### Government of India Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

-----

Minutes of the 96<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1100 Hrs on 20.06.2011 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

#### **Present**

- 1. Mrs. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region.....in Chair.
- 2. Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance
- 3. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
- 4. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission
- 5. Dr. Uday Shanker, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER,

Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA and Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER were granted leave of absence

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Dr. WS. N. Singh, Consultant, Planning Commission, Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri K. Guite, Director, Shri Bimal Kumar (Under secretary), Shri Ajay Kumar (Section Officer) and Shri S. K. Saha (Section Officer) of Ministry of DoNER.

\*\*\*

## <u>Item No.1</u>: Confirmation of Minutes of 95<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 31.05.2011

The minutes of 95<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee issued on 13.06.2011 were confirmed.

\*\*\*\*

## <u>Item No.2</u>: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 95<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 31.05.2011.

The Committee noted that the minutes of the 95<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee are issued on 13.06.2011 and the action on the recommendations made by the Committee is being taken.

\*\*\*\*

### <u>Item No.3</u>: Proposal for dropping of projects from the list of retained projects for the State of Assam.

The NLCPR Committee considered the request of the State Government of Assam forwarded vide their letter No. PDP(PP)47/2009/120 dated 01.06.2011 and recommended dropping of the following three (3) retained projects at a total estimated cost of `24.26 Crore:-

SI.	Name of the Project	<b>Estimated Cost</b>	Year of
No.		(`In Crore)	Retention
1	Construction of RCC Bridge over River Barak at	16.83	2008 – 09
	Madhuramukh, Malugram		
2	Const of RCC Br. No.5/2 & 9/3 on NH-52 (Keheukhanda)	4.34	2009 – 10
	to Belsiri Rly station road.		
3	Replacement of existing SPT Br. No. 23/1 & 27/1 on	3.09	2009 – 10
	Kuhimari Bordowa road.		
	Total	24.26	

### Item No. 4: Bairabi Water Supply Scheme in Mizoram

The Committee noted that the above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 5.78 crore on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 72<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 28.8.2009 from the priority list 2009-10 of the Government of Mizoram. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 490.37 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount
		(Rs. in Lakh)
1	Intake	13.40
2	Approach Road	105.21
3	Gravity Main	119.44
4	Water Treatment Plant	40.00
5	Booster Pump	2.79
6	Feeding Main	65.09
7	Water Reservoirs	13.34
8	Distribution System	53.10
9	Buildings	24.76
10	Power Supply	17.86
11	Security Fencing	10.70
12	Carriage and Jungle Clearance	6.86
13	Miscellaneous Items	8.20
	Sub-total Sub-total	480.75
14	Add 2% contingency	9.62
	Total	490.37

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.

- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Before the start of execution, the detailed designs including the spot levels and cost estimates
  etc. may be re-checked and got approved from competent authority in the State as per
  ground condition and if there is any changes in the scope of work, design etc. the same may
  be intimated to Ministry of DoNER for revised/fresh approval.
- The costing of pumping machinery is done based on quotations obtained from dealers in the State. State Government may explore the possibility of procuring the pump machinery directly from manufacturers during project implementation which may be more cost effective.
- A sustainable tariff as proposed in the DPR needs to be implemented on the commissioning of the scheme, so that the scheme runs on self sustainable manner on the O&M aspect.
- Engineer in-charge of the project implementation should ensure that people should not suffer for want of water during execution of the project and implementation should be duly planned well in advance to avoid any water scarcity to the people.
- Land for all the units may be acquired well in advance so that delay due to non-availability of land may be avoided.
- The implementing Agency shall provide Bulk Meters at WTP, all reservoirs including metering all HWCs so as to facilitate water conservation and water audit as envisaged in the DPR.
- Air valves and scour valves may be provided at strategic locations in the transmission mains.
- The routine raw water quality test should be carried out for physical, chemical and bacteriological parameters and suitable treatment and disinfectants should be employed before supply. The quality of raw and treated water should be monitored regularly to maintain the drinking water guidelines/values at consumers' end, as prescribed by CPHEEO's revised Manual on water supply and treatment published in May 1999.
- Full protection of the existing as well as proposed sources against pollution of any nature should be ensured.
- The material and equipment t be procured should conform to BIS specifications.
- Uninterrupted electric power supply must be ensured by PHED, Mizoram for trouble free operation and maintenance of the scheme.
- To the extent possible, involvement of community, right from the planning stage to operation and maintenance may be ensured.
- Service level benchmarks as circulated by the Ministry of Urban Development need to be adhered to in the course of project implementation.

\*\*\*

### Item No. 5: Construction of Boys and Girls Hostel at Saitual and Thingsulthliah in Mizoram.

The Committee noted that the above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 2.00 crore on the recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16.2.2009 from the priority list 2008-09. It was also noted that CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development have examined the DPR and the vetted cost estimate.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 189.99 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount
		(Rs. in Lakh)
1	Construction of Boy's Hostel at Saitual	37.56
2	Construction of Boy's Hostel at Thingsulthliah	37.56
3	Construction of Girl's Hostel at Saitual	37.56
4	Construction of Girl's Hostel at Thingsulthliah	37.56

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount
		(Rs. in Lakh)
	Sub-total-I	150.24
5	Add 25.69% for Cost Index 2007	38.60
	Sub-total-II	188.84
6	Add contingency	1.15
	Total	189.99

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- Structural drawings and soil investigation report shall be checked by the technical sanctioning authority.

\*\*\*\*

### Item No. 6: Construction of Khanpui-Tualbung Road, Phase-I, Mizoram

The above project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs. 2.00 crore from the priority list submitted by the State Government for 2008-09 on recommendation of the NLCPR Committee in its 65th meeting held on 16.2.2009. It was also noted that Technical Wing, M/o DoNER have examined the DPR and vetted the cost estimate.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 197.21 lakh as under:

S.No.	Item of Work	Amount (Rs. in Lakh)
A.	Formation Work	
a)	Clearing, Setting	6.38
b)	Earth Work	
	Ordinary Soil 28.68%	10.77
	Soft Rock 32.87%	21.32
	Hard Rock 38.44%	72.44
B.	Side Drains	
	Kutcha side drain	2.96
C.	Protection Works	7.73
D.	Drainage Works	
a)	HP culvert	60.21
b)	Slab Culvert	11.53
	Sub-total	193.34
E.	Add 2% contingency	3.87
	Total	197.21

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following further conditions:

- The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*\*

# Item No.7: Project, LILO of one circuit of Palatana – Bongaigaon 400 KV line at Killing with 400/220 KV, 2 x 315 MVA GIS Sub-station in Meghalaya under NLCPR – for consideration of sanction

The Committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.127.00 crore from the priority list 2011-12 of Government of Meghalaya in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011. The CEA examined the revised DPR of the project and vetted it at Rs. 114.82 crore. The Committee also noted that the project is required by Government of Meghalaya to draw its share of power from regional greed.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 93.48 crore with following components and conditions.

SI.No.	Scope of Works	Amount
		(Rs. In crore)
A.	Preliminary Survey & Soil Investigation	0.05
B.	Cost of compensation for Trans. Lines	
	i)Compensation towards Crop & PTCC	0.02
	ii)Compensation towards forest	2.40
C.	Civil Works	
	i)infrastructure for substations	2.50
	ii)Non Residential Buildings	1.31
D.	Equipment (Supply & Erection)	
	i)Trans. Lines	8.09
	ii)Sub-Stations	71.37
	Sub Total A to D	85.74
E.	Contingencies @2%	1.71
F.	Customs duty (plus handling charges)	6.03
	Total	93.48

- (a) A separate view will be taken by the Committee regarding provision of 12% consultancy charges for POWER GRID.
- (b) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.

- (c) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (d) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (e) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (f) The sanction may be issued only after receipt of non-duplication certificates from State Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

Item No.8: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Churachandpur
Autonomous District Council, Churachandpur district in Manipur-Phase I
(Construction of 300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous District Council of Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at Gelmol Primary School, Churachandpur, Kharkhuplen Primary School, Tipaimukh, Hengkapkot Primary School, Henglep, Khuanggin Primary School, Thanlon and Muallum Primary School, Singhat in Churachandpur Autonomous District Council, Churachandpur District with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for	192.55
	Churachandpur Autonomous District Council, Churachandpur district	
	(School Buildings, Toilet Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each	
	school)	
2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

#### **Conditions:**

(i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.

- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.
- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

# Item No.9: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Chandel Autonomous District Council, Chandel district in Manipur-Phase I (Construction of 300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous District Council of Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at T. Bongmol Primary School, T. Bongmol in Moreh, Maipai Primary School, Maipi in Moreh, Langol Primary School, Langol in Machi, Singtam, Primary School, Singtam in Chakpikarong and Saivom Primary School, Saivom in Tengnoupal in Chandel Autonomous District Council, Chandel District with the following components and conditions:

S.	Items of works	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Chandel Autonomous District Council, Chandel district (School Buildings, Toilet Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each school)	192.55

2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

### **Conditions:**

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/ conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.
- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

\*\*\*\*

# Item No.10: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Senapati Autonomous District Council, Senapati district in Manipur-Phase I (Construction of 300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous District Council of Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at Rafil Christian Primary

School, Purul, Phillo Primary School, Purul, Katomai Centre Primary School, Tadubi, Laii Gazaphema Primary School, Paomata and Maram Karong (Shobo) Primary School, Tadubi in Senapati Autonomous District Council, Senapati District with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Senapati Autonomous District Council, Senapati district (School Buildings, Toilet Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each school)	192.55
2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

### **Conditions:**

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.
- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

\*\*\*\*

Item No.11: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Tamenglong
Autonomous District Council, Tamenglong district in Manipur-Phase I
(Construction of 300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous
District Council of Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at Puilong Primary School, Puilong village, Taipram Primary School, Taipram village, Lenglong Primary School, Lenglong, Chaton-II Primary School, Chaton-II village and Dunong Primary School, Dunong village in Tamenglong Autonomous District Council, Tamenglong District with the following components and conditions:

S.	Items of works	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Tamenglong	192.55
	Autonomous District Council, Tamenglong district (School Buildings, Toilet	
	Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each school)	
2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.
- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

Item No.12: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Ukhrul
Autonomous District Council, Ukhrul district in Manipur-Phase I (Construction of
300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous District Council of
Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at Tushen Shimin Primary School, Tushen Shimin, Ukhrul, Lunghar Sololui Primary School, Lunghar, Ukhrul, Ngachonmi Fund Primary School, Ukhrul, Huining Wunglungtang Primary School, Halang, Chingai and Upper Leisan Primary School, Leisan, Chingai in Ukhrul Autonomous District Council, Ukhrul District with the following components and conditions:

S. No.	Items of works	Amount (Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Ukhrul Autonomous District Council, Ukhrul district (School Buildings, Toilet Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each school)	192.55
2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.

- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

# Item No.13: Project for consideration under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources"Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Sadar Hills Autonomous District Council, Senapati district in Manipur-Phase I (Construction of 300 Nos. of Modern Primary School under the Autonomous District Council of Manipur)".

The committee noted that the project is a part of the project retained at an estimated cost of Rs.50.00 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 91<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 10.02.2011 and that the State Government submitted split DPR (Phase wise) each for 5 schools costing Rs.2.30 crore. The Committee also noted that in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's direction and advise of Ministry of HRD overall requirement of schools have been worked out by State Government as per Right to Education Act. The Committee also noted that since the project cost is less than Rs.2.50 crore, Technical Wing, M/o DoNER vetted the proposal at Rs.216.03 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.216.03 lac, including 2% contingencies for construction of 5 schools at Gopibung Primary School, Kangpokpi, Taphou Primary School, Kangpokpi, Liyai Kalapahar/Kongpao Primary School, Kangpokpi, Irang Part I Primary School, Kangpokpi and Wakotphai Primary School, Kangpokpi in Sadar Hills Autonomous District Council, Senapati District with the following components and conditions:

S.	Items of works	Amount
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1	Construction of 5 Nos. of Model Primary School Buildings for Sadar Hills	192.55
	Autonomous District Council, Senapati district (School Buildings, Toilet	
	Blocks, Rail Water Harvesting and Fencing in each school)	
2	10% Cost Index	19.25
	Sub Total	211.80
3	2% contingency charges	4.23
	Total	216.03

- (i) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide

- publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v) A Non-Duplication Certificate should be obtained from the State Government before release of funds.
- (vi) A copy of the Administrative & Financial Approval to be endorsed to Ministry of Human Resources Development.
- (vii) Before starting the construction, detailed construction drawings should be prepared and duly approved by the concerned Chief Engineer of the project and the State Government should submit to the M/o DoNER the following information/documents:
  - (a) Location Map of the project.
  - (b) Demarcation of the Boundary of the School Building where provision of 300.00 m fencing with Angel Iron Post of 1.52 m height is made.
  - (c) Detailed Drawing of Rain Water Harvesting Plan, Toilet Blocks.
  - (d) Drainage System of each project demarcated properly on the map.
  - (e) Foundation Details of Bigger Footings and other structures.
  - (f) A certificate that the Market Rates and Cost Index are verified.

\*\*\*

# <u>Item No.14</u>: Project, Augmentation of 66/11 KV, 2.5MVA Phodong Sub-station to 5MVA and replacement of all electrical equipment, North Sikkim NLCPR – for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.8.55 crore from the priority list 2010-11 of Government of Sikkim, on recommendations of NLCPR Committee in its 86<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 21.10.2010. The CEA, M/o Power vetted the revised DPR of the project at Rs.1017.71 lacs. The State Planning Department submitted a non-duplication certificate signed by Addl. Chief Secretary (DPERNECAD), Sikkim certifying that the project has not been included for funding in any other State or Central Government schemes.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 860.95 lacs with following components and conditions.

S.	Description	Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
1.	(a)Augmentation of 66/11 KV, 2.5 MVA Phodong Sub Station to 5 MVA and replacement all associated electrical equipments	409.27
	(b)Civil Work for repairing of existing control room and cable trench, dismantling of old Equipments,	26.50
2.	Drawing of 11 KV HD line from Phodong to Phensong, JNV, Dikchu and local including realignment of 11 KV other outgoing feeder (34 Km)	269.84
3.	Installation of LED Illumination of Phodong and Dikchu	21.12
4.	(a)Construction of 11/11 KV Control Sub-station and	78.64
	(b)Staff quarter at Phensong (single Unit)	40.00
5.	Contingencies @ 2% (excluding item 1(b) &4(b))	15.58

S.	Description	Cost
No.		(Rs. in lac)
	Total	860.95

#### **Conditions:**

- a. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d. The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e. Justification for provision of 10% for Transportation may be sought from the State Government.

\*\*\*

Item No.15: Project, Design, supply, erection, testing & commissioning of 11/66 KV switchyard at Rabomchu HEP with 2x5 MVA, 11/66 KV transformer and construction of 66 KV transmission line from Rabomchu to Maltin with additional bay in Maltin in North Sikkim under NLCPR – for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.10.88 crore from the priority list 2010-11 of Government of Sikkim, on recommendations of NLCPR Committee in its 90<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 30.12.2010. The CEA, M/o Power vetted the revised DPR of the project at Rs.1325.67 lacs. The State Planning Department submitted a non-duplication certificate signed by Addl. Chief Secretary (DPERNECAD), Sikkim certifying that the project has not been included for funding in any other State or Central Government schemes.

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 1087.63 lacs with following components and conditions.

S. No.	Description	Cost
		(Rs. in lac)
1.	(a)Estimate for design, supply, erection, testing & commissioning of 66/11 KV, 2x5 MVA, Step up S/S at Rabom Chu HEP including two transformer Bay, one line Bay	502.71
	(b)Civil Work for site development of step up S/S including granty tower foundation and construction of control room and other civil works	50.52
2.	(a)Construction of 66 KV line bay and site development at Maltin for 66 KV line from Rabomchu	63.21
	(b)Site development, leveling of land for extension of bus bar along with retention wall and protection wall (PWD rate)	27.81
3.	Supply, design, engineering, testing & commissioning of 66 KV S/C tower transmission line from Rabomchu HEP to Maltin (Km)	423.59
	Sub-Total	1067.84
4.	Contingency @ 2% -excluding items 1(b) & 2(b)	19.79
	Total	1087.63

### **Conditions**:

- a. The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b. Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c. The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d. The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e. Justification for provision of 10% for Transportation may be sought from the State Government.

\*\*\*\*

### <u>Item No. 16</u>: Project "Water Distribution Network for Singtam Town" in East Sikkim for consideration of sanction.

The Committee noted that the project was retained from the Priority List 2010-11 of the State Government of Sikkim at an estimated cost of Rs. 25.00 crore in the 80the meeting of NLCPR Committee held on 08.04.2010. The CPHEEO, MoUD recommended the proposal modified as per CPHEEO advice for approval at Rs.2125.59 lac .

After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at Rs. 1087.63 lacs with following components and conditions.

S.No.	Components of work	Cost (Rs. in lac)
1	Intake / Load Works	, ,
1.	Intake/Head Works	64.30
2.	Raw Water Main	580.01
3.	Water Treatment Plant	91.84
4.	Reservoirs with feeder mains	249.43
5.	Distribution Network	647.37
6.	Provision of water distribution box water meter and house service	
	connection	350.14
7.	Misc. Works consisting of staff quarters, fencing, etc.	80.59
	Sub-Total	2063.68
8.	Contingencies @ 2%	41.27
	Total	2104.95

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.

- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) The State PHE Department should ensure the compliance of CPHEEO observations during implementation.
- f) The Administrative and Financial approval may be issued after receipt of non-duplication certificate from the State (Planning) Government of Sikkim.

### Item No. 17: General

The committee discussed the issue of road connectivity in the NE Region and noted that, apart from providing connectivity to new areas there is also a need to give more emphasis on the repair and strengthening of the existing roads to ensure the up keep of the connectivity once provided and to minimize the adverse impact on ecology. The committee deliberated on the issue and recommended that, about 20% of the retained cost of each State, every year, may be considered for repair and strengthening of existing roads constructed before 15 years. Under this provision only the proposals of renovation, repair and strengthening of existing roads and repair, renovation or replacement of culverts and temporary bridges will be considered. Widening of existing roads will not fall under this category.

\*\*\*\*

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

\*\*\*\*