

Government of India
Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

Minutes of the 95th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1500 Hrs on 31.05.2011 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

Present

1. Mrs. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
3. Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
4. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission
5. Mrs. Vandita Kaul, Director (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance
6. Dr. Uday Shanker, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER,

Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance and Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER were granted leave of absence

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri K. Guite, Director, Shri Bimal Kumar (Under secretary) and Shri Ajay Kumar of Ministry of DoNER.

In the beginning representatives of Government of Nagaland made the presentations on revised Priority List 2011-12 of their State. The list of States representatives is at Annexure. After presentations by the State Government representatives of Nagaland, the Committee deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made by the Committee:

Item No.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 94th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 20.04.2011

The minutes of 94th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 20.04.2011 are being issued.

Item No.2: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 94th Meeting held on 20.04.2011 and 92nd Meeting held on 3.03.2011 in respect of Assam (Minutes kept in sealed cover due to code of conduct in force for Assembly Elections in Assam).

Committee noted that the minutes of the 94th Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 20.04.2011 are being issued. The Committee also noted that the minutes of item No. 8 to 11 (in respect of Assam) of the 92nd Meeting of NLCPR Committee which were kept in sealed cover due to code of conduct in force for Assembly Elections in Assam were issued on 18.05.2011 and action has been taken by the Ministry of DoNER on the decisions/ recommendations made by the NLCPR Committee.

Item No.3: Infrastructure Development of VKV at Nyapin in Kurung Kumey District of in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 66th meeting held on 02 March 2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 4.50 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2008-09. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development and Department of School Education & Literacy, MoHRD have supported the proposal.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 343.55 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Component of work	Amount (Rs. Lakh)
1	Construction of School Building (Class 1 to 10)	320.16
2	Construction of staff quarters - Bachelor barrack - 4 units, Type-IV - 1 No.	16.65
	Sub-total	336.81
3	Add 2% contingencies	6.74
	Total	343.55

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The State Government should exclude the approved components from 3 CSS viz., (i) RMSA, (ii) Model School Scheme and (iii) Girls Hostel Scheme.
- b) Implementing agency shall follow the proper and approved administrative, financial and technical procedures for execution of the work at competitive cost.
- c) The execution of the project shall be strictly on competitive rate basis.
- d) Implementing agency shall obtain all necessary permission/clearances from concerned authorities prior to commencement of works.
- e) The work shall be executed by implementing agency as per relevant codes and specifications as applicable.
- f) The implementing agency is encouraged to use energy efficient devices and equipments as per guidelines of Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE), Govt. of India who have developed "Manual for development of Municipal Energy Efficiency Projects" and may take their consultation in this regard.
- g) The implementing agency has to see that the project as a whole is disaster resilient and necessary measure will be taken to make is fully disaster resistant and DM audit shall be got conducted and report shall be submitted to the mission directorate on completion of the project.
- h) The implementing schedule should be strictly adhered to in order to avoid any time and cost overrun.
- i) Proper structural design for the related structural components shall be got done and proof checked by a structural consultant of repute before actual execution of the work.
- j) Proper geotechnical investigations for all related geotechnical components shall be got done and proof checked by geotechnical expert of repute before actual execution of the work.
- k) The formalities relating land acquisition etc. if any may be completed before taking up the work.
- l) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- m) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.

- n) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- o) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- p) The administrative & financial approval may be issued only after getting the original notarized undertaking duly signed in ink by VKV Society and the State Government specifying the project as under:
 - (i) The assets created out of NLCPR funds will be owned by State Government and will be used by the institution/organization for the sole purpose for which they have been sanctioned failing which they will be reverted back to the State Government along with land on which it will be created.
 - (ii) Like all other NLCPR funded projects in the State sector, the funds sanctioned for implementation of projects by these Organisations/Institutions will be released to the State Government.
 - (iii) The State Government concerned, after sanction, will very closely monitor proper and timely implementation of the project.
 - (iv) The State Government concerned will put the system in place for yearly inspection to ensure that the assets are being used only for the purpose for which they were created.
 - (v) The maintenance of these assets will be the sole responsibility of the institution and they should clearly spell out how they are going to manage funds to run the institution.
 - (vi) The institution will run for the welfare of the society and use these assets for the welfare of the society and not for commercial purposes.
 - (vii) No fees will be realized on commercial basis from the users of the facility created. However, the institution may charge only a nominal fee for maintenance of the assets.
 - (viii) Such assets should not be disposed of or encumbered or utilized for purposes other than for which the funds were given.
 - (ix) If the institution ceases to exist at any time, it will hand over the infrastructure created out of NLCPR funds along with land on which it will be created to the State Government.
 - (x) In case of (permanent) closure of the activity for which funds/ project is sanctioned, the immovable assets along with land on which it will be created through assistance/ funds of NLCPR would be handed over to the State Government by the Institution.
 - (xi) If the State Government/ Union Government observes that the assets are not being utilized for purpose for which they have sanctioned or the Institution does not fulfill the conditions given in the sanction order then the State Government/ Union Government shall be free to take over the assets created through assistance of NLCPR along with land on which it exist.

Item No.4: Establishment of VKV at Changlang in Changlang District in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 71st meeting held on 18th August 2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 5.00 crore from priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2009-10. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development and Department of School Education & Literacy, MoHRD have supported the proposal.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 501.55 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Component of work	Amount (Rs. Lakh)
1	Jungle clearance	1.00
2	Building portion	
2.1	School Building (G+2)	225.70

Sl. No.	Component of work	Amount (Rs. Lakh)
2.2	Hostel Building 2 Blocks (G+2)	188.15
2.3	Site development of building	25.56
2.4	Formation cutting	17.67
2.5	Surface drain	0.61
2.6	Sub-base course	7.24
2.7	Residential building (T/II-4 nos. without furniture portion)	25.79
	Sub-total	491.72
3	Add 2% contingencies	9.83
	Total	501.55

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The State Government should exclude the approved components from 3 CSS viz., (i) RMSA, (ii) Model School Scheme and (iii) Girls Hostel Scheme.
- b) Implementing agency shall follow the proper and approved administrative, financial and technical procedures for execution of the work at competitive cost.
- c) The execution of the project shall be strictly on competitive rate basis.
- d) Implementing agency shall obtain all necessary permission/clearances from concerned authorities prior to commencement of works.
- e) The work shall be executed by implementing agency as per relevant codes and specifications as applicable.
- f) The implementing agency is encouraged to use energy efficient devices and equipments as per guidelines of Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE), Govt. of India who have developed "Manual for development of Municipal Energy Efficiency Projects" and may take their consultation in this regard.
- g) The implementing agency has to see that the project as a whole is disaster resilient and necessary measure will be taken to make it fully disaster resistant and DM audit shall be got conducted and report shall be submitted to the mission directorate on completion of the project.
- h) The implementing schedule should be strictly adhered to in order to avoid any time and cost overrun.
- i) Proper structural design for the related structural components shall be got done and proof checked by a structural consultant of repute before actual execution of the work.
- j) Proper geotechnical investigations for all related geotechnical components shall be got done and proof checked by geotechnical expert of repute before actual execution of the work.
- k) The formalities relating land acquisition etc. if any may be completed before taking up the work.
- l) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- m) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- n) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- o) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- p) The administrative & financial approval may be issued only after getting the original notarized undertaking duly signed in ink by VKV Society and the State Government specifying the project as under:

- (i) The assets created out of NLCPR funds will be owned by State Government and will be used by the institution/organization for the sole purpose for

- which they have been sanctioned failing which they will be reverted back to the State Government along with land on which it will be created.
- (ii) Like all other NLCPR funded projects in the State sector, the funds sanctioned for implementation of projects by these Organisations/Institutions will be released to the State Government.
 - (iii) The State Government concerned, after sanction, will very closely monitor proper and timely implementation of the project.
 - (iv) The State Government concerned will put the system in place for yearly inspection to ensure that the assets are being used only for the purpose for which they were created.
 - (v) The maintenance of these assets will be the sole responsibility of the institution and they should clearly spell out how they are going to manage funds to run the institution.
 - (vi) The institution will run for the welfare of the society and use these assets for the welfare of the society and not for commercial purposes.
 - (vii) No fees will be realized on commercial basis from the users of the facility created. However, the institution may charge only a nominal fee for maintenance of the assets.
 - (viii) Such assets should not be disposed of or encumbered or utilized for purposes other than for which the funds were given.
 - (ix) If the institution ceases to exist at any time, it will hand over the infrastructure created out of NLCPR funds along with land on which it will be created to the State Government.
 - (x) In case of (permanent) closure of the activity for which funds/ project is sanctioned, the immovable assets along with land on which it will be created through assistance/ funds of NLCPR would be handed over to the State Government by the Institution.
 - (xi) If the State Government/ Union Government observes that the assets are not being utilized for purpose for which they have sanctioned or the Institution does not fulfill the conditions given in the sanction order then the State Government/ Union Government shall be free to take over the assets created through assistance of NLCPR along with land on which it exist.

Item No. 5: Construction of road from Mahadevpur Town to Krishnapur Village Lekang Circle in Lohit District (4.5 KM) of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 66th meeting held on 23.03.2009 at an indicative cost of Rs. 5.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2008-09. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MoRTH).

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 599.30 lakh as under:

S.No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Earth Work (Embankment Widening)	35.76
2	Sub-Base Course (GSB)	61.29
3	Base Course (WBM-II & III)	76.79
4	Surfacing (PC & SC)	52.25
5	Cross-Drainage (Culverts)	
	a. RCC slab culvert 2.00 mtr. span	49.15
	b. RCC slab culvert 3.00 mtr. span	26.24
6	RCC Bridge (40.00 M Span)	284.96
7	KM Stone & Road Sign Board	1.11

S.No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
	Sub Total	587.55
8	Add 2% Contingencies	11.75
	Total	599.30

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- The State Government should keep fund provision in the State Plan for construction of 2 Nos. of RCC slab culvert having 5m span and protection/river training work which have not been included in this project.

Item No. 6: Construction of Road from Digi via Sinyumrijo to join Panimuri RWD Link road (3.00 KM) in Upper Subansiri District of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 91st meeting held on 10.02.2011 at an indicative cost of Rs. 350.00 lakh from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoRTH.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 369.24 lakh as under:

S. No.	Items/components	Amount (Rs. in lacs)
	Jungle Cutting (3.00 km)	3.01
2	Earthwork (3.00 km)	111.40
3	PCC Drain (2.40 km)	43.39
4	Pavements (0.00-3.00 km)	96.64
5	Retaining Wall 4m Ht.	26.54
6	RCC Slab Culvert 1m Span (7 Nos.)	30.70
7	RCC Slab Culvert 2m Span (7 Nos.)	50.32
	Sub Total	362.00
8	Add 2% Contingencies	7.24
	Total	369.24

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide

publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.

- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No. 7: Upgradation of road from Subansari bridge point to Segi CO (HQ) (SH: WSM-I & II, Carpeting, PCC drain, Slab culvert and R/wall, Upper Subansari District of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 86th meeting held on 21.10.2010 at an indicative cost of Rs. 20.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoRTH.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 1982.05 lakh as under:

Sl. No.	Items of work	Amount (Rs. in Lac)
1	Jungle cutting	14.94
2	Widening	209.5
3	PCC Drain	308.35
4	Pavements	698.98
5	Retaining wall	99.26
6	Breast wall	61.07
7	RCC slab culvert 1m span	334.4
8	RCC slab culvert 2m span	143.36
9	Road sign board etc.	7.32
10	RCC Bridge over Reh Nullah	66.01
	Sub-total	1943.19
11	Add 2% contingencies	38.86
	Total	1982.05

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following further conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) Additional protection measures such as benching of side slopes, catch water drains etc. as per IRC:SP:48, 1998 (specific attention to clauses 11.6.3 & 11.6.4, if required, may be incorporated for stability of the slopes during execution of the work. Any additional amount in this regard may be met out of the contingency provided separately in the estimate.

Item No. 8: Construction of Motorable suspension bridge over River Siang at the Site of Gandhi Bridge in Upper Siang District of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs. 2521.77 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 39th meeting held on 07.03.2006 and so far Rs.1377.71 lakh has been released in two installments. The first installment for the project amounting to Rs. 377.71 lakh was released on 27.03.2006 and the second installment of Rs.1000 lakh was released on 27.03.2009. Overall physical progress, as per QPR for December 2010 was 58%. The Committee deliberated on the tour note as well as verbal report of onsite inspection by SE, Tech. Wing and noted with grave concern that delay in allotment of work, execution and procurement of material have contributed significantly to the cost escalation.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for admitting the revised DPR of the project for further examination; first by Tech Wing, Ministry of DoNER and then by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways. The Committee further recommended that in the event of the revised cost estimate being considered for sanction, it will be subject to fixing of responsibility for delay in project execution.

Item No. 9: Construction of Steel Suspension Bridge over Siang river and approach Road at Kodak near Tuting in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs. 1396.01 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 37th meeting held on 19.12.2005. So far Rs.973.59 lakh has been released in two installments. The first installment for the project amounting to Rs. 439.74 lakh was released on 28.12.2005 and the second installment of Rs.533.85 lakh was released on 28.03.2009. With the release of 3rd installment of Rs.246.23 lakh on 17.03.2011, the entire admissible grant other than contingency has been released. The overall physical progress of 75% has been reported in the QPR for Feb 2011. The Committee deliberated on the tour note as well as verbal report of onsite inspection by SE, Tech. Wing and noted with grave concern that delay in allotment of work, execution and procurement of material have contributed significantly to the cost escalation.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for admitting the revised DPR of the project for further examination; first by Tech Wing, Ministry of DoNER and then by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways. The Committee further recommended that in the event of the revised cost estimate being considered for sanction, it will be subject to fixing of responsibility for delay in project execution.

Item No. 10: Construction of Steel Suspension Bridge over Subansiri River near Siyum (Span 174.00 mtr.) in Arunachal Pradesh.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs. 861.61 lakh on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 38th Meeting held on 23.01.2006. An Amount of Rs. 271.40 lakh as first installment was released on 20.02.2006 and the second installment of Rs. 300.00 lakh was released on 31.03.2009. The third & final installment of the admissible amount of Rs. 204.05 was released on 18.03.2010. So far Rs. 775.45 lakhs (full admissible grant) has been released. The overall physical progress up to January 2010 was reported as 75%. The Committee deliberated on the reasons for cost escalation and noted with grave concern that delay in execution of the work has led to the cost escalation.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for admitting the revised DPR of the project for further examination; first by Tech Wing, Ministry of DoNER and then by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways. The Committee further recommended that in the event of the revised cost estimate being considered for sanction, it will be subject to fixing of responsibility for delay in project execution.

Item No. 11: Infrastructure Development of the School run by Arunachal Welfare and Education Society – Construction of JNK Hostel Building.

The Committee noted that the project was sanctioned at a cost of Rs. 157.45 lakh on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 48th Meeting held on 31st May 2007. The first installment of Rs. 46.59 lakh was released on 26th December 2007. The Committee deliberated on the request of Arunachal Pradesh Government for alteration of the approved design of the project on account of 11 kV transmission line passing directly over the building.

After deliberation the Committee recommended for admitting modified project which, as per preliminary estimate, will cost Rs. 150.77 lakh.

Item No. 12:- “Phumen Phangcho Minor Irrigation Scheme” In Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the Ministry of Water Resources (M/o WR) at a cost of Rs. 629.17 lacs.

2. After detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 609.41 lacs after deducting inadmissible items (Rs. 1.43 lacs for Survey & Investigation and Rs. 18.33 lacs – Pro rata charges and others). However, the Committee decided to allow Lump sum Accommodation (construction of 4th Grade shed) charges of Rs. 2.00 lacs with the conditions mentioned below. The details are as under:

Sl No	Items of Work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of Head work including Regulator and Temporary diversion work etc.	336.36
2	Construction of marginal bundh	49.16
3	River trimming work including protection work at upstream and downstream of Head work	19.69
4	Earth work in canal system	58.93
5	Canal lining works	19.94
6	Construction of Hume pipe canal for idle canal length	62.03
7	Construction of approach road	11.49
8	Construction of RCC Trough	19.78
9	Construction of hydraulic structures	30.03
10	Accommodation (construction of 4 th Grade shed) Lump sum*	2.00
	Total	609.41

***The amount against this item of work can be released only after receipt of detailed estimates from the State Government.**

3. The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- As per the suggestions of the M/o WR, it may be ensured that proper cropping pattern for this scheme may be followed in consultation with Agriculture Department. The project authorities should also take care of proper drainage to avoid water logging in the command.
- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- The State government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

- The amount against item of work mentioned at Sl. No-10 above can be released only after receipt of detailed estimates from the State Government.

Item No. 13: **Project for consideration under Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR)**
“Construction of RCC Br.No.1/1 over river Kalang on Ghahi-Borjhora Road under
Nagaon Rural road Division (Nagaon District)” in Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (M/o RT&H) at a cost of Rs. 281.12 lacs.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 281.12 lacs. The details are as under:

SI No	Items of Work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
	APPROACH WORK	
1	Earthwork in core Widening	22.57
2	Earthwork in Subgrade and Shoulder	2.81
3	G.S.B. (drainage layer)	4.10
4	G.S.B.-II	2.40
5	Water Bound Macadam Grading-II	2.47
6	Water Bound Macadam Grading-III	2.59
7	Prime Coat	0.67
8	Tack Coat	0.18
9	Premix carpeting	2.07
10	Seal coat	0.77
11	Supplying Fitting & Fixing RCC Guard post	0.32
12	Direction and place identification sign upto 0.9 sqm size board	0.36
13	Construction of temporary bamboo bridge	0.68
	PROTECTION WORK	
14	Providing and laying boulders pitching	2.82
15	Providing and laying Filter Materials	1.53
16	Providing and laying Boulder Apron	2.34
	BRIDGE PROPER WORK	229.73
17	Construction cost of RCC Bridge Proper	
	Total	278.41
18	Contingency adjusted to approx. 1%	2.71
	GRAND TOTAL	281.12

3. The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, Departmental Charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- A non-duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department before issue of sanction.

Item No. 14:- Burinagar LIS (Nalbari Division) In Assam.

It was noted by the Committee that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the Ministry of Water Resources (M/o WR) at a cost of Rs. 232.45 lacs.

2. After detailed deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 215.69 lacs after deducting cost for Final Survey 2 points (Rs. 0.50 lacs) and inadmissible items such as Maintenance during Construction, VAT, Labour Welfare Cess. However, the Committee decided to allow Lump sum Accommodation charges (5%) with the conditions mentioned below. The details are as under:

SI No	Items of Work	Amount (Rs in lac)
1	Construction of pump house cum control room with boundary wall-2 nos.	9.19
2	Construction of brick canal	111.41
3	Construction of water trough-2 nos.	00.57
4	Construction of cattle crossing slab-22 nos.	00.79
5	Construction of steel gate out let-50 nos.	2.47
6	River bank protection work-2 nos.	6.19
7	Construction of earthen canal	5.69
8	Construction of steel gate outlet with RCC canal crossing-16 nos.	4.05
9	Construction of approach road	9.80
10	Construction of cross drainage work-20 nos.	5.10
11	Construction of H.P. culverts-8 nos.	3.96
12	B.M. pillar and signboard etc.	0.50
	Sub total	159.72
13	Accommodation 5%*	7.99
	Sub total	167.71
14	Contingencies 2% on items 1-13	3.35
15	Mechanical works (excluding VAT)	24.25
16	Contingencies 1% on Mechanical Works	00.24
17	Electrical works (excluding VAT)	20.14
	Total	215.69

***The amount against this item of work can be released only after receipt of detailed estimates from the State Government.**

3. **The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:**
- As per the suggestions of the M/o WR, it may be ensured that proper cropping pattern for this scheme may be followed in consultation with Agriculture Department. The project authorities should also take care of proper drainage to avoid water logging in the command.
 - The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
 - Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
 - The State government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
 - The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
 - **The amount against item of work mentioned at Sl. No-13 above can be released only after receipt of detailed estimates from the State Government.**

Item No. 15:- Construction of new infrastructure of Govt. college of Arts & Crafts in Kamrup distt. In Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the Ministry of Urban Development (M/o UD) at a cost of Rs. 500.00 lacs. The Project has also been supported by the Ministry of Culture.

2. The Committee, after deliberation, recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 500.00 lacs. The details are as under:

SI No	Items of Work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Administrative Block and Academic Block	280.95
2	Boys' Hostel	75.70
3	Girls' Hostel	75.70
4	Car parking shed	7.97
5	Brick Boundary wall around the Complex	19.52
	Sub-Total	459.84
6	Add difference of cost of stock material	36.31
	Total	496.15
7	Add Contingency (lump sum)	3.85
	Grand Total	500.00

3. **The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:**
- The State Government will follow the comments / suggestions of the M/o UD **(Annex-I)**
 - The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, Departmental Charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
 - Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
 - The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
 - The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
 - A non-duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department before issue of sanction.

Item No. 16:- “Up-gradation of NT road through Ramphalbil Bazar to all weather road with conversion of SPT bridges into RCC bridge (International Border Areas)” In Assam.

The Committee noted that the DPR of the project has been vetted by the Ministry of Urban Development (M/o UD) at a cost of Rs. 771.68 lacs.

The Committee also noted that the Bridge Nos. have been mentioned by the M/o UD as 1/1, 1/4 and 2/4 although in the DPR, the Bridge Nos. are- 1/1, 1/4 and 2/1.

2. Therefore, after deliberations, the Committee recommended sanction of the project at a cost of Rs. 764.18 lacs with the condition that the exact bridge numbers may be ascertained from the State Government. The details are as under:

SI No	Items of Work	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Total cost CD works Part (c) RCC bridges 1/1, 1/4 & 2/1	479.86
2	Total cost of pavement Part A	266.74
3	Total cost of road side drains Part C	2.60
	Total cost of Construction	749.20
	Add contingencies @ 2%	14.98
	Total	764.18

3. The Project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The State Government will follow the comments / suggestions of the M/o UD **(Annex-II)**
- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documents on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, Departmental Charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from State Government to implementing agency.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- A non-duplicacy certificate may be obtained from the State Planning Department before issue of sanction.

Item No. 17: Consideration of the projects for retention under Additional BTC Package.

This item was deferred with the decision that the retention of the projects under Additional BTC Package shall be taken up while considering the Priority List 20110 -12 of Assam under NLCPR.

Item No. 18: Status of the Project “Sibsagar Town Water Supply Scheme” in Assam.

The Committee noted that the project was recommended for sanction during 47th Meeting held on 16.03.2007 with approved cost of Rs. 1 639.34 lacs against which Rs. 499.73 lacs were released as 1st instalment.

2. After detailed deliberations and taking into consideration the report of the SE (TW), the Committee decided to allow carrying out following corrections in the Administrative & Financial Approval for the project:

Correction - I

Sl. No.	Item of Work conveyed through Administrative & Financial Approval	Item of work to be conveyed
1	2. Supply and installation of captive Generator sets 1[one] at river intake [160 K.V.A.] & 1[one] at T.P. [65 K.V.A.] = 125 K.V.A.	2. Supply and installation of captive Generator sets 1[one] at river intake [60 K.V.A.] & 1[one] at T.P. [65 K.V.A.] = 125 K.V.A.

Correction - II

Sl. No.	Item of Work	Approved cost as per Administrative & Financial Approval	90% Admissible Grant	Revised Approved cost	Revised 90% Admissible Grant
		Rs. in lac			
J	3. Security fencing with gates in the T.P. Sites. [T.P.=254 R.M. & 68 R.M.x 3 for ESR site]	21.11	19.99	21.11 (No Change)	18.99

Correction - III

Sl. No.	Total Approved Cost	Conveyed vide Administrative & Financial Approval dated 28.05.2007	Revised Approved cost
		Rs. in lac	
-	Total Approved Cost	1639.34	1639.35

Item No. 19: Status of the withdrawn projects retained from the Priority Lists of Assam.

The Committee noted that the State Government, through their letter dated 09.08.2010, informed regarding withdrawal of 6 projects.

2. Therefore, the Committee, after deliberations, decided to accept the recommendations of the State Government regarding withdrawal of following 6 projects:

Sl. No.	Name of the Project	Estimated Cost (Rs. in Crore)	Priority List
1	Construction of 30 bedded hospital with staff quarters & improvement and renovation of existing building at Mahur, NC Hills	10.56	2004 – 05
2	Widening of Guwahati Garbhanga Road	35.00	2005 – 06
3	Solid Waste Management Project of Jorhat	6.32	2005 – 06
4	North Eastern Regional Aquarium cum Museum at Guwahati	2.85	2006 – 07
5	MT & BT of Maibang Natundisao Road	4.29	2006 – 07
6	Information Centre (including Library) and Exhibition Hall Complex at Dotoma (MP Project)	2.47	2006 – 07
	Total	61.49	

3. The Committee further decided that if the State Government wants to include any of these projects in their current year's Priority List, they may be allowed to do so. However, the impact of the withdrawn projects for retention of the projects from the current / subsequent year's Priority List would be Nil as these amounts are only notional.

Item No. 20: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Construction of Bridge over Challow River between Chingai (Kuingai) and Tusom, Manipur

The Committee noted that the project was retained on recommendation of NLCPR Committee at an estimated cost of Rs.3.06 crore from the priority list 2005-06 of Government of

Manipur for in its 32nd Meeting held on 28.02.2005. The DPR of the project is examined by the MoRTH and recommended it at Rs.302.38 lac. The then Additional Chief Secretary (Planning), Manipur informed that the project has not been sanctioned/ taken up/ proposed for funding from any other scheme or programme of State/ Centre/ NEC.

After deliberations Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs. 302.38 lac with following components and conditions.

(Rs. in lac)		
S.No.	Items of works	Amount
1	Construction of RCC Bridge-span 36 m	213.24
2	Protection works	48.04
3	Coffer Dam	12.45
4	Approach Road- 260 m	22.72
	Sub - Total	296.45
	2% contingency charge	5.93
	Total	302.38

Conditions:

- (i) Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documentary evidence of actual contingent expenditure, but shall not include expenditure on work charge or any other establishment, quality control, agency, O&M & T&P and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) State Government should ensure compliance of the observations of M/o Road Transport & Highways enclosed at **Annex - III**.

Item No. 21: Project for consideration under the non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Construction of RCC bridge over Iril river at Chingaren Mapa in Imphal East Manipur

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.5.30 crore from the priority list for 2007-08 of Government of Manipur n its 53rd Meeting held on 30.11.2007. The DPR of the project is examined by the MoRTH and informed that he estimated cost appears to be reasonable as per the present scope of the work and therefore recommended for approval at Rs.643.37 lac. The Chief Secretary (Planning), Manipur while submitting revised DPR informed that the project has not been sanctioned/ taken up/ proposed for funding from any other scheme or programme of State/ Centre/ NEC.

After deliberations Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs.637.12 lac with following components and conditions.

(Rs. in lac)		
S.No.	Items of works	Amount
1	Construction of RCC bridge over Iril ricer at Chingaren Mapa	457.11
2	Construction of Approach road, retaining wall, wing wall	167.52
	Sub Total	624.63
	2% contingency charge	12.49
	Total	637.12

Conditions:

- (i) Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documentary evidence of actual contingent expenditure, but shall not include expenditure on work charge or any other establishment, quality control, agency, O&M & T&P and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) The design of structures needs to be checked in detail by the State PWD. The GADs and detailed design/ working drawings for bridges to be got proof checked and approved by State PWD before award of the work.
- (vi) The work is to be executed as per Ministry of Road Transport & Highways' specifications for Roads and Bridges works (4th revision) and relevant IRC codes and circulars issued from time to time.

Item No. 22: Project for consideration under the non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources- Construction of RCC bridge over Imphal river at Moirangkhom (Old Thumbuthong) in Imphal West, Manipur

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.6.00 crore from the priority list for 2008-09 of Government of Manipur in its 60th Meeting held on 18.07.2008. The DPR of the project is examined by the MoRTH and recommended it at Rs.720.00 lac. The Additional Chief Secretary (Planning), Manipur while submitting revised DPR informed that the project has not been sanctioned/ taken up/ proposed for funding from any other scheme or programme of State/ Centre/ NEC.

After deliberations Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs.713.20 lac with following components and conditions.

(Rs. in lac)		
S.No.	Items of works	Amount
1	Bridge Proper	699.22
		699.22
	2% contingency charge	13.98
	Total	713.20

Conditions:

- (i) Contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of documentary evidence of actual contingent expenditure, but shall not include expenditure on work charge or any other establishment, quality control, agency, O&M & T&P and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the revised guidelines of NLCPR.

- (v) State Government should ensure compliance of the observations of M/o Road Transport & Highways enclosed at **Annex - VI**.

Item No. 23: Proposal for Construction including M & BT of Bandapara-Mallangkona-Shallang road (52 Km) Phase-I 10.00 Km – West Garo Hills Distt. in Meghalaya.

The Committee observed that the project was retained for detailed examination from the Priority List 2009-10 of Meghalaya by the NLCPR Committee in its 74th Meeting held on 04.11.2009 at an estimated cost of Rs.9.00 crore. The DPR of the project is examined by the MoRTH and recommended it for approval at Rs. 1072.09 lac.

The Planning Commission vide letter M-12017/2/2007/SP-NE. part dated 19.05.2010 confirmed that there is no duplication of funding in the project.

After deliberations Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs. 1072.09 lac with following components and conditions.

Sl. No.	Components of Work	Total Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Construction including Improvement Works	347.22
2.	Metalling and Black Topping	302.58
3.	Retaining Walls	113.65
4.	Hume Pipe Culverts	224.51
5.	Bridges (RCC bridge -1 span 12m, RCC culvert -1 span 6m)	62.75
6.	Miscellaneous (Km stones)	0.36
	Sub-Total	1051.07
7.	Add 2% Contingencies	21.02
	Grand Total	1072.09

Conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR
- The proper test should be conducted for CBR of sub grade soil during construction to ascertain the applicability of soil.**
- No crust is proposed from km 9 to 19 i.e. in 10 km. The crust may be provided soon after the completion of present scope of the work and as per availability of funds, to provide black topped road in continuity of 19 kms for road users.**
- The design of bridge shall be approved by Chief Engineer, PWD prior to execution of work.**
- The design of CD structures shall be approved by concerned Chief Engineer during execution of work.**
- The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of non-duplication certificate from the State Government.**

Item No. 24: W. Phaileng Water Supply Scheme (Pumping) in Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 9.34 crore on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 72nd meeting held on 28.8.2009 from the priority list 2009-10. It was also observed that Department of Drinking Water and Sanitation (DDWS), Ministry of Rural Development have examined and vetted the DPR.

After due deliberation, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 995.19 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
1	Intake Arrangement	32.88
2	Pumping Main	158.68
3	Raw Water Gravity Main	26.87
4	Feeding Main	70.91
5	Distribution System	165.46
6	Pump & Machineries	308.99
7	Electric Power Supply	28.91
8	Clear Water Sump	12.55
9	Reservoir	40.80
10	Treatment Plan	57.77
11	Approach Road	32.00
12	Buildings	40.00
13	Security Fencing	5.00
14	Carriage of Material etc.	8.84
15	Computer Billing System	5.53
	Total	995.19

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No. 25: Infrastructure Development of Various Colleges (10 Nos.) in Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 14.50 crore on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 56th meeting held on 19.3.2008 from the priority list 2004-05. It was also observed that CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development Department have examined and vetted the DPR and Ministry of Human Resource Development have no objection to the project.

Taking note of the fact that the project involves construction of 10 Colleges, the Committee advised that State Government may consider executing the project in phase manner i.e. undertaking construction work of 3-4 Colleges at a time instead of commencing

work in all the 10 Colleges simultaneously, in the interest of expeditious completion and benefit to the public.

The Committee has also recommended that Engineers from Technical Wing, M/o DONER may keep visiting the project sites during the implementation of the project.

After due deliberation, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 1367.64 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
I	Construction of Govt. T. Romana College at Aizwal, Mizoram	
1	College Building	107.61
2	Septic Tank & Soak Pits	0.65
3	Apron & Side Drains	1.56
4	Underground RCC Water Tank for Rain Water Harvesting	2.62
5	Retaining Walls Near the Building	8.06
6	Internal Electrification @ 12.50% of Building Cost	15.07
	Sub Total	135.57
II	Construction of Govt. Johnson College Building at Khatla, Aizwal	
1	Administrative & Academic Building	107.38
2	Septic Tank & Soak Pits	0.64
3	Apron & Side Drains	2.45
4	Underground RCC Water Tank for Rain Water Harvesting	5.34
5	Retaining Walls Near the Building	4.74
6	Internal Electrification @ 12.50% of Building Cost	15.07
	Sub Total	135.62
III	Construction of Aizawl West College Building at Dawrpui Vengthar, Aizawl	
1	College Building	101.97
2	Septic Tank & Soak Pits	0.64
3	Apron & Side Drains	2.29
4	Underground RCC Water Tank for Rain Water Harvesting	4.99
5	Retaining Walls Near the Building	10.65
6	Internal Electrification @ 12.50% of Building Cost	15.07
	Sub Total	135.61
IV	Construction of Govt. J. Thankima College at Aizawl, Mizoram	
1	Civil works	68.28
2	Painting works	2.07
3	Sanitary installations	1.12
4	Water Supply	0.74
5	Septictanks and Soakpits	0.47
6	Underground RCC water tank	4.04
7	Side drain including Aprpron between building and Side drain	0.51
8	Internal Electrification @12.50% of Civil works	8.54
	Sub Total	85.77
V	Construction of Aizawl North College at Ramhlun North, Aizawl	
1	College Building	91.52
2	Septic Tank & Soak Pits	0.64
3	Apron & Side Drains	1.87
4	Underground RCC Water Tank for Rain Water Harvesting	6.16
5	Retaining Walls Near the Building	20.36
6	Internal Electrification @ 12.50% of Building Cost	15.07
	Sub Total	135.62
VI	Construction of Govt. Hnahthial College at Hnahthial	
1	Building Portion (Main civil works)	120.25
2	Special Flooring & Walling of Toilet Block	1.93
3	Water Supply and Sanitary installation	2.33
4	Construction of 2Nos Septic Tank for 20 user each	0.78

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
5	Protection Wall at Basement floor	5.42
6	Add1 for internal electrification @ 9% of Building Cost	10.82
7	Add for external Water & Power supply connection @ 1% of Building Cost	1.20
	Sub Total	142.73
VII	Construction of Govt. J Buana College at Lunglei	
1	Building Portion (Main civil works)	125.06
2	Special Flooring & Walling of Toilet Block	1.92
3	Water Supply and Sanitary installation	2.23
4	Construction of 2Nos Septic Tank for 20 user each	0.78
6	Add1 for internal electrification @ 9% of Building Cost	11.26
7	Add for external Water & Power supply connection @ 1% of Building Cost	1.25
	Sub Total	142.50
VIII	Construction of Govt. Khawzawl College, Mizoram	
1	Academic & Administrative Building	96.30
2	Girls Hostel	33.18
3	Internal Electrification @ 12.50% of Building Cost	12.03
	Sub Total	141.51
IX	Construction of Govt. Saitual College, Mizoram	
1	Academic Block	45.81
2	Hostel Building	51.43
	Sub Total	97.24
X	Construction of Government College at Kolasib, Lungpher Veng, Mizoram	
A.	College Building	
1	Site Development	17.37
2	Building Works	88.03
3	Water Supply and sanitary	4.90
4	Add 7.20% area cost index for Kolasib District	7.94
5	Internal & External Electrification @12.50% of Building Work	11.00
	Sub Total	129.24
B.	Hostel	
1	Site Development	5.13
2	Building Works	42.18
3	Water Supply and sanitary	2.01
4	Add 7.20% area cost index for Kolasib District	3.55
5	Internal & External Electrification @12.50% of Building Work	5.27
6	Add 3% for built in furniture on Building portion	1.27
	Sub Total	59.41
	Total	1340.82
	Add Contingency @ 2%	26.82
	Grand Total	1367.64

The other conditions for sanction of the projects are the following:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.

- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No. 26: Construction of Approach Road to Tuivai from Mimbung and approach road to Godown at Kawlkulh, Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 3.10 crore on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 65th meeting held on 16.2.2009 from the priority list 2008-09. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoRTH.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 367.09 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Total Quantity	Unit	Amount
I	Construction of Approach road to R. Tuivai from Mimbung			
A	Earth Work	222451.03	M3	203.93
B	Protection Work	86.00	Rm	9.47
C	Drainage Works			
a	HP Culvert	47	No	98.29
b	Slab Culvert	2	No	7.85
II	Approach road to Godwon at Kawlkulh			
	Pavement	1.00	km	40.35
	Total			359.89
	Add 2% contingency			7.20
	Grand Total			367.09

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following further conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- The design of HP and Slab culverts shall be approved by concerned Chief Engineer during execution of work.

Item No. 27: Priority List for 2011-12 of Nagaland.

Retention of projects from the Priority List for 2011-12 submitted by the Government of Nagaland was deferred as the Concepts Notes for the projects have not been submitted on time.

Item No. 28: Improvement & Upgradation of road from Border Road to Changlangshu – 19 km in Mon District, Nagaland.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 83rd meeting held on 02.07.2010 at an indicative cost of Rs.5.40 Cr. from the priority list submitted by the Government

of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoRTH.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 610.78 lakh as under:

S.No.	Items / Components	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
1	Surface Drain in Soil	10.64
2	Sub-Grade and earthen shoulder	14.25
3	Water Bound Macadam Base	
3.1	Grade II	98.86
3.2	Grade III	100.84
4	Bituminous works	
4.1	Prime Coat	27.29
4.2	Track Coat	9.62
4.3	Premix carpeting	104.74
4.4	Seal Coat	49.87
5	Protection Works	
5.1	Retaining wall: 4m	71.13
5.2	Retaining wall: 3m	32.95
5.3	Breast wall	17.30
6	Culverts & Cross drains	
6.1	HP 1000 mm Dia	61.31
	Sub-Total (1-6)	598.80
7	Add 2% contingency	11.98
	Total	610.78

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) The design of retaining wall/breast wall shall be approved by concerned Chief Engineer, PWD.
- f) The concerned Chief Engineer may certify that the rates of various items are based on Schedule of rates approved by the Government of Nagaland before award of the work.
- g) Traffic signs, kilometer stones and other road safety items may be provided. Expenditure for these items may be met from contingency.

Item No. 29: Construction of RCC T-Beam girder double-lane bridge over river Tezu on Lanye to Meluri, Phek District in Nagaland.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 83rd meeting held on 02.07.2010 at an indicative cost of Rs. 13.47 Cr. from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the MoRTH.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 900.26 lakh as under:

S. No.	Items / Components	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
1	Earthwork in excavation of foundation, trenches and protection works	15.35
2	Earth filling of foundation trenches and protection work	64.42
3	Back filling behind abutment, wing wall and return wall	46.9
4	Cement concrete in foundation with normal mix	10.62
5	M-25 Grade controlled cement concrete in foundation	29.82
6	M-25 Grade controlled cement concrete in Sub-structure	38.76
7	M-25 Grade controlled cement concrete in Super-structure	27.03
8	Providing side shuttering with necessary inclined stays	19.46
9	Providing bottom shuttering without staging	2.78
10	Supplying, bending, binding and laying in position of steel reinforcement	184.93
11	Providing filter media with coarse sand and small gravel stones	22.28
12	Pitching on slopes i/c cost & carriage of boulders with wire netting etc.	252.08
13	Providing weep holes in brick masonry/plain/RCC abutment wing wall/return wall	1.91
14	Stone masonry work in cement mortar for substructure	63.13
15	Supplying, fitting and fixing in position true to line levels approved reinforced Elastometric bearings	42.04
16	Supplying, fitting and fixing pre-cast neat cement finished RCC hand rails	4.51
17	Providing drainage spout in super structure i/c drip moulding etc.	0.46
18	Providing expansion joints in deck slab and also in wearing coarse	0.68
19	Providing staging i/c hire and labour charges	44.59
20	100mm Thick Boulder soling below foundation with approved size boulder	3.19
21	Providing and laying cement concrete wearing coat M-30 grade	4.99
22	Painting bridge super structure with cement paint	2.68
	Sub-total	882.61
23	Add contingency @ 2%	17.65
	Total	900.26

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

Item No. 30: Project “Water Supply Scheme for Melli Bazar” South Sikkim for consideration of sanction.

The Committee observed that the project was retained from Priority List 2010-11 of Government of Sikkim at an estimated cost of Rs. 10.00 crore in its 80th Meeting held on 08.04.2010. The CPHEEO, MoUD examined the DPR of the project and recommended it for approval at Rs.880.23 lac. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs.872.43 lac with following components and conditions:

S.No.	Components of work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1.	Head Work System including protective works	28.79
2.	Protective works for sedimentation tank I AT SOURCE	4.84
3.	Construction of sedimentation tank I AT SOURCE	8.66
4.	Providing/laying of Pipes and Accessories	435.94
5.	Construction of distribution reservoir Tank	25.13
6.	Construction of Chowkidar Quarters	19.82
7.	Providing and Laying of pipes and accessories for distribution line	114.34
8.	Construction of sedimentation tank II	8.49
9.	Protective work for construction of sedimentation tank II	2.40
10.	Fencing of filter house area	10.87
11.	Cost of Metering	66.68
12.	Escalation on difference of Cost @18% (as rate of 6% per annum for three years on revised SOR 2006 implemented w.e.f. 1 st April, 2008)	130.67
	Sub-Total	856.63
13.	Contingencies @2% (excluding item No.10)	15.80
	Total	872.43

Conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) The State PHE Department should ensure the compliance of CPHEEO observations during implementation.
- f) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of non-duplication certificate from the State (Planning) Government of Sikkim.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.
