

Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 76th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1100 Hrs on 14.01.2010 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243-A, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

1. Ms. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER.
3. Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure
4. Shri Naveen Verma, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
5. Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER
6. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission

Mrs. Firoza Mehrotra, Special Consultant (SP-NE), Planning Commission granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri B.B. Samaddar (Deputy Secretary), Shri Ajay Kumar (Section Officer) and Shri S.K. Saha (Section Officer), Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee met and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made:

Item No.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 75th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 18.12.2009

Minutes of the 75th Meeting were confirmed.

Item No.2: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 75th Meeting held on 18.12.2009

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 75th Meeting have been issued on 30.12.2009 and action has been taken by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting.

Item No.3: Construction of road from Jia Tinali on Roing Shantipur road (Ch:9.20 Km) to Bizari via Idilli in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.15.00 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Arunachal Pradesh in the 62nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008.

The Committee also observed that the road length as per the DPR is 19.80 Km. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.1582.46 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1544.61 lac, after deducting Quality Control and restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Jungle Clearance	9.62
2.	Earth work in Formation filling	658.14
3.	Protection work/Boulder crated wall	73.26
4.	Soiling, Metalling & Carpeting	508.42
5.	Culverts	264.88
	Sub-Total	1514.32
6.	Add 2% Contingencies	30.29
	Total	1544.61

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning), Government of Arunachal Pradesh that the project has not funded against any other source/ Centrally Sponsored Scheme/ Programme.

Item No.4: Construction of Road from Pugging to Palling in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.15.00 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Arunachal Pradesh in the 62nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008.

The Committee also observed that the project is for construction of 20 Km road from Likor to Palling in the Pugging-Palling road of 48 Km. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.1554.88 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1530.19 lac, after deducting Quality Control and restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Formation Cutting – 15.00 Km.	520.82
2.	Widening – 2.300 Km	32.03
3.	Granular Sub-Base – 19.7008 Km	244.34
4.	WBM-II – 19.7008 Km	195.63
5.	RCC Slab Culvert 1.50 mtr.span – 69 Nos.	243.16
6.	RCC Slab Culvert 2.00 mtr.span – 12 Nos.	55.86
7.	RCC Slab Culvert 4.00 mtr.span - 6 Nos.	53.59
8.	RCC Slab Culvert 6.00 mtr.span - 2 Nos.	27.23
9.	Retaining Wall (2.00 mtr. height) – 245 mtr.	30.86
10.	Retaining Wall (3.00 mtr. height) – 400 mtr.	89.18
11.	Kutcha Drain – 19.7008 Km	7.49
	Sub-Total	1500.19
12.	Add 2% contingencies	30.00
	Total	1530.19

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning), Government of Arunachal Pradesh that the project has not funded against any other source/ Centrally Sponsored Scheme/ Programme.

Item No.5: Metalling and Blacktopping of Road from Dr. Fakaruddin Ali Ahmed Path to Doulgobindapur via Nalbari Hindu Smashan, Nalbari along with Pucca Drain and RCC Slab culverts in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Department of Road Transport & Highways (D/o RT&H) who have recommended the same for funding under NLCPR at a cost of Rs. 227.85 lacs.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 227.85 lacs inclusive of 1% contingency i.e. Rs. 2.26 lacs, detailed as under:-

Sl. No.	Item of work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
i.	Road Works	92.17
ii.	Drain Works	104.80
iii.	Slab Culvert	28.62
	Sub Total	225.59
iv.	Contingency @ 1%	2.26
	Total	227.85

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicles.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- vi. A non- duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department.

Item No.6: Improvement of Tangla Bhergaon Ramgaon Road from Ch.00 M to Ch. 9400 M including cross drainage works in Udalguri District in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Department of Road Transport & Highways (D/o RT&H) with estimated cost of Rs. 1053.57 lacs.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 1043.34 lacs after restricting the Contingency Charges to 2% i.e. Rs. 20.46 lacs, detailed as under:-

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
A	Road Works	
i.	Jungle Clearance	0.50
ii.	Earth Work	221.23
iii.	200 mm GSB GR-1+75 mm WBM Gr-2+75 WBM Gr-3	203.35
iv.	20 mm OGPC + Type 'A' Seal Coat	63.80
v	Road Furniture	1.67
	Sub Total (A)	490.55
B	Bridge Works	
i.	Bridge No. 1/1	97.29
ii	Bridge No. 3/2	66.07
iii.	Bridge No. 3/3	61.24

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
iv.	Bridge No. 8/2	56.06
v.	Bridge No. 8/3	55.96
vi.	Bridge No. 9/2	96.14
	Sub Total (B)	432.76
C	Protection Works	
i.	Bridge No. 1/1	3.29
ii	Bridge No. 3/2	2.87
iii.	Bridge No. 3/3	2.87
iv.	Bridge No. 8/2	2.84
v.	Bridge No. 8/3	2.84
vi.	Bridge No. 9/2	3.46
vii.	Retaining wall at Bridge Approach	44.28
	Sub Total (C)	62.45
D	Culvert Works	
i.	Single Row 1000 mm dia HPC	19.50
ii.	Double Row 1000 mm dia HPC	17.62
	Sub Total (D)	37.12
	Total (A to D)	1022.88
E	Add contingency 2%	20.46
	Grand Total	1043.34

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicles.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- vi. A non- duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department.

Item No.7: Greater Umsning Water Supply Scheme in Meghalaya

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.9.85 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Meghalaya in the 66th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.03.2009. The Department of Drinking Water Supply (D/o DWS) vetted the estimate at Rs.1161.47 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1128.28 lac, after restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1.	Construction of RCC Intake	7.50

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
2.	Laying of Gravity Main	480.70
3.	Construction of 1.25 MLD Water Treatment Plant	114.26
4.	Construction of Central Clear Water Reservoir	41.24
5.	Laying of Clear Water Gravity main	219.93
6.	Construction of Zonal CWR	45.94
7.	Construction of Sub Zonal CWR	25.53
8.	Laying of Distribution System	130.79
9.	Construction of Grade IV Staff Quarter & Control room	15.27
10.	Misc. work (approach road, protection of treatment plant, fencing work)	25.00
Sub Total (item 1 to 10)		1106.16
11.	Add 2% contingency charges	22.12
Total		1128.28

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.8: Improvement & Upgradation of road from NH-61 (Alichen to Mangmetong - 11 km) Doyang Hydro Project Phase-I in Nagaland

The committee noted that the project was retained for 15 km road at an estimated cost of Rs.771.00 lakh from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 62 Meeting held on 22 October 2008. The length of the road was reduced later to 11 Km on request of the State Government due to inadequate cost at which the project was retained. MoRTH vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.704.14 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Items of works	Quantity	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Site Clearance		
	Clearing and grubbling road length i/c uprooting	3.27	1.12

Sl. No.	Items of works	Quantity	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
	rank vegetation, grass, bushes shrubs, saplings and trees gridth upto 300mm removal of stumps of trees etc.		
2	Earthwork		
a	Excavation in soil in Hilly area by mechanical means i/c cutting and trimming of side slopes		
	(i) Hilly soil	47480.64	64.00
	(ii) Ordinary rock	13831.88	18.00
	(iii) Surface drain in ordinary soils	8630.00	4.40
	(iv) Surface drain in ordinary rocks	1580.00	1.63
b	Construction of sub grade and earthen shoulder with approved material obtained from borrow pits with all leads and lifts etc.	9380.44	21.98
c	Loosening of the ground upto a level of 500 mm below the sub grade level, watered, graded and compacted in layers to meet requirements of table 300-2 for sub grade construction	9380.44	6.66
3	Sub-Base and Base		
a	Construction of granular sub base by providing close graded material (i) For grading I materials	4849.75	77.26
b	Providing, laying, spreading and compacting stone aggregates of specific sizes to WBM specn. i/c spreading in uniform thickness, hand packing rolling with roller 8-10 Tones (ii) For grading II materials	2871.56	56.28
4	Protection Works		
	(i) Retaining Walls (type-I)	59.00	9.85
	(ii) Retaining Walls (type-II)	136.00	42.16
5	Cross Drain Works		
	(i) Humepipes culverts type-I	9	29.44
	(ii) Humepipes culverts type-II	35	160.86
	(iii) R.C.C. Slab culvert	6	178.25
6	Extra Carriage Works		
	Loading and unloading of stone boulders (manually) i/c haulage		18.17
7	Road Furnitures		
	Reinforced cement concrete M-15 grade KM		
	(i) 5 th Km stone (precast)	3	0.10
	(ii) Ordinary stone (precast)	8	0.17
	Sub-Total		690.33
8	Contingency @ 2%		13.81
	Total		704.14

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.

- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (v) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.9: Construction of RCC Bridge over Kalapaniacherra at Ch. 0.50 Km. on Satchand Block Office – Old Manubankul Road (ODR) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. [The Department of Road Transport & Highways \(DoRTH\) vetted the estimate at Rs.274.29 lac.](#)

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at [Rs.265.60 lac](#), excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 span of 24.00 Mtr each)	
	RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	55.43
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	152.12
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road :524.54 Mtr	
	A crust composition of 375 mm thick.	52.84
	Sub-Total	260.39
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	5.21
	Total	265.60

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.10: Construction of RCC Bridge over Dhanai at Ch.6.60 Km. on Champaknagar – Mandai Road (ODR) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. [The Department of Road Transport & Highways \(DoRTH\) vetted the estimate at Rs.338.40 lac.](#)

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at [Rs.321.34 lac](#), excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 span of 29.44 Mtr)	
	Superstructure shall be of RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	60.39
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Sub-structure shall consist of Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	198.88
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road :426.497 Mtr	
	A crust composition of 375 mm thick i.e., 100 mm WBM (Gr-I), 75 mm WBM (Gr-II) and 2x75 mm WBM (Gr-III)	55.77
	Sub-Total	315.04
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	6.30
	Total	321.34

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.11: Construction of RCC Bridge near causeway at Krishnapur over Balucherra at Ch. 6.05 Km on Maharani-Tulashikhar Road in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained

in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. [The Department of Road Transport & Highways \(DoRTH\) vetted the estimate at Rs.184.17 lac.](#)

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at [Rs.178.14 lac](#), excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 Span of 29.44 Mtr)	
	Superstructure shall be of RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	35.50
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Sub-structure shall consist of Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	94.78
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road :493.861 Mtr	
	A crust composition of 356 mm thick i.e., 100 mm WBM (Gr-I), 75 mm WBM (Gr-II) and 2x75 mm WBM (Gr-III)	44.37
	Sub-Total	174.65
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	3.49
	Total	178.14

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.12: Construction of RCC Bridge over Local Stream at Ch. 12.00 Km. on Mohanpur – Simna Road (ODR) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. [The Department of Road Transport & Highways \(DoRTH\) vetted the estimate at Rs.240.57 lac.](#)

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at [Rs.232.78 lac](#), excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 span of 24.00 Mtr)	
	Superstructure shall be of RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	55.43
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Sub-structure shall consist of Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	139.71
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road : 283.76 Mtr.	
	A crust composition of 375 mm thick	33.08
	Sub-Total	228.22
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	4.56
	Total	232.78

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.13: Construction of RCC Bridge over Lohar on Berimura Taltala Road (ODR) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. [The Department of Road Transport & Highways \(DoRTH\) vetted the estimate at Rs.239.32 lac.](#)

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at [Rs.229.33 lac](#), excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 span of 24.00 Mtr)	
	RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	55.43
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
	Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	122.18
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road :300.00 Mtr	
	A crust composition of 375 mm thick	47.22
	Sub-Total	224.83
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	4.50
	Total	229.33

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.14: Proposal for Greater Sohrybgkham Water Supply Scheme (Hills Division) in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.5.98 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Meghalaya in the 66th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.03.2009. The Department of Drinking Water Supply (D/o DWS) vetted the estimate at Rs.689.96 lac. The Committee also noted that the per capita cost of the project is on higher side.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.670.24 lac, after restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1.	Construction of RCC weir including footbridge	6.30
2.	Laying of Gravity Main	263.54
3.	Construction of Treatment Plant	113.80
4.	Construction of Clear Water reservoir and Sub-Main reservoir	87.97
5.	Laying of Distribution Main	75.80
6.	Construction of RCC Zonal Reservoirs (8 Nos)	31.58
7.	Laying of Distribution System	58.98
8.	Construction of protection wall with fencing, painting for treatment plant and clear water reservoir	5.00
9.	Construction of approach roads to Intake, Treatment Plant, overhead Sub-Main	6.00
10.	Construction of staff quarters	8.13

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
	Sub-Total	657.10
11.	Add 2% contingency charges	13.14
	Total	670.24

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that [the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.](#)

Item No.15: Construction of RCC Bridge over river Lohar at Ch.0.45 Km on Kamalghat – Gamchakobra – Banikya Chowmuhani road in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 13 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.275.17 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.266.38 lac, excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (2 span of 24 Mtr)	
	Superstructure shall be of RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	55.43
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	153.38
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road :290 Mtr	
	A crust composition of 375 mm thick	52.35
	Sub-Total	261.16

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
4.	Add for Contingencies @2%	5.22
	Total	266.38

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.16: Construction of RCC Bridge No. 20/1 on Nalbari Palla Road in Nalbari District in Assam

The Committee noted that the project mentioned above was approved for sanction on recommendation in 26th Meeting held on 22.01.2004 with approved cost of Rs. 144.36 lac against which Rs. 113.74 lacs have been released in two installments. The State Government have informed that Rs. 108.01 lacs have been utilized.

2. The Committee also deliberated the request of the State Government to release the amounts for Quality Control, AGST and Escalation which were not being released under NLCPR although these items were part of the Administrative and Financial Approval which was issued in April 2004.

3. After deliberations, the Committee recommended as follows:

- i. AGST is not admissible as such the same may not be released.
- ii. So far as Quality Control and Escalation are concerned, these may be reimbursed on submission of actual expenditure and voucher verification.

Item No.17: Construction of Road from Phek to Chozouba in Nagaland

The committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs.1747.13 lakh, on 31 December 2007. Out of which, an amount of Rs.1100.00 lakh was released in two installments by 20 March 2009. The State Government through their letter of 17 November 2009 submitted Utilization Certificate and Quarterly Progress Report for the quarter ending September 2009 indicating utilization of the entire released amount and 60.57% overall physical progress. The State Government submitted revised DPR with an estimated cost of Rs.2384.65 lakh through their letter of 28 April 2009 proposing 36.48% increase of cost of the project. The revised DPR includes provision for widening

the road by 3 mtr to make the road 6 mtr width. The consequently necessitated works include new cross drainage, new culverts and new retaining wall and additional layer of metalling etc. The State Government admitted their mistake stating that while preparing the DPR due to oversight / misplacing of survey data the formation width was wrongly written as 6 mtr. in existence. However, in reality it is varying from 3 to 4 mtrs only.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended that the revised proposal (DPR) of the State Government may not be admitted.

Item No.18: Construction of road from PWD road from Kamhua Noknu village (Pongchau Circle) to Nginu-BRTF Road point (Wakka Circle) in Tirap District in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.12.50 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Arunachal Pradesh in the 62nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.1380.12 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.1353.18 lac, after deducting Quality Control and restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Road Formation Works	
	i) Formation Cutting 12.500 Km.	286.33
2.	Cross Drainage Works RCC Culverts	
	i) Span 1.00m – 35 Nos.	174.62
	ii) Span 2.00m – 13 Nos.	97.56
	iii) Span 6.00m – 1 No.	14.58
3.	Protection Works – Retaining Wall	
	i) Retaining wall 3.00 mtr height -193 mtr.	56.74
	ii) Retaining wall 5.00 mtr height -140 mtr.	47.46
	Breast Wall	
	i) Breast wall 3.00 mtr. height – 135 mtr.	20.93
4.	Pavement	
	i) WBM Grade-I 100mm – 12.433 Km	207.63
	ii) WBM Grade-II 75mm – 12.433 Km	138.38
	iii) WBM Grade-III 75mm – 12.433 Km	139.75
	iv) Carpeting – 12.433 Km	135.13
5.	Drainage	
	i) Kutcha Drain – 12.433 Km	3.23
6.	Road side Caution Board & Kilometer Stones	4.31
	Sub-Total	1326.65
7.	Add 2% Contingencies	26.53
	Grand Total	1353.18

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges amounting to Rs.26.53 lac may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the project has not funded against any other source/ Centrally Sponsored Scheme/ Programme.

Item No19: Rehabilitation of Khomidok Water Supply Scheme in Imphal East (1.20 MLD), Manipur.

The Committee noted that the project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 66th Meeting held on 02.03.2009 at an estimated cost of Rs.5.42 crore from the 2008-09 Priority List of Manipur. Union Department of Drinking Water Supply vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.459.94 lakh as under:

S. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Construction of RCC stepping intake	9.50
2	Construction of Aerator	1.06
3	Construction of settling tank	13.26
4	Construction of Service reservoir	18.21
5	Construction of Slow sand filter	36.04
6	Construction of RCC overhead tank	89.84
7	Construction of Chowkidar Quarter	3.80
8	Construction of Compound Wall	7.95
9	Construction of godown	3.75
10	Construction of pump house	2.11
11	Providing & Laying of Filter Media	23.30
12	HT & LT Line fitting	4.15
13	Fabrication of the control panel board of 50HP & 20 HP	1.80
14	Pump sets 20+50 HP	15.07
15	Cost of pipes	186.74
16	Laying and fixing of pipe lines	9.73
17	Distribution of transformer 250 KVA including installation	7.13
18	Construction of pipe support & Anchor blocks	4.18
19	Cost of pipes, specials	12.07
20	Transportation of pipes from Lamphelpat to Khomidok	1.23
	Sub total	450.92

S. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
	2% Contingency charges	9.02
	Total	459.94

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (v) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR
- (vi) The project will be implemented on turnkey basis as one project and an agreement/ work order for award of work on turn key basis for project will be submitted to M/o DoNER in 3 months from the date of issue of the sanction.

Item No.20: Augmentation of Leimaram Irengam area Water Supply System at Bishnupur (322 KLD), Manipur

The Committee noted that the project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 66th Meeting held on 02.03.2009 at an estimated cost of Rs.2.88 crore from the 2008-09 Priority List of Manipur. Union Department of Drinking Water Supply vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.258.69 lakh as under:

S.No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Construction of Intake weir arrangement	4.17
2	Construction of brick masonry aerator	2.65
3	Construction of settling tank	13.00
4	Construction of Slow sand filter	28.63
5	Construction of Service reservoir	10.00
6	Providing & Laying of Filter Media	13.44
7	Laying of pipe lines	8.53
8	Cost of G.I. pipes and specials	141.40
9	Construction of Chowkidar Quarter	3.00
10	Construction of Godown	3.31
11	Construction of Small cistern	9.53
12	Land development, construction of approach road and compound fencing around the treatment site	15.96
	Sub-Total	253.62
	2% Contingency charges	5.07
	Total	258.69

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:
- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
 - (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
 - (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
 - (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
 - (v) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR
 - (vi) The project will be implemented on turnkey basis as one project and an agreement/ work order for award of work on turn key basis for project will be submitted to MoDoNER in 3 months from the date of issue of the sanction.

Item No.21: Construction of Pick up weir across Waishel river at Bishnupur in Manipur.

The Committee noted that the project was inspected by a team of officers associating representative(s) of Union Ministry of Water Resources, State Planning & Implementing Department and Ministry of DoNER, on their recommendation made in 65 Meeting held on 16 February 2009. The Committee also noted the findings of inspection and followed up documents submitted by the State Government.

2. After deliberations, the NLCPR Committee recommended that an undertaking may be obtained from the State Government that there will be no dispute in sharing water of the feeder river and they should also provide data like available water, down stream users etc. The State Government should also make a presentation in this regard in the M/o DoNER after which the proposal be placed before the NLCPR Committee for consideration.

Item No.22: Augmentation of Water Supply Scheme at Chingai Sub-Divisional Head Quarters (0.30 MLD) in Manipur.

The Committee noted that the project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in their 60th Meeting held on 18.07.2008 at an estimated cost of Rs.5.53 crore from the 2008-09 Priority List of Manipur. Union Department of Drinking Water Supply vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the project may be got inspected by the Superintendent Engineer of Ministry of DoNER, as the per capita cost of the project appears to be on higher side. The proposal be placed before the committee alongwith the inspection report.

Item No.23: Construction of Outdoor Stadium at Williamnagar, East Garo Hills District in Meghalaya

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.8.73 crore from the Priority List 2006-07 of Meghalaya in the 43rd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 28.07.2006.

The Committee also observed that the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports (M/o YAS) recommended that project for sanction. The Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) vetted the estimate at Rs.812.77 lac subject to the condition that the structural design must be got vetted from the competent authority of the State PWD.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.781.61 lac, after deducting Consultancy Charges & Forest Royalty and restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lacs)
1.	Construction of Main Structure	542.10
2.	Cost of Internal Water Supply and sanitary fittings @ 4%	21.68
3.	Cost of Internal Electrification and fittings at 12.5%	67.76
4.	Septic Tanks (2 Nos.)	3.96
5.	Underground Water Tank	13.16
6.	Overhead Water Tank	10.15
7.	Cinder Track	23.38
8.	Site Development	69.09
9.	Tube wells & Pumps (LS)	9.00
10.	Transformer (LS)	6.00
	Sub-Total	766.28
11.	Contingencies 2%	15.33
	Total	781.61

Conditions:

- a) The Administrative & Financial Approval of the project may be issue after the structural design is vetted by the Superintending Engineer being appointed in the Technical Wing of Ministry of DoNER.
- b) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- c) The State Sports Council, Government of Meghalaya should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- d) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- e) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- f) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of Non-duplicacy Certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.24: Mawsynram Water Supply Scheme (Hills Division) in Meghalaya

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.3.39 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Meghalaya in the 66th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.03.2009. The Department of Drinking Water Supply (D/o DWS) vetted the estimate at Rs.399.80 lac. The Committee also noted that the per capita cost of the project is on higher side.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.388.38 lac, after restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Components of Work	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
	I) Treatment Plant No.1	
1.	Construction of Head work for Phuddidoh Source	2.20
2.	Construction of Head work for Urktung source	1.77
3.	Raw water gravity main	29.70
4.	Construction of Treatment Plant	27.67
5.	Clear water gravity main	24.06
6.	Distribution Main	7.32
7.	Construction of RCC main zonal reservoir & sub-zonal reservoir	11.16
8.	Distribution System	9.58
	II) Treatment Plant No.2	
9.	Construction of Head work for source 'Mawpun'	2.05
10.	Construction of Head work for source 'Umspar'	1.95
11.	Construction of Head work for 'Ummawiong'	1.69
12.	Raw water gravity main	39.16
13.	Raw water pumping main	8.65
14.	Construction of raw water sump	7.22
15.	Construction of pump house & installation of Motor & Pump	27.95
16.	Construction of Treatment Plant	85.92
17.	Clear water gravity main	21.34
18.	Distribution Main	7.32
19.	Construction of RCC main zonal reservoir, zonal reservoir & sub-zonal reservoir	25.33
20.	Distribution System	20.71
21.	Construction of Chemical House cum Operator Quarter	18.01
	Sub-Total	380.76
22.	Add 2% contingency charges	7.62
	Total	388.38

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The State Government should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.

- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.25: Installation of 1x15 MVA transformer and extension bay at 66/11 KV substation at Mamring, South Sikkim.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.669.79 lakh from the priority list submitted by the Government of Sikkim for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 65 Meeting held on 16 February 2009. Ministry of Power (CEA) vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.605.84 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Particulars	Qty. / Unit		(Rs. in lakh)	
				Rate	Amount
1	66/11 KV 15 MVA Power Transformer	1	Set	160.00	160.00
2	66 KV SF6 Circuit Breaker	2	Sets	12.90	25.80
3	66 KV Current Transformer	6	Nos	1.52	9.10
4(a)	66 KV Isolator with earth switch	2	Set	2.22	4.44
(b)	66 KV Isolator with out earth switch	2	Sets	1.72	3.44
5	66 KV Lighting Arrestor	3	Nos.	0.48	1.44
6(a)	66 KV Control & Relay Panels for transformer 6.64	1	Set	7.26	7.26
(b)	66 KV Control & Relay Panels for controlling lines	1	Set	6.64	6.64
7	110 Volt DC Battery set with Rectifier and Battery charger	1	Set	6.84	6.84
8	L.T. Distribution Panel Board	1	Set	3.22	3.22
9	Bus-bar equipments i/c connector, clamp etc.	1	Job	6.66	6.66
10	Control Cables	1	Job	13.40	13.40
11	11 KV VCB Switchgear for outgoing, bus-coupler & incomer (7 in one)-1 set.	1	Set	45.50	45.50
12	11 KV, 3 core XLPE Power Cable	1	Job	46.50	46.50
13	Cable Termination Kits, lugs & glands.	1	Job	5.30	5.30
14	G.I.Switchyard structure for gantry & equipments	1	Job	19.65	19.65
15	Installation of 6 Pole, Overhead 11 KV switchyard structure	1	Job	5.00	5.00
16	Fire fighting equipment & extinguishers	1	Job	1.17	1.17
17	Sub-station earthing, chequere plate & mat.	1	Job	5.76	5.76
18	Drawing of 11 KV S/C transmission line on DOG conductor for 5 nos. outgoing feeder (5km length)	1	Job	31.00	31.00

Sl. No.	Particulars	Qty. / Unit	Rate	Amount
19	Sub-total			408.12
20	Ins. @1%, VAT @12.5%, Transportation CESS@1%	@10%,	On item no.19	40.81
21	Erection, Testing & Commissioning	@15%	On item no.19	61.22
22	Civil works		On item no.20	40.81
23	Extension of control room building i/c wiring & switchyard lighting			45.00
24	Sub-total			187.84
	Total			595.96
25	Contingency			9.88
	Grand - Total			605.84

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (v) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.26: Complete Electrification of Lord Buddha Statue including garden alongwith conversion of existing overhead L.T.distribution lines into underground cable system with modernization and refurbishment of existing electrical network at Rabong Bazar in South Sikkim.

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.5.07 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Sikkim for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 60 Meeting held on 18 July 2008. Ministry of Power (CEA) vetted the DPR and recommended funding of the project.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.400.52 lakh detailed as under:

Sl.No.	Item / Components	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	External Electrification and compound illumination	235.09
2	Internal Electrification	16.31
3	Distribution system and earthing works	4.75
4	Light fitting and accessories	136.52
	Sub-Total	392.67

Sl.No.	Item / Components	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
5.	Contingency@2%	7.85
	Total	400.52

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (v) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.27: Development of 100 seated Boys Hostel and Quarters for Faculty and Staff at Government Degree College, Dharamanagar, North Tripura

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.4.99 crore from the Priority List 2007-08 of Tripura in the 49th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 16.07.2007.

The Committee also noted that the Department of Higher Education (D/o HE) conveyed their no objection for funding the project under NLCPR and the Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) vetted the estimate at Rs.389.23 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.385.45 lac, after restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lacs)
	Works (Construction of 100 seated Boys Hostel)	
1.	Civil Work	371.41
2.	Development of site	6.48
	Sub-Total	377.89
3.	Contingency @ 2%	7.56
	Grand Total	385.45

Conditions:

- a) The Administrative & Financial Approval of the project may be issue after the Architectural Plan, its layout, detailing and structural requirements is vetted by the Superintending Engineer being appointed in the Technical Wing of Ministry of DoNER.
- b) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual

contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.

- c) The State PWD should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- d) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- e) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- f) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate at least from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.28: Upgradation of Tripura Sports School, Badharghat in Tripura

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.4.99 crore from the Priority List 2007-08 of Tripura in the 49th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 16.07.2007.

The Committee also observed that the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports (M/o YAS) recommended the project for sanction and the Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) vetted the estimate at Rs.313.79 lac.

The Committee was of the view that the Cost Index @ 70% proposed in the project over TSR 2002 is on higher side and may be limited to 52.50% @ 7.5% per year.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.279.31 lac, after limiting Cost Index and contingencies to 52.50% and 2%, respectively, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lacs)
1.	Building Cost	158.46
2.	Internal Roads	4.17
3.	Boundary Wall	13.49
	Total	176.12
4.	Add cost index @ 52.50%	92.46
5.	Add for external water supply arrangement (LS)	1.25
6.	Add for external electrification (LS)	4.00
	Total	273.83
	Add contingencies @ 2%	5.48
	Grand Total	279.31

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.

- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.29: Development of Tourism Infrastructure at Bagori Entry Point of Kaziranga National Park in Assam

The Committee noted that through OM No. 3-NE(10)/2009 dated 08.01.2010, the Ministry of Tourism have informed that they exhausted their mandatory 10% allocation to the North Eastern States and have exceeded the target.

2. The Committee further noted that the project was techno – economically vetted by the Ministry of Urban Development (M/o UD) with estimated cost of Rs.332.79 lacs.

3. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction as per technical & financial recommendation of M/o UD with a cost of Rs.329.57 lacs, restricting contingency charges to 2% of the project cost (Rs. 6.46 lacs) as under:-

Sl. No.	Item	Amount (Rs. in lacs.)
i.	Estimate for the construction of main building	172.39
ii.	Estimate for the construction of Staff Quarter Block	55.26
iii.	Estimate for the construction of boundary walls	6.49
iv.	Add 20% increase in cost index (As per Assam PWD Building Office Order SMC/40/2007/20-A dated 31 st July, 2008)	46.83
v.	Add 15% premium for Karbi Anglong District as per provisions of the schedule for 2004-05	42.14
	Total	323.11
vi.	Add 2% contingencies	6.46
	Grand Total	329.57

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The Ministry of UD have not commented on the proposed architectural plan, so its layout detailing the structural requirement may be taken care of by the State Government as per the prevalent building bye – laws etc.
- ii. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicles.
- iii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the

- works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iv. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
 - v. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
 - vi. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
 - vii. A non- duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department.

Item No.30: Re-consideration of 2009-10 Priority Lists of the NE States

Due to paucity of time Committee deferred the Agenda Item No.30 to 30 (h) for the next meeting to be scheduled tentatively on 28.01.2010 at 03:00 PM.

Item No.31: Improvement of Tawang Township road network in Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.5.00 crore for **Cross Drainage works only** from the Priority List 2008-09 of Arunachal Pradesh in the 62nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.499.39 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.489.64 lac, after deducting Labour Cess and restricting contingencies to 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
	Cross Drainage & Drainage	
i.	RCC Slab Culvert 1.50m span – 60 Nos.	339.30
ii.	RCC Slab Culvert 2.00m span – 2 Nos.	11.93
iii.	RCC Slab Culvert 3.00m span – 1 Nos.	9.01
iv.	CC Drain – 8.50 Km	119.80
	Sub-Total	480.04
	Add for Contingencies @ 2%	9.60
	Grand Total	489.64

Conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Arunachal Pradesh should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.

- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The State PWD should ensure that the overall width of the culverts is equal to the formation width of the road and the discharge point of the Side Drain is into natural stream/drainage system.
- f) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of certificate at least from the State Secretary (Planning) that the proposed water supply scheme has not been sanctioned/taken up/proposed under any programme of Central/State Government or any other Agency.

Item No.32: Proposal for providing of drinking water supply to Jang Township and its peripheral rural villages in Arunachal Pradesh for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.00 crore from the Priority List 2008-09 of Arunachal Pradesh in the 62nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 22.10.2008. The Department of Drinking Water Supply (D/o DWS) vetted the estimate at Rs.633.26 lac. The Committee also noted that the per capita cost of the project is very high.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the proposed project site may be got inspected from the Superintending Engineer being appointed in the Technical Wing of the Ministry for assessment of the works proposed. The proposal may be resubmitted after the inspection of Superintending Engineer being appointed in the Ministry along with its report.

Item No.33: Re-revised estimate for the Project, Upgradation of Halahali – Ambassa – Dangabari – Thalchera – Bagfa – Belonia Road (173 km) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project was approved for upgradation of 173 km. road at Rs.139.02 crore (in Seventh NLCPR Committee meeting) by Planning Commission, on 07.08.2001, who were administering the NLCPR at that time. The project is being implemented by the Border Roads Organisation (BRO).

The revised estimate of Rs.166.96 crore submitted by the State Government on 01.05.2007 was sanctioned by NLCPR Committee in its 50th meeting held on 27.07.2007 and recommended for sanction at Rs.156.03 crore by deducting 3% provision of Security Cover (Rs.505.17 lac), Restoration of Flood Damages (Rs.309.32 lac) and Maintenance Grant (Rs.212.34 lac). The date of completion of project as per revised estimate was March 2009.

So far, BRO has completed all the road stretches except eight bridges and six culverts. Excluding one, all other bridges are under construction.

Now, State Government submitted re-revised estimate at Rs.195.19 crore of the project. The BRO informed following reasons for the increase in cost:

- (a) The road is located in the interiors and work was affected initially due to insurgency. There has been an instance of loss of 16 security personnel of the BRO in 2004. This has led to restricted working hours, lowering of productive output versus that considered as per norms in SSRs and hence

the same are not workable.

- (b) Escalation in cost of constructional material like steel, cement, bricks, OBJBM, bitumen and stone aggregates, etc.
- (c) Limited working period due to high rainfall.
- (d) Steep hike in pay and allowances due to 6th CPC and arrears of 6th CPC.
- (e) Increase in cost of labour.
- (f) Delay in finalization of design based on soil data.
- (g) Delay also caused following frequent railway disruption at Lumding and blockages of NH 44 during monsoon resulting transportation of construction materials could not be moved effectively.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended that such a huge revision in cost over a short span of time is not justified; therefore, the matter may be taken up with the Ministry of Defence.

Item No.34: General Observations

The Committee recommended that the Quality Control is an integral part of the estimate hence, no separate funds for Quality Control may be sanctioned in the project.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.
