## Government of India Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region

-----

Minutes of the 98<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held at 1500 Hrs on 25.08.2011 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, M/o DoNER in Committee Room No.243, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe New Delhi.

#### **Present**

- 1. Mrs. Jayati Chandra, Secretary, M/o Development of North Eastern Region....in Chair.
- 2. Shri P.K. Pattanaik, Joint Secretary, Ministry of DoNER
- 3. Shri Shambhu Singh, Joint Secretary (NE), MHA
- 4. Shri S.N. Brohmo Choudhury, Adviser (NE), Planning Commission
- 5. Mrs. Vandita Kaul, Director (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance
- 6. Dr. Uday Shanker, Director (IFD), Ministry of DoNER,

Mrs. Anjuly Chib Duggal, Joint Secretary (PF-I), D/o Expenditure, M/o Finance and Mrs. Sudha Krishnan, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of DoNER were granted leave of absence

Following Officers were also present as special invitees:

Shri Rajesh Kumar (Director), Shri P.R. Meshram (Director), Shri K. Guite, Director, Shri Bimal Kumar (Under secretary) and Shri S. K. Saha (Section Officer) of Ministry of DoNER.

Shri Ankur Garg, Secretary (Planning), Government of Arunachal Pradesh made presentation of the sector-wise workload available with various departments from all windows of funding as was desired by the Committee. However, he said that the State PWD Department was capable of taking on more workload. The UD Department, Home Department and Tourism Departments could also take up additional work. The workload of the Education Department was included in the PWD Department. When asked whether the requirement of the school sector had been taken into account, it was clarified that some secondary schools viz "Infrastructure Development of Government Higher Secondary School, Hawai in Anjaw District" also include primary schools requirement. After presentation by the representative of Government of Arunachal Pradesh, the Committee deliberated on the Agenda items. Following observations and recommendations were made by the Committee:

\*\*\*\*

<u>Item No.1</u>: Confirmation of the Minutes of 97<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee held on 25.07.2011

The minutes of 97<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee issued on 02.08.2011 were confirmed.

<u>Item No.2</u>: Action taken report of decisions / recommendations made by NLCPR Committee in the 97<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 25.07.2011.

The Committee noted that the minutes of the 97<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NLCPR Committee are issued on 02.08.2011 and the actions on the recommendations made by the Committee are being taken.

\*\*\*

#### Item No.3: Priority List for 2011-12 of Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee noted that the Government of Arunachal Pradesh submitted Priority List for 2011-12 containing 51 projects pertaining to various sectors. The total value of which is Rs.1040.36 crore. The amount available to the State for retention of project is nearly Rs.151.05 crore. However, the Committee in its 81<sup>st</sup> meeting had taken a decision to accord first priority to the second/third phase of a sanctioned scheme subject to the progress of previous phase of sanctioned project being as per schedule. It was seen that 5 cases of phase-II roads are included in the priority list. Therefore, Committee recommended the retention of the following projects. However, the actual sanction would be (apart from the usual non duplication certificate etc.) subject to actual progress on ground and the matching utilization and the justification that the proposed connectivity of the Phase-II is not served through other road projects. Which means, even if the alignment is not duplicated the connectivity should also not be duplicated.

| SI.<br>No. | Name of the Projects                                                   | Cost<br>(Rs. in Crore.) |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.         | Construction of Namsang - Khela Road (45.30 Km) including Bridge over  | 13.41                   |
|            | Bari River ( <b>Phase-II</b> ) in Tirap District                       |                         |
| 2.         | Construction of Wak-Liromaba road (78 Km) – [Phase-II: 0–26 Km], West  | 22.94                   |
|            | Siang District                                                         |                         |
| 3.         | Construction of Tamen - Tali road from 49 KM to 60 KM (Phase-II) in    | 15.59                   |
|            | Kurung Kumey District                                                  |                         |
| 4.         | Construction of Road from Magopam to Bichom via Namfri, Ditching,      | 18.46                   |
|            | Sacheda, Ramu-Sotu and Lichini, (Phase-II) in West Kameng District     |                         |
| 5.         | Strengthening & Improvement of Changlang to Khimyang Road (Phase-      | 7.10                    |
|            | II) Changlang District                                                 |                         |
| * 6.       | Construction of Khonsa-Hukanjuri Road (30Km) in Tirap District         | 30.00                   |
| 7.         | Development of Rangfrah Govt. Degree College, Lumbusung, Changlang     | 13.50                   |
| 8.         | Infrastructure Development of Government Higher Secondary School,      | 10.00                   |
|            | Hawai [C/o School Building only] in Anjaw District                     |                         |
| 9.         | Establishment of VKV (Girls Residential School) at Chayangtajo, East   | 10.00                   |
|            | Kameng District.                                                       |                         |
| 10.        | Infrastructure Development for Armed Police Force in Arunachal Pradesh | 10.00                   |
|            | TOTAL                                                                  | 151.00                  |

<sup>\*</sup> The Committee recommended the project at Sl. No.6 above as it provides essential connectivity to the interior and unconnected areas of Tirap District which is vital for the people of the area for availing medical and health facilities from the adjoining district of Assam.

\*\*\*\*

## <u>Item No. 4</u>: Development of Pakke to Seppi Liya Road (22 KM) in East Kameng District of Arunachal Pradesh

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 91<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 10.02.2011 at an estimated cost of Rs. 15 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MoRTH).

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 1598.75 lakh as under:

| S.No.  | Items of work        | Amount       |
|--------|----------------------|--------------|
| 5.110. | achis of work        | (Rs. in Lac) |
| 1      | Jungle clearnace     | 3.96         |
| 2      | Formation Cutting    | 482.16       |
| 3      | CD Work              |              |
|        | i. Span 2 mtr.       | 139.88       |
|        | ii.Span 3 mtr.       | 132.37       |
|        | II.Span 6 mtr.       | 54.10        |
| 4      | Bridge               |              |
|        | i. Span 8 mtr.       | 33.08        |
| 5      | Pavement             | 525.44       |
| 6      | Retaining Structures | 193.13       |
| 7      | Drain                | 3.28         |
|        | Sub total            | 1567.40      |
| 8      | 2% Contingency       | 31.35        |
|        | Total                | 1598.75      |

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*\*

## <u>Item No. 5:</u> Construction of 132 kV S/C Wokha-Zunheboto-Makokchung Transmission line Phase I, Wokha Doyang NH-61 in Nagaland.

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 83<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 02.07.2010 at an estimated cost of Rs. 26.20 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2010-11. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by Central Electricity Authority (CEA), Ministry of Power.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 2048.09 lakh as under:

| S.No. | Items of work                                                                                                                               | Amount<br>(Rs. in Lac) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | Cost of Design, Manufacture, testing & Supply of 132 KV S/C Trans-<br>Line from 132kV sub-Station Wokha to Doyang NH-61 (30 km) –<br>Supply | 1302.63                |
| 2     | Cost of Design, Manufacture, testing & Supply of 132 KV S/C Trans-                                                                          | 493.83                 |

| S.No. | Items of work                                                                                 | Amount<br>(Rs. in Lac) |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|       | Line from 132kV sub-Station Wokha to Doyang NH-61 (30 km) – Erection                          |                        |
| 3     | Estimate for installation of end equipments at 132/33 kV Wokha sub-station – Supply           | 149.35                 |
| 4     | Estimate for installation of end equipments at 132/33 kV Wokha sub-station – Erection         | 50.12                  |
| 5     | Engineering design, testing & commissioning of end equipments at 132/33 kV Wokha sub-station. | 12.00                  |
|       | Sub Total                                                                                     | 2007.93                |
| 6     | Contingency @ 2%                                                                              | 40.16                  |
|       | Total                                                                                         | 2048.09                |

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.

\*\*\*\*

# <u>Item No. 6</u>: Construction of road from Zero Point Sanis to Woroku village – 27 km (ODR) in Nagaland

The Committee noted that the project was retained in the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04.11.2009 at an estimated cost of Rs. 23.30 crore from the priority list submitted by the Government of Nagaland for 2009-10. It was also observed that the DPR for the project was appraised and cost estimate vetted by CPWD, Ministry of Urban Development.

After deliberation the Committee recommended the project for sanction at an estimated cost of Rs. 2299.44 lakh as under:

| S.<br>No. | Items of works        | Amount<br>(Rs. Lakh) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1         | Site clearance        | 3.37                 |
| 2         | Earthwork             |                      |
| 2.1       | Formation cutting     | 383.92               |
| 2.2       | Unlined surface drain | 23.64                |
| 3         | Pavement works        |                      |
| 3.1       | Shoulder              | 54.27                |
| 3.2       | WBM                   | 846.63               |
| 3.3       | Prime Coat            | 45.56                |
| 3.4       | Tack Coat             | 16.10                |

| S.<br>No. | Items of works                         | Amount<br>(Rs. Lakh) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 3.5       | OPMC                                   | 258.19               |
| 3.6       | Seal Coat                              | 78.98                |
| 4         | Road signs                             |                      |
| 4.1       | Kilometer Stone                        | 0.81                 |
| 4.2       | Retro-reflected traffic signs          | 6.71                 |
| 4.3       | Direction & place identification signs | 0.88                 |
| 5         | Cross Drainage works                   | 331.90               |
| 6         | Protection works                       | 203.39               |
|           | Sub-total Sub-total                    | 2254.35              |
| 7         | Contingency @ 2%                       | 45.09                |
|           | Total                                  | 2299.44              |

The project has been recommended for sanction with the following conditions:

- a) The contingency charges may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- b) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the State Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- c) The state government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- d) The project implementation by the state government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- e) The administrative and financial approval may be issued only after receipt of a nonduplicacy certificate from the State Planning Department.
- f) Implementing Agency shall follow the proper and approved administrative, financial and technical procedures for execution of the work at competitive cost.
- g) Implementing agency shall obtain all necessary permission/clearances from concerned authorities prior to commencement of works.
- h) The work shall be executed by the implementing agency as per relevant codes and specifications as applicable.
- i) The implementing agency is encouraged to use energy efficient devices and equipments as per guidelines of Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE), Govt. of India who have developed "Manual for development of Municipal Energy Efficiency Projects" and may take their consultation in this regard.
- j) The implementing agency has to see that the project as a whole is disaster resilient and necessary measure will be taken to make it fully disaster resistant and DM audit shall be got conducted and report shall be submitted to the mission Directorate on completion of the project.
- Implementing schedule should be strictly adhered to in order to avoid any time and cost overrun.
- l) Proper structural design for the related structural components shall be got done and proof-checked by a structural consultant of repute before actual execution of the work.
- Proper geotechnical investigations for all related geotechnical components shall be got done and proof-checked by geotechnical expert of repute before actual execution of the work
- The formalities relating to land acquisition etc. if any may be completed before taking up the work.

\*\*\*\*

#### Item No.7: Improvement of roads in Mon District of Nagaland under PM's Package.

The Committee noted that the project comprising improvement of 7 roads in Mon District was sanctioned on 18.10.2005 at an approved cost of Rs. 2374.78 lakh. The first installment of admissible grant amounting to Rs.712.23 lakh was released on 28.10.2005. Release of subsequent installment for the project was withheld as it was found apparent that 2 (two) roads viz., (i) Tehok Jn. to Chingkhao (7 km) and (ii) Wangti – Chenloishi (10 km) were duplication of 2 BRO implemented roads of (a) Tohok-Chenkhao-Wangti (31 km) and (b) Tohok-Chenlaiso-Wangti (49 km).

The Committee noted that SE, Technical Wing has conducted onsite inspection of the 5 of the roads viz., (1) Wangti-Chenloishi (2) Tehok Jn. to Chingkhao (3) BRO Jn. to Angphang via Changlang (4) Tobu - Yongkhao (5) Aboi – Lengleng road, during 16-20 Feb., 2011 and submitted his report stating that there were no overlapping of 2 (two) roads viz., (i) Tehok Jn. to Chingkhao (7 km) and (ii) Wangti – Chenloishi (10 km) with 2 BRO implemented roads of (a) Tohok-Chenkhao-Wangti (31 km) and (b) Tohok-Chenlaiso-Wangti (49 km).

After deliberation, the Committee recommended for resumption of funding of the project by releasing second installment of admissible grant for the 5 inspected roads immediately and the remaining two roads viz., (i) Zero Point to Borjan Diversion and (ii) Tizit to Nokyan after onsite inspection by Tech. Wing, M/o DoNER.

\*\*\*\*

Item No. 8: Project, Integrated Project proposal on Health, Education and Training Programme for Peace & Goodwill in Senapati District Hqrs. in Manipur for consideration for sanction.

The committee noted that the project was retained at an estimated cost of Rs.4.37 crore on recommendation of NLCPR Committee in its 73rd Meeting held on 22.09.2009. The Committee also noted that the M/o Urban Development recommended the proposal at Rs.437.05 lac.

2. After deliberations, the Committee recommended the project for sanction at a cost of Rs.409.91 lac, including 2% contingencies with the following components and conditions:

| S.No. | Items of works                                                          | Amount       |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
|       |                                                                         | (Rs. in lac) |
| 1     | Construction for one Residential Accommodation for Tribal Patients      | 79.93        |
| 2     | Construction for one Residential Accommodation for Tribal Girl Patients | 127.36       |
| 3     | Construction of Vocational Training cum Production Centre               | 87.34        |
| 4     | Construction of Compound Fencing                                        | 48.89        |
| 5     | Construction of Compound Gate                                           | 1.38         |
| 6     | Construction of four nos. of shed at Children Park                      | 20.33        |
| 7     | Provision of Inter Link Roads                                           | 10.34        |
| 8     | Construction of Septic Tank & Soak pit- 4 Nos.                          | 5.62         |
| 9     | Providing of IEI in residential accommodation for Tribal patients       | 3.40         |
| 10    | Providing of IEI in residential accommodation for Tribal girl patients  | 10.29        |
| 11    | Providing of IEI in Vocational cum Production centre                    | 6.99         |
|       | Sub Total                                                               | 401.87       |

| S.No. | Items of works   | Amount<br>(Rs. in lac) |
|-------|------------------|------------------------|
|       | 2% Contingencies | 8.04                   |
|       | Total            | 409.91                 |

#### **Conditions:**

- (i) The contingency charges which has been restricted to 2% which may be reimbursed on submission of document on actual contingent expenditure excluding cost of establishment, audit and accounts, O&M, departmental charges, quality control, agency and purchase of vehicle.
- (ii) Transparency should be maintained in tendering process and the state Government should ensure that the tender has been called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media and website etc. and the works have been awarded within 3 months of its sanction, even without waiting for the release of funds from state government to implementing agency.
- (iii) The State Government should follow all codal formalities and strictly adhere to the project implementation schedule and physical targets given in the DPR.
- (iv) The project implementation by the State Government will be governed by the rules/conditions stipulated in the guidelines of NLCPR.
- (v)The ownership document of the land where the project is proposed should be properly verified.
- (vi) The estimate should be technically sanctioned by the competent authority as per the provisions of Section-4 of CPWD Works Manual 2010.
- (vii) The local body approval should be obtained by the executing agency before the commencement of the work at site.
- (viii) The technical and structural responsibility shall rest with the state authority responsible for execution of project.
- (ix) Before issue of Administrative & Financial Approval, State Government may be asked to submit the land ownership document of the land on which project is proposed for construction.

\*\*\*

#### <u>Item No.9:</u> Project "Khliehriat Secondary School, Khliehriat in Meghalaya.

The Committee deferred the proposal.

\*\*\*\*

# Item No.10: Proposal for Construction of a bridge over river Khasimara (120m span) including 2 (two) culverts and approaches of Balat – Shella Road in Meghalaya for consideration of sanction

The Committee observed that the project was retained from the Priority List 2003-04 of Meghalaya in its 26<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 22.01.2004 at Rs.6.59 crore. The State government original DPR received from the State Government was forwarded to Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MoRTH) for techno-economic examination on 16.07.2004. Mean time the DPR was revised 5 times by the State Government on the basis of Comments of MoRTH. The 5<sup>th</sup> revised DPR at an estimated cost of Rs.8.44 crore submitted by State Government on 16.03.2010 was vetted by the MoRTH 07.04.2011 at Rs.844.39. The cost estimates are based on SOR of 2007-08.

The Committee deliberated on the issue and recommended that, since, the project was retained seven years back, the State Government may be asked to verify its relevance and to clarify whether still they require it.

\*\*\*\*

### <u>Item No. 11:</u> Project "Construction of District Sports Complex at Kailashahar, North Tripura District for consideration of sanction.

The Committee noted that the project was retained from Priority List 2007-08 of Tripura at an estimated cost of Rs. 4.75 crore in its 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held on 30.11.2007. M/oYA&s recommended the proposal from sports angle. The MoUD vetted the revised DPR of the project at Rs. 399.00 lakhs.

After deliberations the Committee recommended the proposal for sanction at Rs. 395.21 lac, with following conditions and components.

| SI.<br>No. | Components of Work            | Total Cost<br>(Rs. in lac) |
|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.         | Construction of houndary wall | 46.22                      |
| _⊥.        | Construction of boundary wall | 40.22                      |
| 2.         | Construction of Running track | 6.58                       |
| 3.         | Construction of Grand stand   | 295.76                     |
| 4.         | Construction of Surface drain | 13.40                      |
| 5.         | Construction of Internal Road | 25.50                      |
|            | Sub-Total                     | 387.46                     |
| 6.         | Add 2% Contingency            | 7.75                       |
|            | Grand Total                   | 395.21                     |

#### **Conditions:**

- a) The 2% contingency charges may be reimbursed against the actual contingent expenditure on production of documentary evidence but **shall not include expenditure on Work Charge Establishment.**
- b) State Implementing Agency should follow all codal formalities while executing the project.
- c) Codal formalities should include tenders being called on competitive basis by giving wide publicity in print media like Newspapers & Trade Journals and web based publicity.
- d) The construction agency may adhere to the time schedule given by them in the estimate for completion of the project.
- e) The Administrative and Financial approval of the project may be issued after receipt of confirmation of Non-duplication from the State Government.
- f) Filling under Floors of grand stand is taken in the estimate of C/o grand stand work portion. Ground is fairly level. Wherever earth filling is required, it may be done with surplus excavated earth of different construction activity in the campus.

\*\*\*\*

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

\*\*\*\*