

Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 93rd Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1100 Hrs on 13.04.2011 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

1. Mrs. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
3. Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance
4. Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER
5. Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
6. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Mrs. Vandita Kaul, Director (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance, Shri J. N. P. Singh, Director, MHA, Dr. Uday Shanker, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER, Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri K. Guite, Director, Shri Bimal Kumar (Under secretary) of Ministry of DoNER.

In the first half the representatives of Government of Mizoram, Government of Sikkim and Tripura made the presentations on Priority List 2011-12 of their States. The list of States representatives is at Annexure. In the 2nd half Committee met again and deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made by the Committee:

Item No.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 92nd Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 03.03.2011

The minutes of 92nd meeting and modifications issued vide corrigendum dated 16.03.2011 were confirmed.

Item No.2: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 92nd Meeting held on 03.03.2011

The Committee noted that the Minutes for 92nd Meeting have been issued on 08.03.2011 and action has been taken by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee in that meeting.

Item No.3 : Allocation for notional distribution of NLCPR funds amongst NE States

In view of the availability of latest data on various parameters like, Census 2011, HDI-2006, Road density (2008), Number of beds in hospitals (Allopathic) (2008), Villages electrified and Completion rate of NLCPR projects, the NLCPR Committee reviewed the allocation for notional distribution of NLCPR funds amongst NE States approved in its 59th meeting held on 10.06.2008 and after deliberations recommended the following revised allocation for distribution of NLCPR funds among NE States calculated by using the latest data available on these parameters.

S.No.	State	Allocation (%)
1	Arunachal Pradesh	12.91
2	Assam	27.83
3	Manipur	9.88
4	Meghalaya	10.57
5	Mizoram	10.68
6	Nagaland	10.14
7	Sikkim	6.63
8	Tripura	11.36
	Total	100.00

Item No.3(a): Consideration of 2011-12 Priority List of Mizoram for retention of projects for detailed examination

Officials of the Government of Mizoram made presentation before the Committee on the Priority List 2011-12 and the work load of implementing departments.

In view of the presentation, the Committee recommended to defer retention of projects out of the Priority List 2011-12 of the State for the next meeting.

Item No.3(b): Consideration of 2011-12 Priority List of Sikkim for retention of projects for detailed examination

In view of the presentation made by the officials of the Government of Sikkim and existing work load of the State implementing departments, the Committee recommended to defer retention of projects out of the Priority List 2011-12 of the State for the next meeting.

Item No.3(c): Consideration of 2011-12 Priority List of Tripura for retention of projects for detailed examination

The Committee noted that the Govt. of Tripura submitted priority list 2011-12 vide letter No.F.6(101)/PCD/NLCPR/2010-11/8766-69 dated 17.01.2011 containing 13 projects at an estimated cost of Rs.67182.27 lac along with Concept Papers of 13 projects and DPR of one project at SL. No 2 in the Priority List. The Committee deliberated on the priority list 2011-12 of Tripura and recommended retention of the following four projects at an estimated cost of Rs. 117.92 crore.

SL. No.	Name of the Project	Project cost (Rs. In Lacs)
1.	Construction of Tripura Institute of Technology, (Phase-II), West Tripura	9000.00
2.	Transmission Project (Phase-1) 132 KV D/C line from Suryamaninagar to Rokhia (30 Km. and site development and Fdr. Bay at Rokhia)	1200.00
3.	Quality improvement of Rural Water supply Schemes in Tripura by way of construction of package type (dual media pressure) iron removal plants (IRPs) attached to existing deep tube wells	1138.47
4.	Construction of 3000 MT capacity Food Storage Godown including guard shed at Jirania and under Sadar Sub-Division , West Tripura	453.54
	Total	11792.01

Item No.4: Providing water supply at Yupia District Head Quarter, Papum Pare District in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 81st meeting held on 26th May 2010 at an indicative cost of Rs. 7.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 611.80 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Construction of Approach roads to	
1.1	Head work	82.88
1.2	Water treatment plant	15.17
2	Construction of RCC Head work	15.94
3	Construction of pre-sedimentation tank	6.12
4	Construction of aerator	1.16
5	Construction of sedimentation tank	14.56
6	Construction of slow sand filtration tank	54.32
7	construction of RCC central water reservoir	8.96
8	Construction of anchor block with wire rope	5.94
9	Construction of RCC supporting structure for main pipeline	5.48
10	Construction of RCC retaining wall at WTP site	4.19
11	Construction of Zonal tanks	
11.1	Tank-I	10.35
11.2	Tank-II	6.07
12	Construction of Platform with hydrant post	2.95
13	Construction of CC drain at WTP	1.70
14	Construction of compound wall at WTP	14.73
15	Construction of CC footpath	5.08
16	Construction of site office cum Store building	15.54
17	Construction of water testing laboratory	3.69
18	Construction of Chowkidar's Qtr.	4.47
19	Earthwork in formation cutting for laying 200mm dia DI pipeline from intake point to WTP	11.09
20	Providing and supplying of DI/GI pipe including fittings, trenching laying and sand filling along the pipeline	291.46
21	Provision for special fittings and T&P item	15.89
22	Carriage of DI pipe from Karsingsa to Site Store at Yupia for a	1.47

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
	lead of 33 Km	
23	Carriage of GI pipe from Karsingsa to Site Store at Yupia by mechanical means for a lead of 33 Km	0.59
	Sub-total	599.80
	Add 2% contingency	12.00
	Total	611.80

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) Provision for catchment area protection needs to be taken up from Sustainability (NRDWP)/MGNREGA fund.
- f) IEC, VWSC formation, training of VWSCs, coordination with VWSCs for monitoring of progress, developing O&M mechanism by the VWSCs and Department jointly are to be taken up. In addition, waste water management by convergence with NREGA & TSC should be taken up in all the covered habitations simultaneously with the project. The project should have 100% metered house connection with appropriate tariff collection structure. Water quality monitoring & surveillance should be taken up on a regular basis.

Item No.5: Improvement and augmentation of water supply at Yachuli (0.6 MLD), Lower Subansari District in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 81st meeting held on 26th May 2010 at an indicative cost of Rs. 12.75 crore from priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs.1319.00 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Construction of RCC drop inlet head work	47.74
2	Construction of RCC intake tank	3.35
3	Construction of Water Treatment Plant with RG filter	
3.1	Construction of RCC pre-sedimentation tank	13.64
3.2	Construction of RCC coagulation cum sedimentation tank	19.40

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
3.3	Construction of aerator	28.54
3.4	Construction of Pershell Flume with channel	4.71
3.5	Construction of flash mixer	1.02
3.6	Construction of clarifloculator	19.29
3.7	Construction of rapid gravity filter	34.30
3.8	Construction of back wash tank	18.50
3.9	Construction of RCC circular sludge pit	18.06
3.1	Construction of Pump house	14.87
3.11	Construction of RCC Laboratory building	4.78
3.12	Construction of RCC Chemical house	23.27
3.13	Clear water reservoir	24.75
4	Construction of distribution tank for Zone-I	10.10
5	Construction of distribution tank for Zone-II	9.91
6	Construction of distribution tank for Zone-III	11.80
7	Construction of distribution tank for Zone-IV	14.99
8	Construction of Public Stand Post (PSP)	11.52
9	Providing & supplying of DI pipe (landed price at Karsingsa)	179.32
10	Transportation of DI pipe from Karsingsa to worksite (178.1 km)	7.73
11	Procurement of DI Fittings	48.61
12	Procurement of Misc. & DI Spl. Fitting item	9.80
13	Laying, fitting & fixing and trenching of DI pipe	8.75
14	Procurement & fixing, laying of GI pipe	244.45
15	Add 10% cost escalation for cost of GI pipes on Rs.244.45 lakh	24.45
16	Procurement of GI Fittings	96.77
17	Construction of Type-I qrt. for chowkidar	12.70
18	Construction of BB - 2 Units	12.32
19	Construction of project office-cum-cum Store at WTP site	6.73
20	Construction of PRM retaining wall around WTP	79.41
21	Construction of Saddle block	25.91
22	Construction of Anchor block	21.15
23	Construction of compound wall around WTP site	62.07
24	Site development & construction of approach road	
24.1	Formation cutting	42.29
24.2	Soling, metalling & carpeting	76.14
	Sub-total	1293.14
	Add 2% contingency	25.86
	Total	1319.00

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.

- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) Provision for catchment area protection needs to be taken up from Sustainability (NRDWP)/MGNREGA fund.
- f) IEC, VWSC formation, training of VWSCs, coordination with VWSCs for monitoring of progress, developing O&M mechanism by the VWSCs and Department jointly are to be taken up. In addition, waste water management by convergence with NREGA & TSC should be taken up in all the covered habitations simultaneously with the project. The project should have 100% metered house connection with appropriate tariff collection structure. Water quality monitoring & surveillance should be taken up on a regular basis.

Item No. 6: Construction of Medical Staff Quarters for CHC at Saitual & Thingsulthiah at Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 65th meeting held on 16.02.2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 2.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2008-09. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoUD & Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 131.21 lakh as under:

S. No.	Item of Work	Amount (In lakhs of Rs.)
1.	Construction of 6 nos. Type II quarters at Saitual	49.14
2.	Construction of 2 nos. Type IV quarters at Saitual	13.84
3.	Construction of 6 nos. Type II quarters at Thingsulthiah	52.80
4.	Construction of 2 nos. Type IV quarters at Thingsulthiah	13.84
	Sub Total	129.62
	Add Contingency @ 2%	2.59
	TOTAL	132.21

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Structural drawings be checked by technical sanctioning authority keeping in view relevant IS codes.
- The construction should be in conformity with IPHS norms and Mizoram PWD/CPWD norms.

Item No. 7: Infrastructure Development of Lawngtlai College, Lawngtlai at Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 60th meeting held on 18.07.2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 2.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2008-09. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoUD.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 131.21 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakhs)
1	Building portion (G+2)	105.12
2	Services and outdoor developments	30.97
	TOTAL	136.09

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- A non- duplication certificate from the State Planning Department may be obtained from the State Government before issue of sanction.
- Structural drawings be checked by technical sanctioning authority keeping in view relevant I.S. Codes.
- Plinth level of the building be kept above highest flood level of the locality.

Item No. 8: Upgradation of Lawngtlai Town in Lai Autonomous District Council, Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 74th meeting held on 04.11.2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 16.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2009-10. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoUD and SE Tech Wing, M/o DoNER has inspected the project site from structural engineering point of view and reported that the proposals are found to be feasible.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 1181.74 lakh with the condition that the Superintending Engineer visits the project site during execution of the project, as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
A	Widening and Improvement of Town Roads	
A1	Bazar to Chengkawllui Road	232.89
B1	Areopagy to S P Office Road	98.53

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
C1	Sabji Bazaar to Bethelmual Road	9.08
D1	Lined Side drain	70.94
E1	Internal Masonry Step (with handrails)	92.28
F1	Construction of Areopagi Building	77.20
G1	Construction of Flyover bridge 14 m	8.83
H	Construction of Flyover bridge 10 m	15.11
I	Landslide Preventive Measures	
	Checkdam at Thingzai Lui	115.39
	Checkdam at Khurpui Lui	226.46
J	Vertical Storm Water Drain	73.83
K	Playground at Chawnhu	66.31
L	Construction of retaining wall	71.72
	Sub total	1158.57
	Add 2% contingency	23.17
	Grand Total	1181.74

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following further conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Structural drawings be checked by technical sanctioning authority keeping in view relevant I.S. Codes.

Item No. 9: Bilkhawthlir (Pumping) Water Supply Scheme, Kolasib District in Mizoram

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 90th meeting held on 30.12.2010 at an indicative cost of Rs. 7.64 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Mizoram for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 780.47 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
1	Site preparation & development	6.05
2	Construction of RCC Weirs	30.09
3	Raw Water Main	30.33
4	Pumping main	89.44
5	Water treatment plant	93.45
6	Pump & Machineries	306.82

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
7	Building	13.88
8	Miscellaneous	30.57
9	Feeding Main	26.82
10	Distribution system & Networks	121.02
11	Approach Road	11.85
12	Carriage of Materials	4.85
	Sub Total	765.17
	Add 2% contingency	15.30
	Grand Total	780.47

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No.10: Construction of road from Diezephe to Razaphe via Vidima – 15 km in Nagaland

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 74th meeting held on 04.11.2010 at an indicative cost of Rs.11.70 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2009-10. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs.1371.24 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Item of work	Amount (Rs. lakh)
1	Embankment construction with material obtained from burrow pits	428.10
2	Water Bound Macadam	
2.1	Grading-I	255.23
2.2	Grading-II	128.84
2.3	Grading-III	271.27
3	Tack Coat	8.83
4	Open graded premix surfacing	98.44
5	Seal Coat	42.75
6	Hume Pipe culvert NP-4 - 1000mm dia.	102.22
7	Retaining wall - 2.0 m height	8.19
8	Kilometer stone and traffic signs	0.48
	Sub-total	1344.35
9	Add 2% contingency	26.89
	Total	1371.24

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) The estimate for work item Kilometer Stone shall also include traffic signs and the provision for the same may be met from the contingency.
- f) The concerned Chief Engineer may certify that the rates of various items are based on Schedule of rates approved by the Government of Nagaland before award of work.
- g) Wide publicity to Notice Inviting Tender (NIT) shall be carried out by State Government to ascertain competitive bidding.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.
