

Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 78th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1100 Hrs on 12.03.2010 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243-A, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

1. Ms. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER.
3. Shri Naveen Verma, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
4. Mrs. Vandita Kaul, Director (PF-I), Ministry of Finance
5. Shri K. Guite, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER
6. Shri Sarvan Kumar, Consultant (SP-NE), Planning Commission

Mrs. Firoza Mehrotra, Special Consultant (SP-NE), Planning Commission, Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), Department of Expenditure and Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER granted leave of absence.

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri B.B. Samaddar (Deputy Secretary), Shri Ajay Kumar (Section Officer) and Shri S.K. Saha (Section Officer), Ministry of DoNER.

The Committee met and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made:

Item No.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 77th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 28.01.2010

Minutes of the 77th Meeting were confirmed.

Item No.2: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 77th Meeting held on 28.01.2010

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 77th Meeting have been issued on 08.02.2010 and action has been taken by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting.

Item No.3: (i) Infrastructure Development of the School (C/o JNK School building) and (ii) Infrastructure Development of the School (C/o of Hostel Building of JNK school) run by Arunachal Welfare and Education Society located at Itanagar under NLCPR.

The committee noted that these projects were sanctioned at a cost of Rs.157.45 lakh and Rs.310.01 lakh respectively, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 48 Meeting held on 31 May 2007 and on having a deed of agreement between Arunachal Welfare Education Society and Secretary, Education, Government of Arunachal Pradesh. The first installment for these projects amounting to Rs.97.65 lakh and Rs.46.59 lakh respectively was released on 26 December 2007. The committee also noted the contents of the representation made by Arunachal Pradesh for Anti Corruption, Rupa, West Kameng District and of information regarding implementation of the project department by State PWD without tendering.

2. After deliberations, the committee recommended that the legal status of Arunachal Welfare and Education Society located at Itanagar may be obtained from the State Government alongwith comments on representation of the Youth Association. It may also be ascertain from the State Government and Ministry of Human Resources Development, whether the Welfare Association and Education Society is black listed for any financial assistance. The outstanding balance of admissible grants for the project may be released on the receipt of satisfactory reply along with usual required documents like revised Utilization Certificate components for which funds released, latest Quarterly Progress Report, inspection note, work plan for remaining works and photographs of completed works.

Item No.4: Project for "Deithor Minor Irrigation cum Community Development Project" in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR for the Project has been vetted by the Ministry of Water Resources at estimated cost of Rs.450.00 lacs, however, the M/o Urban Development (M/o UD) have asked for certain clarifications. After deliberations, the Committee decided that Part 'A' i.e. Management Plan of the Project may be sanctioned with approved cost of Rs. 222.20 lacs (including 2% Contingency etc. amounting to Rs. 4.36 lacs). For remaining cost of the Project viz. Part 'B', the Committee decided that the same may be put up for sanction after obtaining clearance on compliance of M/o UD's comments.

The approved cost is as under:

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Estimated cost (Rs. in lacs)
A	Management Plan	
1.	RCC Small Water Harvesting Structure	53.83
2.	Brick cum CC Water Distribution Channel	25.20
3.	Construction of Aqueduct	8.70
4.	RCC Drop Structure	20.34
5.	Farm Pond / Fishery Scheme	17.03
6.	Tea Cultivation	61.60
7.	Boulder Pitching	31.14
	Total	217.84

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Estimated cost (Rs. in lacs)
8.	Contingency including Workshop and Training etc. (2%)	4.36
	Grand Total	222.20

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR

Item No.5: Project "Mangaldoi Water Supply Scheme" in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Ministry of Urban Development (M/o UD) who have recommended the same for funding under NLCPR at a cost of Rs. 1273.41 lacs.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 1261.05 lacs inclusive of 2% contingency i.e. Rs. 24.73 lacs, detailed as under subject to the compliance of the technical comments of M/o UD (**Annex - I**) by the State Government:

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
A	1. Source development by installation of 4 (four) nos. deep tube well of size 200X150 mm dia of average depth 100m	21.12
B	1. Supply, installing, testing and commissioning of 5 nos. (including 1 standby) 10 HP submersible pumps 2. Supplying, installation, testing and commissioning of 1 no. 20 HP & 1 no 15HP electric driven centrifugal pump sets.	11.31
C	1. Supplying and laying of 100mm dia and 150mm dia DI (Class-K9) raw water pumping main of 7090 mtrs of with all specials valves etc. 2. Supplying and laying of 150mm dia - DI (Class-K9) clear water pumping main of 4050mtr length with all specials valves etc. complete with all materials and labour	182.74
D	1. Construction of complete treatment plant (non conventional) of capacity 3.07 MLD with Mechanical arrangements having arrangement for aeration, coagulation, sedimentation, filtration (dual	107.38

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
	media filter) and disinfection including waste water disposal system including supply of filter media complete with all material and labour.	
	2. Construction of 4 nos. RCC raw water pump house of 10 sqm. area for each unit.	
	3. Construction of 2 nos. RCC clear water pump house of area 10 sq.m.	
	4. Construction of 1 no. 2 storied RCC chemical house of plinth area 150 sq. m.	
	5. Construction of 1(one) no. RCC underground clear water sump of capacity 150 cu.m.	
E	1. Construction of 2 nos. RCC elevated reservoir of total capacity 625Cu.m & 450Cum with staging height of 18 m.	163.10
F	1. Supplying and laying of distribution Pipe Network of approximately 20.754 Km long of UPVC pipe (1.0 Mpa) for dia of pipe upto 160mm, and DI pipe (class K-7) for dia of pipe above 100 mm including the valves and specials etc. to cover the entire project area complete with all materials and labour for Zone-I 2. Supplying and laying of distribution Pipe Network of approximately 18.798 Km long of UPVC pipe (1.0 Mpa) for dia of pipe up to 160mm, and DI pipe (class - K7) above 100 mm including the valves and specials etc. to cover the entire project area complete with all materials and labour for Zone-II.	559.98
G	1. Approach roads to the deep tube well and treatment plant sites. 2. Restoration cost payable to state PWD, Railway authority and local bodies for cutting and crossing of roads while laying the pipe line	62.23
H	1. Supply and installation of laboratory equipment	1.34
I	1. Cost of drawing electric power line including transformers etc. to the raw water pump houses, treatment plants etc. complete in all respect DTW. 2. Cost of internal electrification and lighting arrangement in the pump house, treatment plants etc. including compound lighting.	27.60
J	1. Land development of the treatment plant and deep tube well sites for Zone-I & Zone-II. 2. Security fencing with gates in the deep tube well and treatment plant sites. 3. Construction of two storied staff quarters of total plinth area 100 sq.m. 4. Fabrication, Supply & Fitting of a Captive Power Generator 5. Construction of Store Yard at T. P. site. 6. Telephone connection + computer system	99.52
	Sub Total	1236.32
K	Add provision for contingencies @ 2%	24.73
	Total	1261.05

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and

- accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
 - iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR
 - iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process
 - v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR
 - vi. The State Government should ensure that the implementing agency follows the technical observations / comment of the M/o UD (CPHEEO) – **Annex -I**.

Item No.6: Project "Margherita Piped Water Supply Scheme in Tinsukia District, Assam."

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Ministry of Urban Development (M/o UD) who have recommended the same for funding under NLCPR at a cost of Rs. 942.81 lacs.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 933.66 lacs inclusive of 2% contingency i.e. Rs. 18.31 lacs, detailed as under subject to the compliance of the technical comments of M/o UD (**Annex – II**) by the State Government:

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
A	Fabrication and Installation in position of MS floating Barge	39.23
	Protection of River bank from erosion in the position of placing barge by sparse with man size boulder	
B	Supply and Installing, Testing and Commissioning of 3 No. Centrifugal Raw Water Pumps of 60 HP complete with Electrical Panel etc. including 1.5 HP Vaccum Pump	8.92
	Supplying, Installation, Testing and Commissioning of Clear Water Pump Sets 10 & 15 HP complete with electric panel etc	
C	Supplying and Laying of 300 mm diameter DI K-9) Pipe 1582 M long Raw Water Pumping Main including Valves and Specials	91.30
	Supplying and Laying of 150 mm dia, 107 m long and 250 mm dia 1582 m long DI (K-9) clear water pumping main including all specials and valves	
D	Construction of complete Treatment Plan (Non conventional) of 3.30 MLD capacity including Mechanical arrangements having arrangement of Aerator, Flash Mixer, Clariflocullator, Rapid Gravity Filter and Disinfection including mechanical works, sludge and waste water disposal system complete with all required material and labour	163.82
	Construction of one number of RCC clear water Pump House of 35 sq. m area	

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
	Construction of 2 (two) storied RCC chemical house of plinth area 150 sq m	
	Construction of 1 (one) RCC underground clear water sump of capacity 250 CUM	
E	Construction of 2 (two) number of RCC elevated service reservoir of the following capacity with staging height of 18 m and arrangement for inlet and outlet pipe, lighting arrestor, ventilation etc. including pile foundation complete with all materials and labour (Zone I- 550 Cum)	55.87
F	Supplying and Laying of 32.08 Kms. of Distribution Pipe Network of DI (K-7) / UPVC Pipes of diameter 100 mm and above	355.88
G	Approach Road to the Barge Site and Treatment Plant Sites	96.53
	Restoration Cost payable to State PWD, Railway Authority and Local Bodies for cutting and crossing of roads while laying the pipelines	
H	Supply and Installation of Laboratory Equipments	1.93
I	Cost of drawing electric power line including transformer etc to the Barge Site and Treatment Plant etc. complete in all respect	29.02
	Cost of Internal Electrification and Lighting arrangement in the Barge Site, Treatment Plants etc. including compound lighting	
J	Cost of acquisition of land for Treatment Plant and ESR Site	
	Land Development of the Treatment Plant Sites	70.63
	Boundary Wall / Security Fencing with gates around the Treatment Plant and ESR sites etc.	
	Store Yard 40 sq. m	
	Supply and Installation of captive generator set one (100 KVA) at each Treatment Plant and one (86 KVA) at Barge site complete in all respect	
	Construction of 1 (one) storied RCC staff quarters of total plinth area 100 sq. m for accommodation of the water operators and chowkidars etc of the water works complete with all materials and labour	
K	Telephone and Computer System	2.22
	Sub Total	915.35
L	Contingency @ 2%	18.31
	Total	933.66

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process

- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR
- vi. The State Government should ensure that the implementing agency follows the technical observations / comment of the M/o UD (CPHEEO) – **Annex - II.**

Item No.7: Project "Upgradation of Nagaon-Bhuragaon Road via Dhing (SH-10) under Nagaon State Road Division (Nagaon District)" in Assam.

The Committee noted that the on-site inspection for the Project along with the Officers of the Government of Assam was carried out on 18.02.2010. The Committee also noted that the drainage portion is essential requirement for the project. However, after detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project with approved cost of Rs. 1151.91 lacs for 'Construction of Roads' and 'Shifting of Power Line.' The Committee, however, decided that the estimates for 'Drainage Portion' may be got vetted by the Technical Wing (SE), Ministry of DoNER and may be put up for consideration of the Committee in subsequent meeting.

The approved cost of the project is as under:

Sl. No.	Item	Approved Cost (Rs. in lacs)
A	Construction of Road	
1	Clearing and Groubbing of road land	4.53
2	Earth work in core of embankment for widening of existing formation	145.58
3	Earthwork in Subgrade & Shoulder	147.72
4	Scarifying existing Bituminous Surface	2.59
5	Earthwork in excavation for widening existing Hard Crust	9.41
6	Construction of Grannular Sub-base work	113.52
7	Construction of W.B.M. work with Gr.II metal	247.68
8	Construction of W.B.M. work with Gr.III metal	155.69
9	Providing & spraying bituminous prime coat	24.77
10	Providing & spraying bituminous tack coat	10.77
11	Providing 25mm thick semi dense Bituminous Carpetting Work	187.41
12	Providing & laying brick on edge in shoulder	5.52
13	Reconstruction of Hume Pipe Culvert	10.77
14	Construction of RCC Slab culvert on link roads	2.95
15	Construction of Median at Dhing Town fro Ch.23200.00M to Ch. 25300.00M	23.40
16	Construction of boulder retaining wall near approach of Br. No. 24/1	7.03
17	Construction of Road side covered pucca drain	0.00
18	Providing Road Furnitures etc	4.58
	Sub Total	1103.92
	Add 1% Contingency	11.04
	Total (A)	1114.96
B	Shifting of Power Line	
1	Providing Street Light Pole with lamps at Dhing & Mairabari Town	16.95
2	Add L.S amount for Shifting of ASEB Power Line Transformer etc. in Dhing & Mairabari	6.00

Sl. No.	Item	Approved Cost (Rs. in lacs)
3	Add for Installation of 2 Nos. of New Transformer	6.00
4	Add for installation of New Power Cable Line in light post proposed at median and road side	8.00
	Total (B)	36.95
	Grand Total (A+B)	1151.91

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR

Item No.8: Project 'Construction of RCC Bridge No. 2/4, 8/1 and 10/1 on Borbora Ali, 1/1, 9/1, 22/1 on Jamuguri Sanghati Road and 5/1,8/4 and 9/4 on Ghiladhar Ali in Goladhat Rural Road Division'

The Committee noted that the Project mentioned above was recommended for retention in its 60th Meeting held on 18.07.2008 with estimated cost of Rs. 8.02 crore.

The Committee further noted that the State Government have sent the DPR of the Project at estimated cost of Rs.5.32 crore with the information that Bridge No. 4/2 (instead of 2/4 originally retained) on Borbora Ali, Bridge No. 1/1, 9/1 and 22/1 on Jamuguri – Sanghati Road have been taken up under PMGSY for the year 2007 – 08 and 2008 – 09 as informed by the State PWD in June 2009.

After detailed deliberations, the Committee decided as follows:

- (i) The State Government may be requested to furnish their comments why the remaining bridges may not be taken up under PMGSY?
- (ii) The Ministry of Rural Development (M/o RD) may also be requested to send their comments whether they can fund the remaining bridges under PMGSY or not.

The Committee also decided to put up the project after receiving the comments from the State Government / M/o RD.

Item No.9: Construction of road from Rongjeng – Managsang – Adorgre road including metalling and blacktopping (33rd to 38th Km) with bridges (5.16 Km) in Meghalaya

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.3.50 crore from the Priority List 2005-06 of Meghalaya in the 34th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 18.07.2005. The Department of Road Transport & Highways (DoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.443.82 lac.

The Committee also noted that Rongjeng – Managsang – Adorgre road is considered to be the lifeline of the habitants in and around the surrounding areas covering important villages like Adokgri, Memillam, Modipara, Rema etc. The existing road is just a footpath utilized by the villagers for obtaining basic essential commodities, education, medical aids etc. from the nearest market places at Adokgri and Dupdhara (Assam) and also for selling the agricultural and horticultural products. Being the only form of communication the villagers solely depend on this road. By taking up this project it would benefit the habitants of this area to improve and reduce the suffering and bring in a new era of economic prosperity and enhance standard of living for people living in this area in particular and the state in general.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.439.24 lac, after deducting inadmissible items and restricting the contingencies to 2% with the following components and conditions. However, in future, the road projects without proper explanation of the connectivity they are going to provide may not be considered.

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Jungle Clearance	1.58
2.	Earthwork in excavation	45.83
3.	Earthwork in filling and rolling upto OMC and carriage	16.61
4.	Construction of unlined surface drain (4310m)	4.57
5.	Construction of S.O. H/P Culvert (35 Nos)	72.61
6.	Construction of D.O. H/P Culvert (7 Nos)	33.35
7.	Construction of 12.00m Span Slab Bridge (1 No)	46.24
8.	Construction of Retaining Wall (125m)	27.92
9.	Metalling and Blacktopping	178.06
11.	Traffic signs and appurtenances	3.86
	Sub-Total	430.63
12.	Add 2% contingencies	8.61
	Total	439.24

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Meghalaya should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.

- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.

Item No.10: Construction of DC line from Rongkhon to Ampati along with 2x20 MVA, 132/33 KV sub-station at Ampati in Meghalaya

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.2327.00 lac from the Priority List 2007-08 of Meghalaya in the 52nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 24.10.2007. The Central Electricity Authority (CEA) vetted the estimate at Rs.3331.97 lac. The Committee also noted that the increase in project cost more than 20% of the retained cost is due to increase in scope suggested by CEA.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.3079.33 lac, after deducting inadmissible items and restricting the contingencies to 2% with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Construction of 132 KV line from Rongkhon Substation to Ampati- 40 Km	1503.39
2.	Construction of 132/33 KV, 2 x 25 MVA Substation at Ampati	1376.86
3.	Construction of 132 KV Line Bay at Rongkhon Substation	138.70
	Sub-Total	3018.95
4.	2% Contingencies	60.38
	Total	3079.33

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The Me.S.E.B., Government of Meghalaya should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of non duplication certificate from the State Government at least from the level of Secretary, Planning.

Item No.11: Widening and improvement of road from Khongman Mangjil to Kongman Okram Chuthek in Imphal East, Manipur

The Committee noted that the project was retained at a cost of Rs.12.41 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Manipur for 2008-09, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 61st Meeting held on 02.03.2009. Union Ministry of Road Transport & Highways recommended the proposal for sanction.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the project may be got inspected by the Superintendent Engineer, Technical Wing, Ministry of DoNER, in view of the fact that the project proposal is for widening and improvement of road, whereas the main component is protection work (retaining wall) of moat at more than 80% of the project cost. The inspection report be placed before the Committee along with revised proposal, if comes, as a consequence.

Item No.12: Consideration of the project, Waithoupat Water Supply Scheme in Manipur for release of funds under Removal of Phumdi.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs.5970.74 lac on recommendation of NLCPR committee in its 32nd meeting held on 28.02.2005 and subsequently in 46th meeting held on 12.01.2007 at a cost of Rs.5970.72 lac including a new component, 'Removal of Phumdi' at a cost of Rs.186.96 lac making adjustment funds approved earlier for contingency and earthen embankment. Out of Rs.186.96 lac an amount of Rs.70.00 lac was released.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that no further release of funds for removal of phumdi would be made in advance. The balance amount for removal of phumdis may be reimbursed on submission of documents of actual expenditure incurred for removal of phumdis, indicating quantities and photographs specially of area cleared of phumdi etc. being one time activity. The remaining balance funds for the project may be released as usual. The issue of removal of phumdis should be reflected in the Completion Certificate of the project.

Item No.13: Project – "Improvement of Charigaon Road under Jorhat Road Division" in Assam

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Department of Road Transport & Highways (D/o RT&H) who have recommended the same for funding under NLCPR at a cost of Rs.5.57 crore.

The Committee also took note of the comments of the IFD regarding the amount for 'Difference of Cost of Bitumen', amounting to Rs. 39.59 lacs. The Committee decided that the same may be allowed as it is.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 556.98 lacs inclusive of LS Contingency of Rs.1.91 lacs, detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
1.	Site Clearance	1.10
2.	Earthwork	29.78
3.	Sub Base and Bases (Non – Bituminous)	123.25
4.	Bituminous Work	169.03
5.	Construction of Culvert and Drain	165.13
6.	Miscellaneous Work	23.19
	Sub Total	511.48
7.	Difference of Cost of Bitumen	39.59
8.	Contingency (L/S)	1.91
9.	Shifting of Electric Line / Water Pipe Line etc. (LS)	4.00
	Total	556.98

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicles.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.14: Project – “Improvement of Road Network leading towards Dibru – Chaikhowa National Park under Dibrugarh Rural Road Division” in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR for the project has been vetted by Department of Road Transport & Highways (D/o RT&H) who have recommended the same for funding under NLCPR at a cost of Rs.7.47 crore.

The Committee also took note of the comments of the IFD regarding type of road on which two lane bridge has been proposed. In view of the comments of the D/o RT&H and Certificate / Statement provided by the Chief Engineer, PWD (Roads), Government of Assam wherein he had mentioned that “the Road Dangachuk on which the RCC Bridge No. 3/1 is proposed is linking NH-37 to DRT Road which is a major district road, therefore, accessing future growth of traffic intensity, RCC Bridge of overall 8.45 m width and carriageway of 7.5 m width has been proposed”, the Committee, after detailed deliberations, recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs.747.42 lacs inclusive of 2% Contingency charges, detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
A	Dangchuk Road	
I	Road Works	
1.	Site Clearance	0.25
2.	Earthwork	6.23
3.	Subgrade & Earthen Shoulder	6.91
4.	Sub-Base (Non Bituminous)	59.02
5.	Bases and Surface Course (Bituminous)	25.56
6.	Traffic Signs, Marking and Other Appurtenances	0.47
	Sub Total (AI)	98.44
II	Cross Drainage	
7.	NP3 Culvert	3.12
8.	RCC Bridge with Bridge Approach & Subway	91.78
	Sub Total (AII)	94.90
	Total	193.34
III	Contingency (2%)	3.86
	Grand Total (A)	197.20
B	Dinjan Pukhurijan Road	
I	Road Works	
1.	Site Clearance	0.34
2.	Earthwork	8.78
3.	Subgrade & Earthen Shoulder	30.31
4.	Sub-Base (Non Bituminous)	81.08
5.	Bases and Surface Course (Bituminous)	32.60
6.	Traffic Signs, Marking and Other Appurtenances	0.23
	Sub Total (AI)	153.34
II	Cross Drainage	
7.	NP3 Culvert	3.12
	Sub Total (AII)	3.12
	Total	156.46
III	Contingency (2%)	3.12
	Grand Total (B)	159.58
C	D.R.T Road	
I	Road Works	
1.	Site Clearance	0.27
2.	Earthwork	5.79
3.	Subgrade & Earthen Shoulder	23.63
4.	Sub-Base (Non Bituminous)	64.42
5.	Bases and Surface Course (Bituminous)	25.54
6.	Traffic Signs, Marking and Other Appurtenances	0.11
	Sub Total (AI)	119.76
II	Cross Drainage	
7.	NP3 Culvert	3.12
8.	Box Culvert	5.64
	Sub Total (AII)	8.76
	Total	128.52
III	Contingency (2%)	2.57
	Grand Total (C)	131.09
D	DSDM Road	
I	Road Works	

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
1.	Site Clearance	0.04
2.	Earthwork	2.07
3.	Subgrade & Earthen Shoulder	9.62
4.	Side Drain	2.36
5.	Sub-Base (Non Bituminous)	55.36
6.	Bases and Surface Course (Bituminous)	33.00
7.	Traffic Signs, Marking and Other Appurtenances	0.43
	Sub Total (AI)	102.88
II	Cross Drainage	
8.	Box Culvert	7.39
	Sub Total (AII)	7.39
	Total	110.27
III	Contingency (2%)	2.21
	Grand Total (D)	112.48
E	North Balijan Road	
I	Road Works	
1.	Site Clearance	0.35
2.	Earthwork	11.70
3.	Subgrade & Earthen Shoulder	10.49
4.	Side Drain	1.65
5.	Sub-Base (Non Bituminous)	83.29
6.	Bases and Surface Course (Bituminous)	33.26
7.	Traffic Signs, Marking and Other Appurtenances	0.33
	Sub Total (AI)	141.07
II	Cross Drainage	
8.	NP3 Culvert	3.12
	Sub Total (AII)	3.12
	Total	144.19
III	Contingency (2%)	2.88
	Grand Total (E)	147.07
	Grand Total (A to E)	747.42

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- i. The Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingency expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicles.
- ii. The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to Implementing Agency.
- iii. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- iv. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- v. The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules / conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.15: Providing potable drinking water supply to Mebo Sub-Divisional HQ and adjoining villages, East Siang District in Arunachal Pradesh

The committed noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.22.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2009-10, on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 71 Meeting held on 18 August 2009. Ministry of Rural Development, Department of Drinking Water Supply recommended the project for sanction.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended that the project may be sanctioned at a cost of Rs.2053.39 lakh detailed as under:

Sl. No.	Name of work	Qty.	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
1	Procurement of GI / DI Pipes including Fittings, laying and transportation	1	1332.06
2	C/o Head Work	1	80.29
3	C/o Intake Tank	1	5.26
4	C/o Pre sedimentation Tank	1	16.69
5	Construction of Sedimentation Tank	1	16.68
6	Construction of Fountain Type Aerator	1	9.81
7	Construction of Slow Sand Filtration Plant	1	92.27
8	Construction of Clear Water Reservoir	1	28.99
9	Construction of Boundary Wall	1	10.00
10	C/o RCC Thrust Block for 200 mm dia. D.I.Pipe	1	14.61
11	C/o RCC Thrust Block for 150 mm dia. D.I.Pipe	1	3.50
12	C/o RCC Pillar for 200 mm dia Pipe	1	8.75
13	C/o RCC Pillar for 150 mm dia Pipe	1	4.45
14	C/o RCC Pillar for 100 mm dia Pipe	1	4.30
15	C/o RCC Pillar for 80 mm dia Pipe	1	2.20
16	C/o RCC Pillar for 65 mm dia Pipe	1	0.80
17	C/o Pre-fabricated suspension bridge. Between Head work and WTP to carry 200 mm dia. D.I. Pipe of span 190 m.	1	21.17
18	C/o Pre-fabricated suspension bridge between Bogong Chariali Ngupok village to cross 150 mm dia. Pipe of span 150 m	1	16.37
19	C/o Pre- fabricated suspension bride B/n Bogong Chariali & Ralung Vill to cross 100 mm dia GI Pipe of span 100 m	1	9.99
20	C/o Pre-fabricated suspension bridge b/n Bogong Chariali and Ayeng Vill to cross 80 mm dia GI pipe of span 100 m	2	17.39
21	Constn. of RCC Over Head distribution Tanks		
	i) Capacity = 15,000.00 Litres	3	10.26
	ii) Capacity = 25,000.00 Litres	2	9.20
	iii) Capacity = 35,000.00 Litres	1	5.18
	iv) Capacity = 50,000.00 Litres	2	16.86
	v) Capacity = 60,000.00 Litres	2	17.94
22	Constn. of Approach Road from Head work to W.T.P. i/c solling	1	9.00
23	C/o PSP of size 1.5mx1.5m (APSR'2008)	200	7.72
24	C/o RCC Entrance Gate of W.T.P.	1	0.20

Sl. No.	Name of work	Qty.	Amount (Rs. in lakh)
25	Extension of 33 KV line i/c distribution transformer substation and LT line from Road point to WTP Compound	1	25.02
26	Soling for Laying of 200-150 mm dia Main Pipe Line between Headwork and W.T.P.	1	216.17
	Sub-total		2013.13
27	Contingencies @ 2%		40.26
	Total		2053.39

3. The Committee, however, laid down the following conditions:

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) The State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process.
- (v) Any project which could not be completed within target date (as given in DPR by State Government) plus 6 months, it will be closed for funding by M/o DoNER. The State Government will be responsible for completion of the balance work in the project from its own resources.
- (vi) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the terms/conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- (vii) A non-duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department before issue of sanction.

Item No.16: Construction of RCC Bridge over Laxmicherra at Ch.12.01 Km. on Khowai-Udna road (ODR) in Tripura

The Committee noted that the project is a part of 3 projects of replacement of 25 existing SPT bridges by RCC bridges retained from priority list 2004-05 of the Government of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs.60.05 crore. The project was retained in the 29th Meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 02.06.2004. Out of 25 bridges, 19 bridges have already been sanctioned so far. The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MoRTH) vetted the estimate at Rs.222.95 lac.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs.215.69 lac, excluding WC Establishment & Quality Control and restricting the contingency charges @ 2%, with the following components and conditions:

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Cost of construction of Super Structure (Single Span)- 33.5 Mtr.	

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
	RCC T Beam girder bridge having 6.40m overall width (5.50m carriageway)	41.49
2.	Cost of construction of Sub Structure	
	Abutment and Pier, placed over circular shaped wells having reinforcement as per design	123.19
3.	Cost of construction of Approach Road: 441.453 mtr.	
	A crust composition of 356 mm thick i.e., 100 mm WBM (Gr-I), 75 mm WBM (Gr-II) and 2x75 mm WBM (Gr-III) followed by PC & SC.	46.78
	Sub-Total	211.46
6.	Add for Contingencies @2%	4.23
	Total	215.69

The Committee observed that the sanction will be subject to the following conditions:

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.
- b) The PWD, Government of Tripura should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
