Components of Work	Cost (Rs. in lakhs)
1.	Road Formation work in Cutting 6.00 km	241.62
2.	Widening of Road 14.008 Km	267.54
3.	Cross Drainage Structure	
a)	RCC Slab Culvert 1.00 Mtr.Span (1.5m height) 74 No.	180.60
b)	RCC Slab Culvert 2.00 Mtr.Span (2m height) 27 No.	124.33
c)	RCC Slab Culvert 4.00 Mtr.Span (4m height) 6 No.	66.41
	Total	880.50
4.	Contingencies 2%	17.61
	Grand Total	898.11

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence, but shall not include expenditure on work charges establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.4: Construction of Road from Sangram to Phassang-Pallang via Nyapin (SDO HQ)- Phase-I in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimate cost of Rs.10.00 crore in the 53rd meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 30.11.2007. The Committee also noted that the Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the project at a cost of Rs.1045.96 lakh.

After deliberations, the Committee, excluding carriage charges but including 4% VAT on purchase of superstructures of the Bailey Bridges amounting to Rs.5.00 lakh and restricting the contingency charges to 2%, recommended the project for sanction at Rs.881.72 lakh as under:

S.No.	Items of Work	Quantity	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1.	Improvement of Geometric and Widening of Road	20.00 Km	411.22
2.	Cross Drainage Work		
a)	RCC Slab Culvert 1.00 Mtr.Span (1.5m height)	12 No.	26.43
b)	RCC Slab Culvert 2.00 MTr.Span (2m height)	12 No.	48.80
c)	RCC Slab Culvert 4.00 MTr.Span (4m height)	9 No.	87.89
3.	Retaining Wall and Breast wall		
a)	Retaining Wall – 4m ht.	250 mtr.	34.15
b)	Breast Wall	150 mtr.	17.70
4.	Bailey Bridge (100 ft.-1 No. 70 Ft.-3 Nos.)	4 Nos.	238.24
	Total		864.43
5.	Add 2% contingencies		17.29
	Grand Total		881.72

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence, but shall not include expenditure on work charges establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.5: Project for consideration under NLCPR – Greater Bokajan Water Supply Scheme, in Assam

The NLCPR Committee noted that the DPR has been examined by the Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) who have technically cleared the same at an estimated cost of Rs. 1013.72 lakhs, inclusive of 3% contingency charges.

After restricting the contingency charges to 2%, The Committee recommended sanction of the project at a total cost of Rs. 1003.87 lakhs as per the following details:-

S. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakhs)
1	Installation, Testing and Commissioning of Deep Tube Wells	23.89
2	Raw Water Pumping Main (DI Class K9 Pipe) from the respective DTWs to the Treatment Plant	73.53
3	Raw Water Pump Sets for DTW Nos. 1 to 3	5.15
4	Raw Water Pump House near the DTWs for accommodating the electrical control panel etc	6.35
5.	Cost of Construction of the Treatment Plant Units	88.20
6	Construction of 350.0 Cu. M capacity RCC under ground clear water sump near the Treatment Plant	22.55
7.	Construction of Staff Accommodation at Treatment Plant (1 No. two storied RCC Building having Plinth Area of 180.0 Sq. M for accommodating 8 Operating Staff)	16.93
8	Cost of Site Development including Security Fencing for the Deep Tube Wells, Treatment Plant and Clear Water Sump	4.02
9	Construction of RCC Elevated Service Reservoirs on 15.0 m staging of different capacities for all service zones	202.50
10	Clear Water Pumping Main (DI Class K9 Pipe) from the Clear Water Sump near Treatment Plant to the respective ESRs	106.61
11	Clear Water Pump Set for pumping of Treated Water from the underground clear water sump at Treatment Plant to the different zonal service reservoirs	10.00
12	Laying of Distribution Pipe Network of average 100 mm dia CI-SS / DI-SS pipe lines including all necessary valves and specials	399.01
13	Electrical Power Connection including HT Line, Power Transformer and LT Line and for New Treatment Plant & DTWs	16.00
14	Provisions of Diesel Engine Driven Captive Power Generator along with change over Control Panel, Base Frame with Mountings, Fuel Tank and all other appurtenances to run the project at full installed capacity during power failure	9.45
	Total	984.19
15	2% Contingencies	19.68
	Grand Total	1003.87

The project was recommended for sanction subject to the following conditions:-

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- The implementing agency should adhere to the time schedule given in the estimate.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.

- The suggestions/comments of the MoUD (CPHEEO) given at **Annex-I** may be followed while implementing the project.

Item No.6: Proposal for dropping of projects from the list of retained projects and retention of new project in place of dropped projects for the State of Assam

The NLCPR Committee considered the request of the State Government of Assam, forwarded vide letter no. PDP(PP).40/2004/Pt.I/68 dated 18.10.2008, and recommended dropping of the following retained projects at a total estimated cost of Rs.62.11 crore:

1	Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Guwahati
2	Construction of RCC Bridge 11/1 on Simalutal Phukanghat Road over River Sonai (Nagaon District)
3	Construction of Flyover at the intersection on Pramathesh Baruah Road & NF Railway Track at Bijni (Chirrang District)
4	Construction of RCC Bridge 11/1 on Bordowa Silpukhuri Garolia Jonabari Road (Nagaon District)
5	33 kVA D/C Tower Line from 132 kV Garmur S/S to 33/11 kV Jorhat S/S
6	33 kV Steel Tubular Double Pole Structure suspension type line from 132 kV Mariani S/S to Cinnamora (Jorhat) S/S
7	33 kV Steel Tubular Double Pole Structure suspension type line from 132 kV Namrup S/S to 33/11 kV Rajgarh S/S
8	33 kV Steel Tubular Double Pole Structure from 132 kV Nazira S/S to 33/121 Demow S/S via 33/11 Moran S/S
9	Upgradation of Approach Road to the Mohanbari Airport Dibrugarh including Widening Road side drain and Street Light

Further, in lieu of the above 9 projects recommended for dropping, the NLCPR Committee, on the request of the State Government, recommended retention of the project '**Construction of RCC Bridge over River Aie**' at an estimated cost of Rs.7500.00 lakhs.

The NLCPR Committee also noted that the Ministry of Finance and the Planning Commission have communicated to the Ministry of DoNER that under the BTC package, Rs. 100 crore can be released to the Government of Assam and BTC entirely as grants; however, this applies to the provisions made in 2007-08 and 2008-09 only. The NLCPR Committee recommended that Ministry of DoNER should approach the Planning Commission and the Ministry of Finance for providing an Additional Central Assistance (ACA) to the Government of Assam / BTC to cover the 10% loan component for the releases made under BTC package during the years 2005-06 and 2006-07.

Item No.7: Projects for consideration under the BTC Package

The NLCPR Committee considered the four projects submitted by the State Government and BTC and recommended the retention of the following three projects:

Sl. No.	Name of the Project	Indicative Cost (Rs. in lakhs)
1	Improvement of Jalah Rupohi Saudorvitha Gobardhan Road in Baska District	2121.20

Sl. No.	Name of the Project	Indicative Cost (Rs. in lakhs)
2	Coversion of SPT Bridge 20/1 over River Gangia into RCC Bridge on Gosaigaon Sapatgram Road in Kokrajhar District	1082.68
3	Strengthening of 11 kV HT & LT Line with 12 Meter Steel Tubular Pole including Illumination of Kokrajhar Town	520.29
	Total	3724.17

Joint Secretary (NE), MHA who is the Member of the NLCPR Committee stated that the State Government of Assam and the BTC already have an unspent amount of about Rs. 140 crore lying with them against the projects sanctioned under the BTC package and this amount has been lying with them for a long time.

The NLCPR Committee was, therefore, of the view that instead of releasing 50% of the indicative cost of the above three projects as advance in lump sum, as per the "Guidelines for the release of BTC package" dated 31.12.2004, the State Government of Assam /BTC should first prepare DPRs for these retained projects and get them techno-economically examined by a competent technical authority. Thereafter, the State Level Standing Committee (SLSC) under the Chief Secretary should consider the DPRs, along with the comments / reports regarding their techno-economic examination, and approve these DPRs as also firm up the cost of the three projects. Once the cost of these projects is firm up by the SLSC, the same should be communicated to Ministry of DoNER who will release 50% of the firm up cost to the State / BTC.

The NLCPR Committee did not retain the project "Development of Manas National Park as an International Tourist Spot" at an estimated cost of Rs. 3301.21 lakhs since it was of the view that the concept paper for this project contains many items pertaining to Ministry of Environment & Forest who may have objections. Besides, this concept paper includes many items which relate to various sectors. Some of the proposed items under this project are only consumables and some require recurring expenditure, which are not permissible from this package and about which there is no assurance from the State Government of Assam / BTC.

In addition to the above, the NLCPR Committee was of the view that the Ministry of DoNER should review the "Guidelines for the release of BTC package" dated 31.12.2004. The Committee suggested that instead of 50% of the indicative cost of the project being released as advance in lump sum, immediately on retention of the projects, the amount should be released to the State Government / BTC only after the DPRs have been techno-economically examined by a competent technical authority and thereafter, these DPRs have been approved and the cost of the projects firm up by the SLSC.

Item No.8: State Sports Academy at Zobawk.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 60 Meeting held on 18 July 2008. In accordance with the information given in the concept note the project is to be executed by the State Government under the Sports & Youth Services Department. Secretary, Mizoram State Sports Council has informed that the site for this project was purchased by the Department and site development was taken up by the Mizoram State Sports Council. The Council is acting as State Government Agency in all cases as per sub rule (J) of 11 of the Mizoram State Sports Council Act 2002. The Council have obtained approval of the Minister i/c., Sports for taking up work the project work.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended that Mizoram State Sports Council is since acting a State Government Agency, they may be considered for executing the project in the event of its sanction.

Item No.9: Construction of T.Beam Girder Double Lane Bridge of IRC Class 'A' loading over River DZU – U on Rusoma to Kijumetuma Road.

The Committee noted that the project is a part of one of the project, namely, "Upgradation of Road from Rusoma to Kijumteuma" retained at a cost of Rs.28.72 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2007-08 and sanctioned at a cost of Rs.21.84 crore without the bridge. The Committee also noted the techno – economic appraisal of State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam and Union Department of Road Transport & Highways, followed by comments of the State Government and comments of Integrated Finance Division, DoNER.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.544.41 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Items / Components	Approved cost (Rs. in lakh)
1	Earthwork in excavation of foundation	5.02
2	Earthwork in filling of foundation	7.66
3	Sand filling at the back of abutment	24.50
4	Cement concrete in foundation	12.00
5	M 25 Grade controlled cement in rail posts road curves, dack slab etc.	84.48
6	Site shuttering	27.26
7	Bottom shuttering	1.54
8	Staging	26.40
9	Supplying, bending, binding and laying	170.38
10	Supplying, fitting and fixing of Elastomeric Bearings	11.55
11	Coat of Mastic Asphalt- 6mm	0.71
12	50 mm Asphalt Concrete wearing coat in two layers	2.84
13	Supplying, fitting and fixing, precast RCC rails	2.56
14	Drainage spout	0.15
15	Expansion of joints slab and wearing coarse	3.41
16	Filter media with coarse sand and stone gravels	68.71
17	Pitching on slopes	89.54
18	Painting of bridge super structure	1.11
19	Weeps holes	1.38
20	100 mm bolder soling below foundation	2.91
	Sub-Total	544.11
21	Remuneration for State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam	0.30
	Total	544.41

3. (i) The State Government should comply with the technical comments of the DoRTH before execution of the project.

(ii) The State Government should pay the remuneration for appraisal of the DPR to STA, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam.

- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
- (iv) The State Government should strictly adhere to the schedule of completion of the project.
- (v) The State Government should maintain transparency in the tendering process.

Item No.10: Construction of road from Razeba to Chizami via Thetsümi (25.30 km) in Nagaland.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.25.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2007-08, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 53 Meeting held on 30 November 2007. The Committee also noted the techno – economic appraisal of State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam and Union Department of Road Transport & Highways and comments of Integrated Finance Division, DoNER.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.2572.67 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Items of work	Quantity	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Formation Cutting	25.30 km	1472.94
2	Side Drain	25.30 km	11.06
3	Retaining Walls		
	a) 3 meters	885 m	127.85
	b) 4 meters	737 m	205.33
4	Hume Pipe Culvert 1000 mm	101 Nos	146.26
5	Pavement Works	25.30 km	607.68
6	Traffic Signs, Marking & Other Road Appurtenance		
	a) 5 km Stone (Precast)	6 Nos	0.22
	b) Ordinary Km Stone (Precast)	20 Nos	0.56
	Total		2571.90
7	Remuneration for State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam @ 0.03%		0.77
	Grand total		2572.67

3. The committee, however, laid down the following conditions:
- (i) The State Government should pay the remuneration for appraisal of the DPR to STA, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam.
 - (ii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
 - (iii) The State Government should strictly adhere to the schedule of completion of the project.
 - (iv) The State Government should maintain transparency in the tendering process.

Item No.11: Construction of 66 KV Transmission Line (charged at 33 KV) from Ganeshnagar to Peren & construction of 5MVA, 33/11 KV S/S at Jalukie & Peren in Nagaland.

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.25.48 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 60 Meeting held on 18 July 2008. The Committee also noted the techno - economic appraisal of Central Electricity Authority and comments of Integrated Finance Division, DoNER.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.2178.44 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Project	Approved cost (Rs. in lakh)
1	Price for design manufacture, testing, supply of equipments for construction of 5MVA, 33/11 KV Sub-station at Peren	324.93
2	Price for erection of structure equipments for 5 MVA, 33/11 KV Sub-station at Peren	9.07
3	Civil works for Sub-station at Peren	107.80
4	Engineering Design and Testing for Sub-station at Peren	6.55
5	Optional items for Sub-station at Peren	45.47
6	Price for design manufacture testing & supply of equipments for 5MVA, 33/11 KV Sub-station at Jalukie	290.30
7	Price for erection of Structure / equipments for 5 MVA, 33/11 KV Sub-station at Jalukie	7.72
8	Civil works for Sub-station at Jalukie	95.79
9	Engineering design & testing for Sub-station at Jalukie	6.55
10	Optional items for Sub-station at Jalukie	44.70
11	Price for design manufacture testing & supply of equipments for 33 KV bay extension at Ganesh Nagar	35.73
12	Price for erection of structure/equipments for 33 KV bay extension at Ganesh Nagar	1.66
13	Civil works for Sub-station at Ganesh Nagar	3.33
14	Engineering design & Testing for Sub-station at Ganesh Nagar	0.33
15	Optional items for Sub-station at Ganeshnagar	7.28
16	Estimate for 66 KV S/C Transmission line from Ganesh Nagar to Peren (Line length 50 KMs) : Supply	689.58
17	Estimate for 66 KV S/C Transmission line from Ganesh Nagar to Peren (Line length 50 KMs) : Erection	458.94
	Sub-total	2135.73
18	Contingency @2%	42.71
	Grand Total	2178.44

3. The committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The State Government should execute the project in accordance with the scope of work spelt out by CEA in their appraisal.
- (ii) Contingency may be reimbursed on submission of documents of actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, Audit & Accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive

basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.

- (iv) The State Government should strictly adhere to the schedule of completion of the project.
- (v) The State Government should maintain transparency in the tendering process.

Item No.12: Extension of road from Chakhung Khanesherbong SPWD road to Majuwa village via Chota Samdung – 3 kms length alongwith 2 nos. of RCC bridges in West District.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.3.00 crore from the priority list for 2008-09 on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 60 Meeting held on 18 July 2008. The Committee also noted the techno – economic appraisal of Union Department of Road Transport & Highways and comments of Integrated Finance Division, DoNER.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.270.79 lakh detailed as under:

S.No.	Items of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Hill Cutting	18.39
2	Protective works (km 4 th to 6 th)	56.58
3	Improvement of take up point i/e protective works	84.29
4	Parapet	3.68
5	Kuttcha drain	0.40
6	Drainage works CDS 1M x 1M	9.53
7	15.00M span RSS bridge	33.44
8	Guide wall and approach wall	22.68
9	8.00 M span RSS bridge	27.13
10	Guide wall and approach wall	6.49
11	Construction of 1.8M span CD	2.87
	Sub - total	265.48
10	Contingency @2%	5.31
	Total	270.79

3. The committee, however, laid down the following conditions:
- (i) The State Government should ensure that the horizontal and vertical curves are laid according to IRC standard and also ensure safety and stability of the RCC bridges.
 - (ii) Contingency may be reimbursed on submission of documents of actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
 - (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.

- (iv) The State Government should strictly adhere to the schedule of completion of the project.
- (v) The State Government should maintain transparency in the tendering process.

Item No.13: Construction of 66 KV Sub-Station to Chungthang and 2x5 MVA Transformer Bay at Chungthang and one feeder bay at Mayong” – proposal for additional funds for relocating sub-Station from Chungthang to Maltin.

The committee noted that the project was sanctioned on 22 March 2004 at a cost of Rs. 683.99 lakh for funding under NLCPR. The entire admissible amount of Rs.530.59 lakh has been released in 3 installments on 22 March 2004, 9 January 2006 and 21 June 2006. As per the Utilization Certificate (UC) and Quarterly Progress Report (QPR) submitted by the Planning Department, Sikkim through their letter of 19 August 2008 an amount of Rs.34.09 lakh were remaining unutilized as on 31 March 2007 and the overall physical progress up to the quarter ending June 2008 was 89%. The committee also noted the clarification alongwith chronological history of the project.

- 2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for admission of the DPR with estimated cost of Rs.235.68 lakh for consideration of additional cost for the project due to change in the scope of work.

Item No.14: Construction of road from Ruzhazo to Phek town via Khumvophu (13 km).

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.00 crore from the priority list for 2007-08 on recommendation of the NLCPR Committee in its 56 Meeting held on 19 March 2008. The committee also noted the techno – economic appraisal of State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam and Union Department of Road Transport & Highways, followed by comments alongwith the revised estimate submitted by the State Government and further comments of Union Department of Road Transport & Highways, comments of Project Division and Integrated Finance Division, DoNER.

- 2. After deliberations, the committee recommended for sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.860.04 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work	Quantity	Unit	Amount (Rs.in lakh)
1	Earthwork in cutting (i) Ordinary soil (ii) Ordinary rock	149511.00 5727.00	cum cum	76.25 3.72
2	Earthwork for excavation of unlined side drain	3071.25	cum	3.53

Sl. No.	Item of work	Quantity	Unit	Amount (Rs.in lakh)
3	Water Bound Macadam Base (i) Grade - I (ii) Grade – II (iii) Grade-III (iv) Carriage of WBM materials	58250.00 2925.00 2925.00	cum cum cum	75.00 58.38 59.46 2.75
4	Prime coat	39000.00	sqm	9.05
5	Tack coat	39000.00	sqm	3.31
6	Premix Carpet	39000.00	sqm	58.50
7	Seal Coat	39000.00	sqm	15.99
8	Carriage of aggregates in bituminous works			0.24
9	Cross Drainage (i) Hume Pipe culverts (ii) RCC Slab culverts (iii) RCC Bridge 22m span	41 3 1	Nos. Nos. Nos.	102.30 54.77 252.64
10	Protection works (i) CRSM Retaining & Breast walls	385.00	meter	83.85
Sub-Total				859.74
11	Remuneration for State Technical Agency, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam @ 0.03% subject to a minimum of Rs.30,000.00			0.30
Total				860.04

3. The committee, however, laid down the following conditions:
- (i) The State Government should comply with the technical comment of the DoRTH while executing the project.
 - (ii) The State Government should pay the remuneration for appraisal of the DPR to STA, Jorhat Engineering College, Assam.
 - (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project. The codal formalities should include calling of tenders on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in newspapers, trade journal; etc. as well as web-based publicity.
 - (iv) The implementing agency should strictly adhere to the schedule of completion of the project.
 - (v) The State Government should maintain transparency in the tendering process.

Item No.5: Project for consideration under NLCPR – Greater Bokajan Water Supply Scheme, in Assam

Suggestions / Comments of the Ministry of Urban Development (CPHEEO) on the DPR for the project “Greater Bokajan Water Supply Scheme, in Assam”

- (i) The project has been prepared as per Manual on Water Supply and Treatment, published by the Ministry
- (ii) The estimate for Raw Water Pumping Main, Intake (DTWs), Pump is modified from Rs. 119.1142 lakhs to Rs. 108.73 lakhs.
- (iii) The estimate for Water Treatment Plant is modified from Rs. 147.00 lakhs to Rs. 88.20 lakhs.
- (iv) Water Supply Zone is restricted to 2 instead of the proposed 3 zones and the distribution system is re-designed accordingly.
- (v) The estimate of OHT has been modified to Rs. 202.50 lakhs instead of earlier Rs. 243.00 lakhs proposed in the DPR.
- (vi) The estimate for Staff Accommodation is modified from Rs. 98.668 lakhs to Rs. 16.931 lakhs.
- (vii) Captive Power Generator for Deep Tube Wells at remote location other than the Treatment Plant area is deleted
- (viii) Before the start of execution, land for all the units may be acquired so that during the course of implementation, the possibility of delay because of land may be avoided.
- (ix) During detailed engineering, the precise survey of Pumping Main alignment etc. may be rechecked. In case of any change in RL the Pumping Main may be re-designed accordingly and may be got approved by CPHEEO.
- (x) The rates adopted in the estimation of DPR should be re-checked and accordingly the estimate of DPR may be checked.
- (xi) The design of all units may be re-checked before start of execution in the light of precise survey results and then only implementation should be started
- (xii) After carrying out detailed engineering, a set of final drawings including the distribution network may be forwarded to CPHEEO
- (xiii) No change in the scope of scheme is allowed without prior approval of CPHEEO.
